



Global attractors for the plate equation with a localized damping and a critical exponent in an unbounded domain

A.Kh. Khanmamedov

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Hacettepe University, Beytepe 06532, Ankara, Turkey

Received 6 December 2004

Available online 18 January 2006

Abstract

In this paper, we study the asymptotic behavior of solutions for the plate equation with a localized damping and a critical exponent. We prove the existence, regularity and finite dimensionality of a global attractor in $W_2^2(R^n) \times L_2(R^n)$.

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Global attractors; Regularity of attractors; Finite dimensionality of attractors

1. Introduction

The paper is devoted to the investigation of a global attractor for the following plate equation in R^n :

$$u_{tt} + \alpha(x)u_t + \Delta^2 u + \lambda u + f(u) = g(x), \quad (1.1)$$

where λ is a positive constant, $\alpha(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$ are given functions and $f(\cdot)$ is a nonlinear function satisfying certain growth conditions.

The global attractors for the hyperbolic equations with interior dissipation were investigated in [1–6] and references therein. The long-time behavior of solutions for the semilinear wave equations with localized damping was investigated in [7,8], where the author established the exponential decay of solutions using a unique continuation result for wave equations from [9].

E-mail address: azer@hacettepe.edu.tr.

In [10], using finite speed of propagation and a unique continuation result for the wave equations, the existence of a global attractor for the semilinear wave equations with localized damping has been established. The existence of a global attractor for Eq. (1.1), when $\alpha(x) \equiv \alpha_0 > 0$, was studied in [11].

The main objective of this paper is to study the existence, regularity and finite dimensionality of a global attractor for the plate equation with a localized damping and a critical exponent in an unbounded domain. The paper is organized as follows: In the next section we establish the asymptotic compactness of the semigroup generated by the Cauchy problem for Eq. (1.1), in Section 3 we present the proof of point dissipativity and then applying the result from [12], we establish the existence of a global attractor, and finally in Section 4 we prove regularity and finite dimensionality of the global attractor.

2. Preliminaries

We consider the problem

$$u_{tt} + \alpha(x)u_t + \Delta^2 u + \lambda u + f(u) = g(x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2.1}$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(0, x) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\lambda > 0$, $g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the functions $\alpha(\cdot)$ and $f(\cdot)$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\alpha \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \alpha(\cdot) \geq 0, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\alpha(x) \geq \alpha_0 > 0 \quad \text{for every } |x| \geq r_0 > 0, \tag{2.4}$$

$$f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad |f'(u)| \leq c(1 + |u|^p), \quad p > 0, \quad (n - 4)p \leq 4, \tag{2.5}$$

$$f(u) \cdot u \geq 0 \quad \text{for every } u \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.6}$$

We denote the spaces $W_2^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by H^s , and the norm in H^s by $\|\cdot\|_s$. We introduce the spaces $\mathcal{H}_s = H^{2+2s} \times H^{2s}$.

It is well known that under conditions (2.3)–(2.6) the solution operator $S(t)(u_0, u_1) = (u(t), u_t(t))$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, of problem (2.1), (2.2) generates a C_0 -group on the space \mathcal{H}_0 , which satisfies the following equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}S(t)\theta_0 = AS(t)\theta_0 + F(S(t)\theta_0), \tag{2.7}$$

and consequently

$$S(t)\theta_0 = e^{tA}\theta_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)A}F(S(\tau)\theta_0) d\tau \quad \forall t \geq 0, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\theta_0 = (u_0, u_1)$, $F(S(\tau)\theta_0) = (0, -f(u(\tau)) + g)$ and $A w = (w_2, -\Delta^2 w_1 - \lambda w_1 - \alpha(\cdot)w_2)$ for $w = (w_1, w_2)$. Using techniques of [8] it is easy to show that

$$\|e^{tA}\|_{L(\mathcal{H}_s, \mathcal{H}_s)} \leq M e^{-\omega t} \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall s \in [-1, 1], \tag{2.9}$$

holds for some $M > 0, \omega > 0$.

