

the Canadian Association for the Study of the Liver

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

January-February, Vol. 16 No. 1, 2017: 71-76

Daclatasvir Plus Asunaprevir Dual Therapy for Chronic HCV Genotype 1b Infection: Results of Turkish Early Access Program

Seyfettin Köklü,* Iftihar Köksal,† Ulus Salih Akarca,‡ Ayhan Balkan,§ Rahmet Güner, Aylin Demirezen,¶ Memduh Sahin,** Sıla Akhan,†† Reşat Ozaras,‡‡ Ramazan Idilman§§

* Department of Gastroenterology, Hacettepe University School of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey.

† Department of Infectious Disease, Karadeniz University School of Medicine, Trabzon, Turkey.

† Department of Gastroenterology, Ege University School of Medicine, İzmir, Turkey.

§ Department of Gastroenterology, Gaziantep University School of Medicine, Gazianatep, Turkey.

Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Yildirim Beyazit University School of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey.

1 Department of Gastroenterology, Atatürk Education and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey.

** Department of Gastroenterology, Mersin Government Hospital, Mersin, Turkey.

†† Department of Gastroenterology, Kocaeli University School of Medicine, Kocaeli, Turkey.

†† Department of Infectious Disease, Istanbul University, Cerrahpasa Medical School, Istanbul, Turkey.

§§ Department of Gastroenterology, Ankara University School of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey.

ABSTRACT

Background. Daclatasvir and asunaprevir dual therapy is approved for the treatment of HCV genotype 1b infection in several countries. **Aim.** To evaluate the efficacy and safety of daclatasvir and asunaprevir dual therapy in Turkish patients. **Material and methods.** Sixty-one patients with HCV genotype 1b were enrolled in the Turkish early access program. Most of the patients were in difficult-to-treat category. Patients were visited at each 4 week throughout the follow-up period. Laboratory findings and adverse events were recorded at each visit. **Results.** Fifty-seven of 61 enrolled patients completed 24 weeks of treatment. Two patients died as a result of underlying diseases at 12-14th weeks of treatment. Two patients stopped the treatment early as a consequence of virological breakthrough, and 2 patients had viral relapse at the post-treatment follow-up. Overall SVR12 rates were 90% (55/61) and 93.2% (55/59) according to intention-to-treat (ITT) and per protocol (PP) analysis respectively. In ITT analysis, SVR12 was achieved by 93% (13/14) in relapsers, 80% (12/15) in interferon-ineligible patients and 91% (20/22) in previous nonresponder patients. SVR₁₂ rates were 86.5% and 91.4% in patients with cirrhosis according to ITT and PP analysis respectively. SVR₁₂ was 95.8% in non-cirrhosis group in both analysis. Patients with previous protease inhibitor experience had an SVR₁₂ of 87.5%. Common adverse events developed in 28.8% of patients. There were no treatment related severe adverse event or grade-4 laboratory abnormality. **Conclusions.** Daclatasvir and asunaprevir dual therapy is found to be effective and safe in difficult-to-treat Turkish patients with HCV genotype 1b infection.

Key words. Chronic C hepatitis. Daclatasvir. Asunaprevir.

INTRODUCTION

Currently, treatment of chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection includes interferon free regimens. Direct-acting antiviral drugs are taken orally and consists of three major subgroups including protease and polymerase

inhibitors, and the multifunctional non-structural protein (NS5A).¹

Asunaprevir is a non-structural NS3/4A complex protease inhibitor and daclatasvir is a pangenotypic NS5A inhibitor of HCV replication complex. Combination of asunaprevir and daclatasvir has potent activity against genotype 1b HCV infection.²

Manuscript received: May 25, 2016. Manuscript accepted: July 03, 2016.

Daclatasvir plus asunaprevir combination has been first approved for the treatment of genoype 1b subgroup in Japan. Recently, Korea, Taiwan, Canada and Turkey have also approved that dual therapy with similar indications.

