

A new class of unbiased linear estimators in systematic sampling

Eda Gizem KOÇYİĞİT*[†] and Hülya ÇİNGİ[‡]

Abstract

Use of auxiliary variables is very common in estimating various population parameters. In this study, we suggest a class of unbiased linear estimators for estimating the population mean of the study variate y using information on the auxiliary variate x in systematic sampling. The variance expressions of the suggested estimators are compared with usual unbiased estimator, Swain's (1964) ratio estimator and Shukla's (1971) product type estimator. It is demonstrated that the proposed estimators are more efficient than others.

Keywords: Systematic sampling, Ratio estimator, Product estimator, Unbiased, Efficiency.

2000 AMS Classification: AMS

1. Introduction

In sample researches, auxiliary information is commonly used in order to improve efficiency and precision of estimators while calculating sum, mean and variance of population estimations. Auxiliary information is used in ratio, product, regression and spread estimators due to its simplicity and precision. These estimators are preferable regarding correlation between auxiliary variable and study variable, and in some conditions, give results that have smaller variance, which means more precise, compared to estimators based on simple means. Studies on ratio estimator, which is one of the basic estimation methods using auxiliary information, are being carried out further. In literature, new estimators for mean, sum and variance of population have been obtained by improving classical ratio estimation. Use of auxiliary information provided by auxiliary variable was analysed extensively by Cochran [3]. In 1956, Quenouille studied the bias reduction using ratio estimations obtained from two random halves [10]. Ratio estimator for estimation of population mean in systematic sampling was first obtained by Swain [9]. In case of negative correlation between variable and auxiliary variable, Shukla suggested the product estimator in 1971 [6]. R. Singh and H. P. Singh, by weighting and summing ratio and product estimators, obtained new ratio and product estimators [8]. H. P. Singh et al. (2011) adapted the ratio and product type exponential estimators for systematic sampling [7].

*Hacettepe University, Department of Statistics, Beytepe 06800, Ankara, Turkey,
Email: eda.kocyigit@hacettepe.edu.tr

[†]Corresponding Author.

[‡]Hacettepe University, Department of Statistics, Beytepe 06800, Ankara, Turkey,
Email: hcingi@hacettepe.edu.tr

1.1. Systematic Sampling. The method of systematic sampling, first studied by Madow and Madow in 1944 [5], is widely used in surveys of finite populations. When properly applied, the method picks up any obvious or hidden stratification in the population and thus, can be more precise than random sampling. In addition, systematic sampling can be implemented easily and therefore, it reduces costs. In this variant of random sampling, only the first unit of the sample is randomly selected from the population. The subsequent units are then selected by following some definite rule.

After a simple random sampling is ranked randomly, a random unit is selected from the first k unit to take an n size sample. The sampling method where each following k unit is included in the sampling is called k -th systematic sampling.

The success of systematic sampling depends on the ranking of units. In case of availability of information on the units, ranking should be accordingly. Thus, one unit is selected from each group. In this method, the unit selected the first determines the sampling. This unit is called starting point. The starting point should be selected from the $[1, k]$ interval according to k .

Systematic sampling is a special version of simple random sampling, which facilitates the selection of units to be included in sampling. It is possible to form the sampling more easily, in a shorter time and with less error than SRS. This is an important feature especially in terms of fieldworks. Systematic sampling is more fairly distributed in the population compared to simple random sampling.

y_{ij} denotes the j -th unit of i -th systematic sampling ($i=1,2,\dots,k$ and $j=1,2,\dots,n$). Systematic sampling is considered a special case of simple random sampling and population mean can be calculated through simple random sampling.