To prove the existence of a global attractor we need the following lemmas:

Lemma 1. *Let us assume that conditions (2.3)–(2.6) are satisfied. If $\theta_m \rightarrow \theta_0$ weakly in \mathcal{H}_0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} S(t)\theta_m &\rightarrow S(t)\theta_0 && \text{weakly in } L_2(0, T; \mathcal{H}_0), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} S(t)\theta_m &\rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} S(t)\theta_0 && \text{weakly in } L_2(0, T; \mathcal{H}_{-1}), \\ S(t)\theta_m &\rightarrow S(t)\theta_0 && \text{weakly in } \mathcal{H}_0 \text{ for every } t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is the same as the proof of [11, Lemma 1]. \square

Lemma 2. *Let us assume the conditions (2.3)–(2.6) are satisfied and B is a bounded subset of \mathcal{H}_0 . Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $t_1 = t_1(\varepsilon, B) > 0$ and $r_1 = r_1(\varepsilon, B)$ such that for every $t \geq t_1, r \geq r_1$ and every $\theta \in B$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \|S(\tau)\theta\|_{W_2^2(R^n \setminus B(0,r)) \times L_2(R^n \setminus B(0,r))}^2 d\tau \leq \varepsilon, \tag{2.10}$$

where $B(0, r) = \{x: x \in R^n, |x| \leq r\}$.

Proof. Multiplying (2.1) by u_t and integrating over $[\tau, t] \times R^n$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(u(t), u_t(t)) + \int_{R^n} \Phi(u(t, x)) dx - \int_{R^n} g(x)u(t, x) dx + \int_{\tau}^t \int_{R^n} \alpha(x)u_t^2(s, x) dx ds \\ = E(u(\tau), u_t(\tau)) + \int_{R^n} \Phi(u(\tau, x)) dx - \int_{R^n} g(x)u(\tau, x) dx, \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where $E(u(t), u_t(t)) = \frac{1}{2}\|u_t(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\Delta u(t)\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\|u(t)\|^2$ and $\Phi(s) = \int_0^s f(\tau) d\tau$.

Let $\varphi(\cdot) \in C^\infty(R^n)$ be such that $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$ and

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \geq 2, \\ 0, & |x| \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

furthermore define $\varphi_r(x) = \varphi(\frac{x}{r})$. Multiplying (2.1) by $\varphi_r u(t, x)$, integrating over $[0, t] \times R^n$ and taking into account (2.5), (2.6), (2.11) we obtain that for every $r \geq r_1$ and $t > 0$

$$\int_0^t (\|\Delta u\|_{L_2(R^n \setminus B(0,2r))}^2 + \|u\|_{L_2(R^n \setminus B(0,2r))}^2) ds \leq c_1 \left(1 + \frac{t}{r} + t\|g\|_{L_2(R^n \setminus B(0,r))}^2 \right),$$

which together with (2.11) yields (2.10). \square

Lemma 3. Assume the conditions (2.3)–(2.6) are satisfied, and B is a bounded subset of \mathcal{H}_0 . If $\{\theta_m\}$ is a sequence in B weakly converging to θ in \mathcal{H}_0 , then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $T_0 = T_0(\varepsilon, B) > 0$ such that whenever $T \geq T_0$

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|S(T)\theta_m - S(T)\theta\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \leq \varepsilon \tag{2.12}$$

holds.

Proof. Let $\theta_m = (u_{0m}, u_{1m})$, then $S(t)\theta_m = (u^{(m)}(t), u_t^{(m)}(t))$, where $u^m(t, \cdot)$ is the solution of Eq. (2.1) subject to the conditions $u^m(0, x) = u_{0m}(x)$ and $u_t^m(0, x) = u_{1m}(x)$. Multiplying (2.1) by $(u_t + \frac{\alpha_0}{2}\varphi_{r_0}u)$, integrating over $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and taking into account (2.5), (2.6) and (2.11) we obtain that for every $T > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_{r_0} [|u_t(t)|^2 + |\Delta u(t)|^2 + \lambda |u(t)|^2] dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_{r_0} f(u(t, x)) u(t, x) dx \right] dt \right. \\ & + \int_0^T \left[\int_{B(0, 2r_0)} \Delta u(t) u(t) \Delta \varphi_{r_0} dx + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{B(0, 2r_0)} \Delta u(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \varphi_{r_0} dx \right] dt \\ & \left. - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_{r_0} g(x) u(t, x) dx dt \right| \leq c_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Similar to (2.13), since B is bounded in \mathcal{H}_0 and $\theta_m \in B$, for every $T > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_{r_0} [|u_t^{(m)}|^2 + |\Delta u^{(m)}|^2 + \lambda |u^{(m)}|^2] dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_{r_0} f(u^{(m)}) u^{(m)} dx \right] dt \right. \\ & + \int_0^T \left[\int_{B(0, 2r_0)} u^{(m)} \Delta u^{(m)} \Delta \varphi_{r_0} dx + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{B(0, 2r_0)} \Delta u^{(m)} \frac{\partial u^{(m)}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi_{r_0}}{\partial x_i} dx \right] dt \\ & \left. - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_{r_0} g(x) u^{(m)}(t, x) dx dt \right| \leq c_2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

holds.