Phase II and III studies showed potent antiviral affects using daclatasvir and asunaprevir combination in patients infected with HCV genotype 1b.³⁻⁶ In the Japan phase 3 study, SVR₂₄ in Japanese patients were 91% and 84% in cirrhotics and non-cirrhotics respectively.^{7,8} A recent pooled analysis have reported that SVR₁₂ was 84% in patients with cirrhosis and 85% in patients without cirrhosis.⁸

Preliminary data demonstrated the efficacy and safety of dual therapy even in hard to treat patients including patients with cirrhosis, liver transplantation and chronic renal failure. However, efficacy and safety data of daclatasvir and asunaprevir combination therapy is scarce other than Japanese studies. Herein, we evaluated the antiviral activity and safety of daclatasvir and asunaprevir combination therapy in Turkish patients infected with HCV genotype 1b.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study design

The study includes the results of patients enrolled in early access program of BMS in Turkey. Inclusion criteria allowed only the patients who were considered to have a serious or life-threatening condition that was impacting life expectancy within 12 months. Patients having Child B or C cirrhosis, or having liver-transplantation history were not allowed to be enrolled into the program. Request forms filled by healthcare professionals were submitted for both BMS company and health authority approval. All patients signed the informed consent forms before the treatment. The program started at February 2014 and ended in August 2014.

Treatment

Dual therapy was provided before commercialization in early access program. All patients received daclatasvir 60 mg tablets once daily and asunaprevir 100 mg softgel capsules twice daily for 24 weeks unless a viral breakthrough developed. All patients were visited at each 4 week during the treatment period and at the 12th week after treatment. Biochemical tests, complete blood count, coagulation tests and HCV RNA were tested at each visit. HCV RNA was tested once more when it became positive suggesting viral breakthrough or recurrence.

 HCV RNA assessment. Hepatitis C virus RNA was quantified using the Roche COBAS® TaqMan® assay with an LLOQ of 15 IU/mL.

- Resistance analysis. Direct sequencing from baseline samples was performed in a group of patients from one center (23 patients). Only NS5A resistance was analysed in that group.
- Efficacy. Sustained virologic response (SVR₁₂) at post-treatment week 12.
- Safety assessments. Based on incidence of adverse events (AE), serious adverse events (SAE), discontinuations

Table 1. Patient demographics and laboratory findings.

N = 61 Sex, n (%) Female Male	40 (65.5%) 21 (34.5%)
Age, mean \pm SD BMI (kg/m ²), mean \pm SD Time of diagnosis for HCV (years)	57.6 ± 12.5 26.8 ± 4.94 9.67 ± 5.6
Accompanying disorders DM ESRD HCC Autoimmune diseases Thalassemia Hemophilia Non-Hodgkin lymphoma HBV co-infection	15.50% 9.80% 8.60% 8.60% 3.40% 3.40% 3.40%
Cirrhosis Yes No	37/61 (60.6%) 24/61 (39.4%)
Previous treatment history Naive PegIFN/Ribavirin PegIFN/Ribavirin/PI	10/61 (16.4%) 43/61 (70.5%) 8/61 (13.1%)
Previous treatment response Relapser Non-responder IFN ineligible	14/51 (27.4%) 22/51 (43.1%) 15/51 (29.5%)
HCV RNA (IU/mL) < 800.000 IU/mL ≥ 800.000 IU/mL	28 (45.9%) 33 (54.1%)
Genotype 1b 1	60 (98.4%) 1 (0.6%)
ALT (IU/mL), mean ± SD AST(IU/mL), mean ± SD Albumin (g/dL), mean ± SD Creatinine, mean ± SD INR, mean ± SD Hemoglobin (g/dL), mean ± SD WBC (/mm³), mean ± SD Platelet (/mm³), mean ± SD	55.8 ± 36.8 65.3 ± 45.9 3.93 ± 0.51 1.28 ± 1.89 1.14 ± 0.33 12.96 ± 1.73 6,000 ± 4,200 166,000 ± 99,000

DM: diabetes mellitus. ESRD: end stage renal disease. HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma. IFN: interferon. PI: protease inhibitor.

- because of adverse events, and grade 3 or 4 laboratory abnormalities. Adverse events were recorded at each 4-week visit during study follow-up.
- Cost effectivity. Markov simulation model was used to estimate the cost-effectiveness of dual therapy, and the effectivity was compared with available treatment regimens paid by social security institution in Turkey (pegylated interferon+ribavirin ± telaprevir or boceprevir).

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U-test, and categorical variables were analyzed using the paired sample test. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software package (version 16.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), with p < 0.05 denoting statistical significance.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics before daclatasvir plus asunaprevir treatment

Fifty-seven patients completed 24 weeks of dual treatment. Two patients died at 12-14th week of treatment as a consequence of miliary tuberculosis in one and systemic vasculitis in the other. Both were HCV RNA negative at week 8 and 12. Ten were treatment-naïve, 15 were in the interferon-ineligible/intolerant group, 14 were relapser and 22 were in the non-responder group (null responders and partial responders).