To obtain the bias and mean square errors (MSE), let us define

$$e_0 = \frac{\bar{y}_{SYS} - \bar{Y}}{\bar{Y}}$$

and

$$e_1 = \frac{\bar{x}_{SYS} - \bar{X}}{\bar{X}}$$

[2] Such that,

$$E(e_0) = E(e_1) = 0$$

$$E(e_0^2) = \frac{N-1}{N} \frac{C_y^2}{n} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{yy}]$$

$$E(e_1^2) = \frac{N-1}{N} \frac{C_x^2}{n} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}]$$

Where,

$$\rho_{yy} = \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j < u} (y_{ij} - \bar{Y})(y_{iu} - \bar{Y})}{(n-1)(N-1)S_Y^2}$$

and

$$\rho_{xx} = \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j < u} (x_{ij} - \bar{X})(x_{iu} - \bar{X})}{(n-1)(N-1)S_X^2}$$

is intraclass correlation between a pair of units within the systematic sample for the study variate y and auxiliary variate x , respectively;

$$\rho_{xy} = \frac{E(x_{ij} - \bar{X}) E(y_{ij} - \bar{Y})}{\sqrt{E(x_{ij} - \bar{X})^2 E(y_{ij} - \bar{Y})^2}}$$

is the correlation coefficient between x and y [4];

$$\kappa = \rho_{xy} (C_y / C_x)$$

$$\rho^* = \frac{[1 + (n-1)\rho_{yy}]^{1/2}}{[1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}]^{1/2}}$$

and C_x , C_y are the coefficients of variation of the variates x and y respectively. The mean of i -th systematic sampling can be found as,

$$\bar{y}_{SYS} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{n}$$

It's not possible to say that the mean estimators in systematic sampling are always unbiased. When $N=nk$ is not true, estimators include a systematic error [1] The variance of the usual population mean estimator \bar{y}_{SYS} is given by,

$$(1.1) \quad V(\bar{y}_{SYS}) = \frac{(N-1)S_Y^2}{nN} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{YY}]$$

Classical ratio and product estimators are respectively defined by Swain (1964) and Shukla (1971) as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad \bar{y}_{r_{SYS}} = \frac{\bar{y}_{SYS} \bar{X}}{\bar{x}_{SYS}}$$

$$(1.3) \quad \bar{y}_{p_{SYS}} = \frac{\bar{x}_{SYS} \bar{X}}{\bar{y}_{SYS}}$$

The MSEs and biases of $\bar{y}_{r_{SYS}}$ and $\bar{y}_{p_{SYS}}$ to first degree approximation are found by,

$$(1.4) \quad B(\bar{y}_{r_{SYS}}) = \frac{N-1}{N} \frac{\bar{Y}}{n} C_x^2 [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] (1 - \kappa\rho^*)$$

$$(1.5) \quad B(\bar{y}_{p_{SYS}}) = \frac{N-1}{N} \frac{\bar{Y}}{n} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] C_x^2 \kappa\rho^*$$

$$(1.6) \quad MSE(\bar{y}_{p_{SYS}}) = \frac{N-1}{Nn} \bar{Y}^2 [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] [C_y^2 \rho^{*2} + C_x^2 (1 + 2\kappa\rho^*)]$$

$$(1.7) \quad MSE(\bar{y}_{r_{SYS}}) = \frac{N-1}{Nn} \bar{Y}^2 [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] [C_y^2 \rho^{*2} + C_x^2 (1 - 2\kappa\rho^*)]$$

R. Singh and H. P. Singh (1998) suggested ratio and product type estimators for estimating the population mean in systematic sampling as,

$$(1.8) \quad d_r = \omega_1 \bar{y}_{SYS} + \omega_2 \bar{y}_{SYS} \frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{x}_{SYS}} + \omega_3 \bar{y}_{SS} \left(\frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{x}_{SYS}} \right)^2$$

$$(1.9) \quad d_p = \omega_1^* \bar{y}_{SYS} + \omega_2^* \bar{y}_{SYS} \frac{\bar{x}_{SYS}}{\bar{X}} + \omega_3^* \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{SYS}}{\bar{X}} \right)^2$$

where,

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \omega_i^* = 1$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \omega_i = 1$$

The minimum variances of d_r and d_p to first degree approximation are the same and found by,

$$(1.10) \quad V(d_r)_{MN} = V(d_p)_{MN} = \frac{N-1}{Nn} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{yy}] (1 - \rho_{xy}^2) S_y^2$$