By (2.6), Lemma 1 and compact embedding theorems we have

$$\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_{r_0} f(u^{(m)}) u^{(m)} dx dt \geq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_{r_0} f(u) u dx dt. \tag{2.15}$$

By Lemma 1 and inequalities (2.13)–(2.15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{R^n} \varphi_{r_0} [|u_t^{(m)}|^2 + |\Delta u^{(m)}|^2 + \lambda |u^{(m)}|^2] dx dt \\ & \leq 2c_2 + \int_0^T \int_{R^n} \varphi_{r_0} [|u_t|^2 + |\Delta u|^2 + \lambda |u|^2] dx dt, \quad \forall T > 0, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{R^n \setminus B(0, 2r_0)} [|u_t^{(m)} - u_t|^2 + |\Delta(u^{(m)} - u)|^2 + \lambda |u^{(m)} - u|^2] dx dt \leq 2c_2, \\ & \forall T > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Now let $p_i(\cdot) \in C^\infty(R^n)$ be such that

$$p_i(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & |x| \geq 3r_0, \\ x_i, & |x| \leq 2r_0, \end{cases} \quad i = \overline{1, n}.$$

Multiplying (2.1) by $\sum_{i=1}^n (p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u + (\frac{1}{2} - \mu) \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_i} u)$, integrating over $[0, T] \times R^n$ and taking into account (2.11) we obtain that for every $T > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T \left[\int_{B(0, 2r_0)} [n\mu |u_t(t)|^2 + (2 - n\mu) |\Delta u(t)|^2 - \lambda n\mu |u(t)|^2] dx \right] dt \right. \\ & + \mu \int_0^T \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{R^n \setminus B(0, 2r_0)} \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_i} [|u_t(t)|^2 - |\Delta u(t)|^2 - \lambda |u(t)|^2] dx \right] dt \\ & - \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{R^n} \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_i} \Phi(u) dx dt + 2 \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{R^n \setminus B(0, 2r_0)} \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_k} \Delta u \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} dx dt \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{R^n} \Delta u \left[\frac{\partial(\Delta p_i)}{\partial x_i} u + 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial^2 p_i}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} \right] dx dt \\ & \left. + \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{R^n} \Delta u \Delta p_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} dx dt - \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{R^n} g \left[p_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_i} u \right] dx dt \right| \leq c_3. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Similar to (2.17)—since B is bounded in \mathcal{H}_0 and $\theta_m \in B$ —for every $T > 0$ we can say that

$$\left| \int_0^T \left[\int_{B(0, 2r_0)} [n\mu |u_t^{(m)}(t)|^2 + (2 - n\mu) |\Delta u^{(m)}(t)|^2 - \lambda n\mu |u^{(m)}(t)|^2] dx \right] dt \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \mu \int_0^T \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{R^n \setminus B(0, 2r_0)} \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_i} [|u_t^{(m)}(t)|^2 - |\Delta u^{(m)}(t)|^2 - \lambda |u^{(m)}(t)|^2] dx \right] dt \\
 & - \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{R^n} \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_i} \Phi(u^{(m)}) dx dt + 2 \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{R^n \setminus B(0, 2r_0)} \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_k} \Delta u^{(m)} \frac{\partial^2 u^{(m)}}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} dx dt \\
 & + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{R^n} \Delta u^{(m)} \left[\frac{\partial(\Delta p_i)}{\partial x_i} u^{(m)} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial u^{(m)}}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial^2 p_i}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} \right] dx dt \\
 & + \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{R^n} \Delta u^{(m)} \Delta p_i \frac{\partial u^{(m)}}{\partial x_i} dx dt - \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{R^n} p_i g \frac{\partial u^{(m)}}{\partial x_i} dx dt \\
 & - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{R^n} g \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_i} u^{(m)} dx dt \Big| \leq c_3. \tag{2.18}
 \end{aligned}$$

If we take $\mu \in (0, \frac{2}{n})$, then by Lemma 1 and from (2.16)–(2.18) we have

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{B(0, 2r_0)} [|u_t^{(m)} - u_t|^2 + |\Delta(u^{(m)} - u)|^2] dx dt \leq c_4(1 + \sqrt{T}) \tag{2.19}$$

for every $T > 0$.