Demographic baseline and laboratory characteristics of patients are shown in table 1. Two thirds of the patients were female. Most of the patients had cirrhosis, high baseline serum HCV RNA levels (> 800,000 iu/mL) and comorbid diseases including diabetes mellitus, end stage renal disease, hepatocellular carcinoma, autoimmune diseases, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, thalassemia, hemophilia and hepatitis B co-infection with decreasing order of frequency (Table 1). Eight patients were unresponsive to pegylated interferon/ribavirin plus telaprevir or boceprevir previously.

Virologic response

At week 4, HCV RNA levels became undetectable in 82% of the patients. Overall, 59/61 patients (96.7%) had undetectable HCV RNA at week 12 during treatment and 57/59 patients (96.6%) had undetectable HCV RNA at week 24 on treatment.

ITT analysis. SVR₁₂ rates were 80% (12/15), 91% (20/22) and 93% (13/14) in interferon-ineligible/intolerant,

- non-responder and relapsed patients respectively (Table 2). Among cirrhotic patients, SVR₁₂ rate was 86.5%.
- PP analysis. At 12th week after the end of treatment period, 12 (80%) interferon-ineligible/intolerant, 20 (95.2%) non-responder and 13 (100%) relapsed patients had achieved SVR₁₂. Patients with cirrhosis also achieved high rates of SVR₁₂ (32/35, 91.4%).

Table 2. SVR₁₂ rates according to previous treatment history and cirrhosis status (ITT analysis).

N = 61	SVR ₁₂ (n, %)
All patients	55/61 (90)
Fibrosis status Cirrhosis Non-cirrhosis	32/37 (86.5) 23/24 (95.8)
Previous treatment history Naive PegIFN/ribavirin PegIFN/ribavirin/PI	10/10 (100) 38/43 (88.4) 7/8 (87.5)
Previous treatment response Relapser Non-responder IFN ineligible	13/14 (93) 20/22 (91) 12/15 (80)
Renal disease ESRD Normal renal function	5/6 (83.3) 50/55 (91)
Age > 65 years < 65 years	17/20 (85) 38/41 (92.7)
Sex Male Female	19/21 (90.5) 36/40 (90)
Viral load > 800,000 IU/mL < 800,000 IU/mL	30/33 (90.9) 25/28 (89.3)

Table 3. Reasons for not achieving SVR according to previous treatment and cirrhosis.

	Naive (N: 10)	Treatment experienced (N: 51)
All patients without SVR ₁₂ (n)	0	4
On-treatment virological breakthrough (n)	0	2
Post-treatment relapse (n)	0	2
•	Cirrhotic (N: 37)	Non-cirrhotic (N: 24)
All patients without SVR ₁₂ (n)	3	1
On treatment virological breakthrough (n)	1	1
Post-treatment relapse (n)	2	0

SVR₁₂ rates were 83.3% (5/6) and 87.5 (7/8) in patients with end stage renal disease and previous protease inhibitor experience, respectively. SVR was not associated with sex, age or baseline HCV RNA levels.

Virologic failure

Four patients in treatment-experienced group had virologic failures. Three of 4 were cirrhotic whereas 1 was non-cirrhotic. Two patients with undetectable HCV RNA at the 4th week of treatment had virologic breakthrough whereas 2 patients experienced viral relapse during post-treatment follow-up (Table 3). One of the non-responder had obesity and the other had insulin resistance. Among the remaining, one patient had chronic renal failure, whereas the other had no any accompanying diseases.

We could investigate pretreatment resistance-associated variants in 23 patients from one center in this study. Only one of those 23 patients had pretreatment Y93H polymorphism (HCV RNA levels were negative at 4th and 12th weeks of treatment, but the patient died at the 13th week of treatment). Of the 22 patients without baseline polymorphism one had virologic breakthrough and the other had post-treatment viral relapse.

Improvement in liver biochemical tests

Twelve weeks after treatment, all liver tests including ALT, AST, albumin, bilirubin and INR, and platelet counts improved significantly in the patients (Table 4). These results suggest that viral eradication by interferonfree daclatasvir and asunaprevir dual therapy also leads improvement in liver biochemical parameters.

Safety

A total of 57 patients completed 24 weeks of therapy. Two patients died during the study period. Both deaths occurred at the 12-14th weeks of treatment as a result of underlying diseases including tuberculous meningitis in one and systemic vasculitis in the other. Both had undetectable HCV RNA at week 8 and 12 on treatment.