H.P. Singh, R.Tailor, N.K. Jatwa (2011) proposed ratio and product type estimators as follows,

$$(1.11) \quad \bar{y}_{PE} = \bar{y}_{SYS} \exp \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{SYS} - \bar{X}}{\bar{X} + \bar{x}_{SYS}} \right)$$

$$(1.12) \quad \bar{y}_{RE} = \bar{y}_{SYS} \exp \left(\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{x}_{SYS}}{\bar{X} + \bar{x}_{SYS}} \right)$$

The biases and MSEs of \bar{y}_{RE} and \bar{y}_{PE} to first degree approximation are found by,

$$(1.13) \quad E(\bar{y}_{RE} - \bar{Y}) = \frac{N-1}{Nn} \bar{Y} \frac{C_x^2}{8} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] (3 - 4\kappa\rho^*)$$

$$(1.14) \quad E(\bar{y}_{PE} - \bar{Y}) = \frac{N-1}{Nn} \frac{\bar{Y}}{8} C_x^2 [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] (4\kappa\rho^* - 1)$$

$$(1.15) \quad MSE(\bar{y}_{RE}^*) = \frac{N-1}{Nn} \bar{Y}^2 [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] \left[C_y^2 \rho^{*2} + C_x^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} - \kappa\rho^* \right) \right]$$

$$(1.16) \quad MSE(\bar{y}_{PE}^*) = \frac{N-1}{Nn} \bar{Y}^2 [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] \left[C_y^2 \rho^{*2} + C_x^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} + \kappa\rho^* \right) \right]$$

2. Suggested estimator in systematic sampling

Supposed $d_1 = \bar{y}_{SS}$, $d_2 = \bar{y}_{SS} \left(\frac{a\bar{x}_{SS}+b}{a\bar{X}+b} \right)^\alpha$ and $d_3 = \bar{y}_{SS} \left(\frac{a\bar{x}_{SS}+b}{a\bar{X}+b} \right)^\beta$ where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. We proposed a new class of generalised and unbiased linear estimators based on ratio and product type estimators of R. Singh & H.P. Singh (1998) and also including these estimators is suggested as follows,

$$(2.1) \quad t_G = \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i d_i$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i = 1$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. and λ_i denotes the constant used for reducing the bias. When this estimator is denominated with e terms for formulating the bias and mean square error,

$$\begin{aligned} t_G &= \lambda_1^* \bar{Y} (e_0 + 1) + \lambda_2^* \bar{Y} (e_0 + 1) \left[\frac{a\bar{X} (e_1 + 1) + b}{a\bar{X} + b} \right]^\alpha \\ &\quad + \lambda_3^* \bar{Y} (e_0 + 1) \left[\frac{a\bar{X} (e_1 + 1) + b}{a\bar{X} + b} \right]^\beta \\ &= \bar{Y} (e_0 + 1) \left[\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 (1 + ve_1)^\alpha + \lambda_3 (1 + ve_1)^\beta \right] \\ t_G &\cong \bar{Y} \left\{ 1 + ve_1 \lambda_G + v^2 e_1^2 \left[\lambda_2 \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} + \lambda_3 \frac{\beta(\beta-1)}{2} \right] + e_0 + ve_0 e_1 \lambda_G \right\} \end{aligned}$$

is obtained where $\lambda_G = \lambda_2 \alpha + \lambda_3 \beta$ and $v = \frac{a\bar{X}}{a\bar{X}+b}$. Then, to the first degree of approximation, the variance of t_G is given by,

$$(2.2) \quad MSE(t_G) = \frac{N-1}{N} \frac{\bar{Y}^2}{n} [1 + (n-1) \rho_{xx}] [C_y^2 \rho^{*2} + \lambda_G v C_x^2 (\lambda_G v + 2\kappa \rho^*)]$$

which is minimized for

$$(2.3) \quad [\lambda_{G_{MN}} = -\frac{\kappa \rho^*}{v} = -\frac{a\bar{X} + b}{a\bar{X}} \kappa \rho^*]$$

$$(2.4) \quad MSE(t_G)_{MN} = \frac{N-1}{N} \frac{\bar{Y}^2}{n} [1 + (n-1) \rho_{xx}] [C_y^2 \rho^{*2} (1 - \rho_{xy}^2)]$$