On the other hand, by (2.5), (2.6), (2.10) and (2.11), for any $\delta > 0$ there exist $t_0 = t_0(\delta, B) > 0$ and $r_1 = r_1(\delta, B)$ such that for every $T \geq t_0, r \geq r_1$

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_{R^n \setminus B(0, r)} \Phi(u^{(m)}(t, x)) dx dt \leq \delta. \tag{2.20}$$

Thus (2.16), (2.19) and (2.20) give us

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left[E(u^{(m)}(t), u_t^{(m)}(t)) + \int_{R^n} \Phi(u^{(m)}(t, x)) dx - \int_{R^n} g(x) u^{(m)}(t, x) dx \right] dt \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left[E(u(t), u_t(t)) + \int_{R^n} \Phi(u(t, x)) dx - \int_{R^n} g(x) u(t, x) dx \right] dt + \frac{c_5(1 + \sqrt{T})}{T} + \delta,
 \end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.11) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[E(u^{(m)}(T), u_t^{(m)}(T)) + \int_{R^n} \Phi(u^{(m)}(T, x)) dx + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_t^T \int_{R^n} \alpha(x) |u_t^{(m)}(s, x)|^2 dx ds dt \right] \\
 & \leq E(u(T), u_t(T)) + \int_{R^n} \Phi(u(T, x)) dx + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_t^T \int_{R^n} \alpha(x) |u_t(s, x)|^2 dx ds dt \\
 & \quad + \frac{c_5(1 + \sqrt{T})}{T} + \delta
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

for every $T \geq t_0$.

Since by Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R^n} \Phi(u^{(m)}(T, x)) dx \geq \int_{R^n} \Phi(u(T, x)) dx, \\
 & \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_t^T \int_{R^n} \alpha(x) |u_t^{(m)}(s, x)|^2 dx ds dt \geq \int_0^T \int_t^T \int_{R^n} \alpha(x) |u_t(s, x)|^2 dx ds dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

inequality (2.21) gives (2.12). \square

Now Lemmas 1–3 give us asymptotic compactness of $S(t)$, which is included in the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Assume conditions (2.3)–(2.6) hold. Then for any bounded subset B of \mathcal{H}_0 , the set $\{S(t_m)\theta_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is relatively compact in \mathcal{H}_0 , where $t_m \rightarrow \infty$ and $\{\theta_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset B$.*

Proof. Since B is bounded, taking into account (2.5) and (2.6) in (2.11) we find that $\sup_{t \geq 0} \sup_{\theta \in B} \|S(t)\theta\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} < \infty$. Therefore there exists a bounded subset B_0 of \mathcal{H}_0 such that $S(t)\theta \in B_0$ for every $t \geq 0$ and $\theta \in B$. Thus $\{S(t_m)\theta_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence $\{S(t_{m_k})\theta_{m_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ weakly converging in \mathcal{H}_0 to some $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_0$. From Lemma 3 we know that, if $\{\xi_v\}_{v=1}^\infty \subset B_0$ and $\xi_v \rightarrow \xi$ weakly in \mathcal{H}_0 , then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $T_0 = T_0(\varepsilon, B_0) > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{v \rightarrow \infty} \|S(T_0)\xi_v - S(T_0)\xi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \varepsilon. \tag{2.22}$$

For $t_{m_k} \geq T_0$ —since $S(t_{m_k} - T_0)\theta_{m_k} \in B_0$ —there is a subsequence $\{S(t_{m_{k_v}} - T_0)\theta_{m_{k_v}}\}_{v=1}^\infty$ weakly converging to some ξ in \mathcal{H}_0 . Then by Lemma 1, the sequence $\{S(t_{m_{k_v}})\theta_{m_{k_v}}\}_{v=1}^\infty$ weakly converges to $S(T_0)\xi$ in \mathcal{H}_0 . Hence from the uniqueness of the limit we get $\psi = S(T_0)\xi$. Taking $\xi_v = S(t_{m_{k_v}} - T_0)\theta_{m_{k_v}}$ in (2.22) we obtain

$$\limsup_{v \rightarrow \infty} \|S(t_{m_{k_v}})\theta_{m_{k_v}} - \psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \leq \varepsilon$$

and consequently $\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|S(t_m)\theta_m - \psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} = 0$. In other words the sequence $\{S(t_m)\theta_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence strongly convergent in \mathcal{H}_0 . It can be seen in a similar way that every

subsequence of $\{S(t_m)\theta_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence strongly convergent in \mathcal{H}_0 . Thus the set $\{S(t_m)\theta_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is relatively compact in \mathcal{H}_0 . \square

3. Existence of a global attractor

In this section, we shall show the existence of the global attractor. To this end, we first prove the point dissipativity of $S(t)$.