Table 4. Liver tests before and after treatment.

Blood tests (mean±SD)	Before treatment	After treatment	P (95% CI)
ALT (IU/mL)	54.41 ± 36.59	22.96 ± 17.50	p < 0.001
AST (IU/mL)	65.04 ± 46.66	28.12 ± 14.41	p < 0.001
Albumin (g/dL)	4.00 ± 0.49	$4,16 \pm 0,44$	p: 0.004
Total bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.91 ± 0.56	0.77 ± 0.52	p: 0.037
INR ,	$1,09 \pm 0,16$	1,06 ± 0,13	p: 0.021
Platelet (/mm ³)	170.377 ± 98.991	181.415 ± 110.014	p: 0.034

None of the patients discontinued treatment due to any adverse events. The most common adverse event was dyspepsia (Table 5). There was no serious adverse event or any grade-4 laboratory abnormality.

Cost effectivity

Currently, total cost of 24-week daclatasvir and asunaprevir dual therapy in Turkey is about 13.500 US dollars (present in the market but not paid by social security institution yet). Treatment of genotype 1b HCV infection with daclatasvir and asunaprevir dual therapy provided cost saving and health gain compared to the available treatment regimens.

In treatment-naïve patients, for life years gained (LYG), dual therapy was more cost-effective than pegylated interferon + ribavirin (-1.100 US dollars), boceprevir + pegylated interferon + ribavirin (-4.764 US dollars), telaprevir + pegylated interferon + ribavirin (-7.843 US dollars) and without-treatment (-1.000 US dollars).

In treatment-experienced patients, for LYG, dual therapy was also superior to pegylated interferon + ribavirin (-1.300 US dollars), boceprevir + pegylated interferon + ribavirin (-5.000 US dollars), telaprevir + pegylated interferon + ribavirin (-3.500 US dollars) and without-treatment (-400 US dollars).

Quality adjusted life years (QALYs) analysis for dual therapy was also better than all other available alternatives.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrated that daclatasvir-asunaprevir combination therapy is highly effective and safe in Turkish patients with chronic HCV. Daclatasvir-asunaprevir dual therapy seems to be successfull even in hardto-treat patients with chronic renal failure under hemodialysis and protease inhibitor experience.

As initial enrollment criteria, most of our patients had problematic baseline characteristics such as prior treatment, high serum HCV RNA, cirrhosis and accompanying diseases. However, SVR was more than 90% irrespective of any poor prognostic signs for HCV treatment.

Table 5. Safety profile of dual therapy in the early access programme.

(20	3.8%)
Dry mouth Erythema and itching Dyspepsia Nausea Headache Constipation Upper respiratory tract infection Insomnia Total Serious adverse events Discontinuation due to any adverse event Discontinuation due to any laboratory abnormality	2 1 4 1 3 2 1 3 3 17 0

Response to dual therapy is affected mainly by daclatasvir resistance associated polymorphism^{12,13} SVR₁₂ in patients without baseline NS5A (daclatasvir) resistance associated polymorphism has been reported as 90-100%, wheras it decreases dramatically to less than 40% in the presence of such polymorphism at baseline. ¹² Fortunately, frequency of NS5A polymorphism seems to be less than 20% in Japanese and non-Japanese population with HCV infection. ¹² However that knowledge needs further validation especially in non-Japanese patients. In our study we could analyze baseline NS5A resistance associated polymorphism in 23 patients. There was only one polymorphism and it was in the dead patient who had undetectable serum HCV RNA at 4th and 12th weeks of treatment.

One of the main advantage of daclatasvir and asunaprevir dual therapy is absence of contraindication in patients with chronic renal failure. There are few data regarding safety of oral antiviral drugs in those patients. Ombitasvirparitaprevir-ritonavir-dasabuvir and graziprevir-elbasvir combinations seem to be safe, whereas there is lack of evidence of sofosbuvir safety in chronic renal disease patients. 14-18 Reports demonstrated that daclatasvir and asunaprevir dual therapy is also safe in those patients. According to a recent study, 20 of 21 (95.5%) chronic hemodialysis patients using daclatasvir plus asunaprevir developed sustained virologic response. 9 Our data is also supporting both efficacy and safety of dual therapy in patients with chronic renal failure. Five of 6 patients had SVR and none had any adverse effects during the 24 week treatment duration.