From (2.1), (2.3), we have

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i = 1$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \lambda_G = \lambda_2 \alpha + \lambda_3 \beta = -\frac{\kappa \rho^*}{v}$$

There are three unknown quantities to be determined (λ_1 , λ_2 and λ_3) from only two equations. It is not possible to obtain unique values for the λ_i 's. For obtaining λ_i 's and making the estimator unbiased, we can write,

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 B(d_i) = 0$$

Where $B(d_i)$ shows for the biases. Then we can write a matrix for solving Equation (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) together,

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ B(d_1) & B(d_2) & B(d_3) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -\frac{\kappa\rho^*}{v} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} B(d_1) &= B(\bar{y}_{SY S}) = 0 \\ B(d_2) &= B \left[\bar{y}_{SY S} \left(\frac{a\bar{x}_{SY S} + b}{a\bar{X} + b} \right)^\alpha \right] \\ &= \frac{N-1}{Nn} \bar{Y} C_x^2 [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] v\alpha \left[v \frac{(\alpha-1)}{2} + \rho^* \kappa \right] \\ B(d_3) &= B \left[\bar{y}_{SY S} \left(\frac{a\bar{x}_{SY S} + b}{a\bar{X} + b} \right)^\beta \right] \\ &= \frac{N-1}{Nn} \bar{Y} C_x^2 [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] v\beta \left[v \frac{(\beta-1)}{2} + \rho^* \kappa \right] \end{aligned}$$

We get values of λ_1 , λ_2 and λ_3 from solving matrix on (2.8) as follows,

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= 1 - \frac{\kappa\rho^*}{v^2} \frac{1}{\alpha\beta(\alpha-\beta)} \{ \beta [v(\beta-1) + 2\rho^*\kappa] - \alpha [v(\alpha-1) + 2\rho^*\kappa] \} \\ \lambda_2 &= \frac{\kappa\rho^*}{v^2} [v(\beta-1) + 2\rho^*\kappa] \\ \lambda_3 &= \frac{-\kappa^2\rho^{*2}}{v^2} \frac{[v(\alpha-1) + 2\rho^*\kappa]}{\beta(\alpha-\beta)} \end{aligned}$$

Equations of (2.9) yield in Equation (2.1) and (2.2), we have class of unbiased linear estimator for \bar{Y} as,

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} t_G &= 1 - \frac{\kappa\rho^*}{v^2} \frac{1}{\alpha\beta(\alpha-\beta)} \{ \beta [v(\beta-1) + 2\rho^*\kappa] - \alpha [v(\alpha-1) + 2\rho^*\kappa] \} \bar{y}_{SY S} \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa\rho^*}{v^2} [v(\beta-1) + 2\rho^*\kappa] \bar{y}_{SY S} \left(\frac{a\bar{x}_{SY S} + b}{a\bar{X} + b} \right)^\alpha \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa^2\rho^{*2}}{v^2} \frac{[v(\alpha-1) + 2\rho^*\kappa]}{\beta(\alpha-\beta)} \bar{y}_{SY S} \left(\frac{a\bar{x}_{SY S} + b}{a\bar{X} + b} \right)^\beta \end{aligned}$$

With the variance,

$$(2.11) \quad MSE(t_G)_{MN} = \frac{N-1}{N} \frac{\bar{Y}^2}{n} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] [C_y^2 \rho^{*2} (1 - \rho_{xy}^2)]$$

Some unbiased members of the class of estimators t_G are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Some members of the class of estimators t_G

Estimators ($\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ are minimum values)	α	β	a	b
$t_G = \lambda_1 \bar{y}_{SYS} + \lambda_2 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{a\bar{x}_{SYS}+b}{a\bar{X}+b} \right)^\alpha + \lambda_3 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{a\bar{x}_{SYS}+b}{a\bar{X}+b} \right)^\beta$	α	β	a	b
$t_{G_1} = \lambda_1 \bar{y}_{SYS} + (1 - \lambda_1) \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{a\bar{x}_{SYS}+b}{a\bar{X}+b} \right)^\alpha$	$\alpha = \beta$		a	b
$t_{G_2} = \bar{y}_{SYS}$	0	0	a	b
	α	β	0	1
$t_{G_3} = \lambda_1 \bar{y}_{SYS} + \lambda_2 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{a\bar{x}_{SYS}+b}{a\bar{X}+b} \right) + \lambda_3 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{a\bar{x}_{SYS}+b}{a\bar{X}+b} \right)^2$	1	2	a	b
$t_{G_4} = \lambda_1 \bar{y}_{SYS} + \lambda_2 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{SYS}}{\bar{X}} \right)^\alpha + \lambda_3 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{SYS}}{\bar{X}} \right)^\beta$	> 0	> 0	1	0
	α	β	$C_x, \rho_{xy}, \beta_2(x)$	0
$t_{G_5} = \lambda_1 \bar{y}_{SYS} + \lambda_2 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{x}_{SYS}} \right)^\alpha + \lambda_3 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{x}_{SYS}} \right)^\beta$	< 0	< 0	1	0
$t_{G_6} = \lambda_1 \bar{y}_{SYS} + \lambda_2 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{x}_{SYS}} \right)^\alpha + \lambda_3 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{SYS}}{\bar{X}} \right)^\beta$	< 0	> 0	1	0
$t_{G_7} = \lambda_1 \bar{y}_{SYS} + \lambda_2 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{SYS}+C_x}{\bar{X}+C_x} \right)^\alpha + \lambda_3 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{SYS}+C_x}{\bar{X}+C_x} \right)^\beta$	> 0	> 0	1	C_x
$t_{G_8} = \lambda_1 \bar{y}_{SYS} + \lambda_2 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{SYS}+\rho_{xy}}{\bar{X}+\rho_{xy}} \right)^\alpha + \lambda_3 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{SYS}+\rho_{xy}}{\bar{X}+\rho_{xy}} \right)^\beta$	> 0	> 0	1	ρ_{xy}
$t_{G_9} = \lambda_1 \bar{y}_{SYS} + \lambda_2 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{SYS}+\beta_2(x)}{\bar{X}+\beta_2(x)} \right)^\alpha + \lambda_3 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{SYS}+\beta_2(x)}{\bar{X}+\beta_2(x)} \right)^\beta$	> 0	> 0	1	$\beta_2(x)$
$t_{G_{10}} = \lambda_1 \bar{y}_{SYS} + \lambda_2 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{X}+C_x}{\bar{x}_{SYS}+C_x} \right)^\alpha + \lambda_3 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{X}+C_x}{\bar{x}_{SYS}+C_x} \right)^\beta$	< 0	< 0	1	C_x
$t_{G_{11}} = \lambda_1 \bar{y}_{SYS} + \lambda_2 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{X}+\rho_{xy}}{\bar{x}_{SYS}+\rho_{xy}} \right)^\alpha + \lambda_3 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{X}+\rho_{xy}}{\bar{x}_{SYS}+\rho_{xy}} \right)^\beta$	< 0	< 0	1	ρ_{xy}
$t_{G_{12}} = \lambda_1 \bar{y}_{SYS} + \lambda_2 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{X}+\beta_2(x)}{\bar{x}_{SYS}+\beta_2(x)} \right)^\alpha + \lambda_3 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{X}+\beta_2(x)}{\bar{x}_{SYS}+\beta_2(x)} \right)^\beta$	< 0	< 0	1	$\beta_2(x)$

3. Theoretical comparison

Minimum variance of proposed estimator is always smaller than the variance of Swain's ratio type, Shukla's product type estimators and H. P. Singh and etc. (2011) ratio and product type estimators except special situations as follows:

The inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(t_G)_{MIN} &< V(\bar{y}_{SYS}) \\
 \frac{N-1}{Nn} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] [\rho^{*2} S_y^2 (1 - \rho_{xy}^2)] &< \frac{N-1}{Nn} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{yy}] S_Y^2 \\
 \frac{N-1}{Nn} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{yy}] S_y^2 - \frac{N-1}{Nn} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{yy}] S_y^2 \rho_{xy}^2 &< \frac{N-1}{Nn} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{yy}] S_Y^2 \\
 [1 + (n-1)\rho_{yy}] &> 0
 \end{aligned}$$

is always true when $\rho_{yy} > -1/(n-1)$ condition is true.

The inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(t_G)_{MIN} &< MSE(\bar{y}_{r_{SYS}}) \\
 \frac{N-1}{Nn} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] \bar{Y}^2 [\rho^{*2} C_y^2 (1 - \rho_{xy}^2)] &< \frac{N-1}{Nn} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] \bar{Y}^2 [\rho^{*2} C_y^2 + C_x^2 (1 - 2\kappa\rho^*)]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$0 < C_x^2 - 2C_x^2\kappa\rho^* + \rho^{*2}C_y^2\rho_{xy}^2$$

$$0 < (\kappa\rho^* - 1)^2$$

is always true except when $\kappa\rho^* > 1$

The inequality,

$$V(t_G)_{MIN} < HKO(\bar{y}_{p_{SYS}})$$

$$\frac{N-1}{Nn} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] \bar{Y}^2 [\rho^{*2}C_y^2(1 - \rho_{xy}^2)] < \frac{N-1}{Nn} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] \bar{Y}^2 [\rho^{*2}C_y^2 + C_x^2(1 + 2\kappa\rho^*)]$$

$$0 < C_x^2 + 2C_x^2\kappa\rho^* + \rho^{*2}C_y^2\rho_{xy}^2$$

$$0 < (\kappa\rho^* + 1)^2$$

is always true except when $\kappa\rho^* > -1$

The inequality,

$$V(t_G)_{MIN} < HKO(\bar{y}_{RE})$$

$$\frac{N-1}{Nn} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] \bar{Y}^2 [\rho^{*2}C_y^2(1 - \rho_{xy}^2)] < \frac{N-1}{Nn} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] \bar{Y}^2 \left[\rho^{*2}C_y^2 + C_x^2\left(\frac{1}{4} - \kappa\rho^*\right) \right]$$

$$-\rho^{*2}C_y^2\rho_{xy}^2 < C_x^2\left(\frac{1}{4} - \kappa\rho^*\right)$$

$$0 < C_x^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} - \rho_{xy} \frac{C_y}{C_x} \rho^* + \rho^{*2} \frac{C_y^2}{C_x^2} \rho_{xy}^2 \right)$$

$$\frac{1}{4} > \kappa\rho^* (1 - \kappa\rho^*)$$

is always true when the condition is true.

The inequality,

$$V(t_G)_{MIN} < HKO(\bar{y}_{PE})$$

$$\frac{N-1}{Nn} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] \bar{Y}^2 [\rho^{*2}C_y^2(1 - \rho_{xy}^2)] < \frac{N-1}{Nn} [1 + (n-1)\rho_{xx}] \bar{Y}^2 \left[\rho^{*2}C_y^2 + C_x^2\left(\frac{1}{4} + \kappa\rho^*\right) \right]$$

$$-\rho^{*2}C_y^2\rho_{xy}^2 < C_x^2\left(\frac{1}{4} + \kappa\rho^*\right)$$

$$0 < C_x^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} + \rho_{xy} \frac{C_y}{C_x} \rho^* + \rho^{*2} \frac{C_y^2}{C_x^2} \rho_{xy}^2 \right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{4} < \kappa\rho^* (1 + \kappa\rho^*)$$

is always true when the condition is true.