There is limited data about the efficacy of oral antiviral agents in patients with previous protease inhibitor experience. The underlying logic is that previous protease inhibitor (NS3/4) use would increase the risk of resistance. Although the frequency of NS3/4 resistance is very low and the resistance may disappear with time, the sponsors

of registration clinical trials do not want to enroll those patient groups. Actually several reports of oral antiviral combinations including sofosbuvir-simeprevir combination have depicted that previous protease inhibitor experience did not have significant importance in respect to resistance and virological response. ¹⁹ Although study protocol did not allow enrollment of patients who were unresponsive to previous telaprevir or boceprevir treatment, 8 included patients had such a history and 7 of 8 patients had SVR in the present study.

Daclatasvir and asunaprevir have a good safety profile. ^{20,21} Headache, fatigue, diarrhea, nausea and asthenia are the most frequently reported symptoms that occur in less than one fourth of the patients. A transient increment of liver transaminases and bilirubin attributed to asunaprevir have been reported in about 5-29% of patients. ²¹ However, those rare adverse events did not cause to stop treatment in most of those patients. Interestingly none of our patients had transaminase elevations. Also, none of the patients had to stop the dual therapy as a result of any adverse events.

Although some pretreatment variables such as viral load, presence of cirrhosis, age and insulin resistance had negative effect on SVR with interferon-ribavirin treatment of HCV,^{22,23} those factors seem to have less affect SVR with recent antiviral therapies. Since the number of the patients without SVR was too low in the present study, it is hard to speculate the pretreatment variables associated with viral failure.

In conclusion, successful viral eradication by interferon-free daclatasvir and asunaprevir dual therapy leads to high sustained viral response in difficult to treat patient population. No serious adverse events or any adverse event resulting in discontinuation of therapy is reported.

REFERENCES

- Banerjee D, Reddy KR. Review article: safety and tolerability of direct-acting anti-viral agents in the new era of hepatitis C therapy. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2016 Jan 20. [Epub ahead of print].
- Gottwein JM, Jensen SB, Li YP, Ghanem L, Scheel TK, Serre SB, Mikkelsen L, et al. Combination treatment with hepatitis C virus protease and NS5A inhibitors is effective against recombinant genotype 1a, 2a, and 3a viruses. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2013; 57: 1291-303.
- Manns M, Pol S, Jacobson IM, Marcellin P, Gordon SC, Peng CY, Chang TT, et al. All-oral daclatasvir plus asunaprevir for hepatitis C virus genotype 1b: a multinational, phase 3, multicohort study. *Lancet* 2014; 384: 1597-605.
- Chayama K, Takahashi S, Toyota J, Karino Y, Ikeda K, Ishikawa H, Watanabe H, et al. Dual therapy with the nonstructural protein 5A inhibitor, daclatasvir, and the nonstructural protein 3 protease inhibitor, asunaprevir, in hepatitis C virus genotype 1b-infected null responders. *Hepatology* 2012; 55: 742-8.
- Suzuki Y, Ikeda K, Suzuki F, Toyota J, Karino Y, Chayama K, Kawakami Y, et al. Dual oral therapy with daclatasvir and

- asunaprevir for patients with HCV genotype 1b infection and limited treatment options. *J Hepatol* 2013; 58: 655-62.
- Miyaki E, Imamura M, Hiraga N, Murakami E, Kawaoka T, Tsuge M, Hiramatsu A, et al. Daclatasvir and asunaprevir treatment improves liver function parameters and reduces liver fibrosis markers in chronic hepatitis C patients. *Hepatol Res* 2015 Nov 17 [Epub ahead of print].
- Kumada H, Suzuki Y, Ikeda K, Toyota J, Karino Y, Chayama K, Kawakami Y, et al. Daclatasvir plus asunaprevir for chronic HCV genotype 1b infection. *Hepatology* 2014; 59: 2083-91.
- Kao JH, Jensen DM, Manns MP, Jacobson I, Kumada H, Toyota J, Heo J, et al. Daclatasvir Plus Asunaprevir for HCV Genotype 1b Infection in Patients With or Without Compensated Cirrhosis: A Pooled Analysis. *Liver Int* 2015 Dec 18 [Epub ahead of print].
- Suda G, Kudo M, Nagasaka A, Furuya K, Yamamoto Y, Kobayashi T, Shinada K, et al. Efficacy and safety of daclatasvir and asunaprevir combination therapy in chronic hemodialysis patients with chronic hepatitis C. J Gastroenterol 2016 Jan 14 [Epub ahead of print].
- Tanaka T, Akamatsu N, Kaneko J, Arita J, Tamura S, Hasegawa K, Sakamoto Y, et al. Daclatasvir and asunaprevir for recurrent hepatitis c following living-donor liver transplantation with human immunodeficiency virus coinfection. *Hepatol Res* 2015 Oct 27 [Epub ahead of print].
- 11. Kawaoka T, Imamura M, Morio K, Nakamura Y, Tsuge M, Nelson Hayes C, Kawakami Y, et al. Three patients treated with daclatasvir and asunaprevir for recurrent hepatitis C after liver transplantation: Case report. Hepatol Res 2015 Oct 6 [Epub ahead of print].
- McPhee F, Suzuki Y, Toyota J, Karino Y, Chayama K, Kawakami Y, Yu ML, et al. High sustained virologic response to daclatasvir plus asunaprevir in elderly and cirrhotic patients with hepatitis C virus genotype 1b without baseline NS5A polymorphisms. Adv Ther 2015; 32: 637-49.
- Yoshimi S, Imamura M, Murakami E, Hiraga N, Tsuge M, Kawakami Y, Aikata H, et al. Long term persistence of NS5A inhibitor-resistant hepatitis C virus in patients who failed daclatasvir and asunaprevir therapy. *J Med Virol* 2015; 87: 1913-20.
- 14. Corouge M, Vallet-Pichard A, Pol S. HCV and the kidney. *Liver Int* 2016; 36 (Suppl. 1): 28-33.
- 15. Pockros PJ, Reddy KR, Mantry PS, Cohen E, Bennett M, Sulkowski MS, Bernstein D, et al. Safety of Ombi- tasvir/ Paritaprevir/Ritonavir + Dasabuvir for treating HCV GT1 infection in patients with severe renal impairment or end-stage

- renal disease: the RUBY-1 study. EASL 2015. J Hepatol 2015; 62: S257.
- Roth D, Nelson D, Burchfeld A, Liapakis A, Silva M, Monsour H, Martin P, et al. Grazoprevir plus elbasvir in treatment-naive and treatment-experienced patients with hepatitis C virus genotype 1 infection and stage 4–5 chronic kidney disease (the C-SURFER study): a combination phase 3 study. *Lancet* 2015; 386: 1537-45.
- Gane EJ, Robson RA, Bonacini M, Maliakkal B, Kirby B, Liu L, Sajvani K, et al. Safety, and anti- viral efficacy and pharmacokinetics (PK) of sofosbuvir (SOF) in patients with severe renal impairment. AASLD 2014. *Hepatology* 2014; 60: Abstract 133A.
- Saxena V, Koraishy FM, Sise M, Lim JK, Schmidt M, Chung RT, Liapakis DR, et al. Safety and efficacy of sofosbuvircontaining regimens in hepatitis C infected patients with reduced renal function: real-world experience from HCV-target. EASL 2015. J Hepatol 2015; 62: S267.
- Childs-Kean LM, Hand EO. Simeprevir and sofosbuvir for treatment of chronic hepatitis C infection. *Clin Ther* 2015; 37: 243-67.
- Kumada H, Suzuki F, Suzuki Y, Toyota J, Karino Y, Chayama K, Kawakami Y, et al. Randomized comparison of daclatasvir + asunaprevir versus telaprevir + peginterferon/ribavirin in Japanese hepatitis C virus patients. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016: 31: 14-22.
- Bunchorntavakul C, Reddy KR. Review article: the efficacy and safety of daclatasvir in the treatment of chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2015; 42: 258-72.
- Tarantino G, Conca P, Ariello M, Mastrolia M. Does a lower insulin resistance affect antiviral therapy response in patients suffering from HCV related chronic hepatitis? *Gut* 2006; 55: 585-6.
- 23. Grasso A, Malfatti F, De Leo P, Martines H, Fabris P, Toscanini F, Anselmo M, et al. Insulin resistance predicts rapid virological response in non-diabetic, non-cirrhotic genotype 1 HCV patients treated with peginterferon alpha-2b plus ribavirin. *J Hepatol* 2009; 51: 984-90.

Correspondence and reprint request:

Seyfettin Köklü, M.D.
Baglarbasi mahallesi, Duman sokak. 55/11, 06300, Keçiören,
Ankara, Turkey.
Tel.: +90-505-256 3305
E-mail: gskoklu@yahoo.com