4. Numerical Study

This chapter, in order to be able to make digital impressions at 2013, the amount of particulate matter and Nitric oxide measurements which recorded at air quality monitoring station in Istanbul's Kadikoy district, were used. Airborne particulate matter like emoticon study variable, is the amount of nitrogen oxide (x) auxiliary variables has been accepted. The correlation between the amount of airborne particulate matter and amount of Nitric oxide was found to be $\rho_{xy} = 0.833$ as well as seen in Table 2. Due to the positive high relationship between these two variables in the estimates used to estimation of population mean values of ratio estimation, as well, and MSEs was calculated.

Table 2. Population parameters

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Correlation
<i>PM10 (Y)</i>	351	51,57	32,71	0,833
<i>NO (X)</i>	351	36,11	52,74	

We ranked the population according to the dates and drew a sample with $n=117$ and $k=3$ from the population with systematic sampling. Table 3 shows the information of sample. Table 4 gives solution of the calculation of estimates, biases and MSEs.

Table 3. Summaring of the sample data

	n	Mean	Std. Deviation
<i>PM10 (Y)</i>	351	51,57	32,71
<i>NO (X)</i>	351	36,11	52,74

Table 4. Estimates, biases and MSEs values

Estimators	Estimate	Bias	MSE
\bar{y}_{SYS}	52,9316	-	8,1453
$d_r = \omega_1 \bar{y}_{SYS} + \omega_2 \bar{y}_{SYS} \frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{x}_{SYS}} + \omega_3 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{x}_{SYS}} \right)^2$	50,7472	-	2,4931
$\bar{y}_{RE} = \bar{y}_{SYS} \exp \left(\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{x}_{SYS}}{\bar{X} + \bar{x}_{SYS}} \right)$	51,5872	-0,0054	3,3389
$t_G = \lambda_1 \bar{y}_{SYS} + \lambda_2 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{a\bar{x}_{SYS} + b}{a\bar{X} + b} \right)^\alpha + \lambda_3 \bar{y}_{SYS} \left(\frac{a\bar{x}_{SYS} + b}{a\bar{X} + b} \right)^\beta$	50,7514	-	2,4931

5. Conclusion

Table 4 shows that the performance of the proposed a class of estimator t_G is better than usual unbiased estimator, Swain's (1964) ratio estimator and H.P. Singh and etc. (2011) ratio estimator. d_r and t_G estimators have minimum and the same variance value. Suggested estimator is independent from alpha and beta's negativity so estimates are not depend on type of estimator. Thus we don't need to check positive or negative correlation between study and auxiliary variable.

References

- [1] Çingı, H., Örnekleme Kuramı, 3. Baskı, Bizim Büro Basımevi Yayın Dağ. Tic. Ltd. Şirketi, 223-255, 2009.
- [2] Çingı, H., Kadılar C., Advances in sampling theory-ratio method of estimation, Bentham Science Publishers, 2009.
- [3] Cochran, W.G., Sampling Techniques, 3rd edition, New York: Wiley, Page: 150-186, 205-231, 1977.
- [4] İnal, C., Günay, S., Olasılık ve Matematiksel İstatistik, Hacettepe Üniversitesi Fen Fakültesi Yayınları, 2009.
- [5] Madow, W. G., & Madow, L. H., On the theory of systematic sampling, I. The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, **15**(1), 1-24, 1994.
- [6] Shukla, N.D., Systematic sampling and product method of estimation, Proceeding of all India Seminar on Demography and Statistics. B.H.U., Varanasi, India, 1971.
- [7] Singh, H. P., Tailor, R., & Jatwa, N. K., Modified ratio and product estimators for population mean in systematic sampling., *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods*, **10**(2), 4, 2011.
- [8] Singh, R., Singh, H. P., Almost unbiased ratio and product-type estimators in systematic sampling, *Questio*, **22** (3), 403-416, 1998.
- [9] Swain, A.K.P.C., The use of systematic sampling in ratio estimate, *Jour. Ind. Stat. Assoc.*, **2** (213), 160-164, 1964.
- [10] Quenouille, M. H., Notes on bias in estimation. *Biometrika*, **43**(3/4), 353-360, 1956.