Let $Z = \{\varphi: \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0, S(t)\varphi = \varphi, \forall t \in R\}$. From condition (2.6) it follows that Z is bounded in \mathcal{H}_0 (even in \mathcal{H}_1).

Theorem 2. *Assume conditions (2.3)–(2.6) hold. Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(S(t)\theta, Z) = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

for every $\theta \in \mathcal{H}_0$.

Proof. Let $\theta \in \mathcal{H}_0$. From Theorem 1 it follows that the ω -limit set of θ namely

$$\omega(\theta) = \bigcap_{\tau \geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{t \geq \tau} S(t)\theta^{cl}}$$

is compact in \mathcal{H}_0 , invariant with respect to $S(t)$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(S(t)\theta, \omega(\theta)) = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $(u, u_t) = S(t)\theta$. Since by (2.3) and (2.11) the Lyapunov function $L(S(t)\theta) := E(u(t), u_t(t)) + \int_{R^n} \Phi(u(t, x)) dx - \int_{R^n} g(x)u(t, x) dx$ is nonincreasing and bounded below, it has a limit at positive infinity, i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} L(S(t)\theta) = l.$$

This means that

$$L(\varphi) = l$$

for every $\varphi \in \omega(\theta)$. Consequently if $\varphi \in \omega(\theta)$ and $(v, v_t) = S(t)\varphi$, then $v(t, x)$ satisfies the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} v_{tt} + \Delta^2 v + \lambda v + f(v) = g(x), & (t, x) \in R \times R^n, \\ \alpha v_t = 0, & (t, x) \in R \times R^n. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Let $w(t, \cdot) = v(t + t_0, \cdot) - v(t, \cdot)$ and $h(t, x) = \int_0^1 f'(v + \tau w) d\tau$. Then from (3.3) we obtain

$$\begin{cases} w_{tt} + \Delta^2 w + \lambda w + hw = 0, & (t, x) \in R \times R^n, \\ \alpha w = 0, & (t, x) \in R \times R^n. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Now let us show that $\varphi \in Z$. (Note that when $n \leq 3$, since $h \in L_\infty(R \times R^n)$ we see $w = 0$ in $R \times R^n$ from the result of [13], consequently $\varphi \in Z$.) Multiplying both sides of (3.4)₁ by $e^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}$ and applying the Fourier transform we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \mathcal{F}(we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j})(y) + \left[\sum_{j=1}^n (k - iy_j)^2 \right]^2 \mathcal{F}(we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j})(y) + \lambda \mathcal{F}(we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j})(y) \\ & + \mathcal{F}(hwe^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j})(y) = 0, \quad (t, y) \in R \times R^n, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $k > 1$ and $\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{R^n} \varphi(x) e^{-i\langle x, y \rangle} dx$, $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j y_j$.
 Multiplying both sides of (3.5) by

$$\frac{i(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n)}{\sum_{j=1}^n (k - iy_j)^2} \overline{\mathcal{F}}(we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j})(y)$$

and integrating the real parts over $(0, t) \times R^n$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{R^n} \frac{2k(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n)^2}{|\sum_{j=1}^n (k - iy_j)^2|^2} |\mathcal{F}(w_t e^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j})|^2 dy d\tau \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{R^n} [2k(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n)^2 - \lambda] |\mathcal{F}(we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j})|^2 dy d\tau \\ & + \operatorname{Re} \int_0^t \int_{R^n} \frac{i(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n)}{\sum_{j=1}^n (k - iy_j)^2} \mathcal{F}(hwe^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) \overline{\mathcal{F}}(we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) dy d\tau \\ & \leq c_1 (\|\omega(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}), \quad \forall t > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which together with Plancherel’s theorem gives us

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \int_0^t \int_{R^n} \frac{i(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n)}{\sum_{j=1}^n (k - iy_j)^2} \mathcal{F}(hwe^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) \overline{\mathcal{F}}(we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) dy d\tau \\ & + 2k \int_0^t \int_{R^n} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) \right]^2 dx d\tau \\ & \leq \lambda \int_0^t \int_{R^n} [we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}]^2 dx d\tau + c_1 (\|\omega(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}), \quad \forall t > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where $\|\omega(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \sup_{\varphi \in \omega(\theta)} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$.

Now in order to estimate the first term on the left side of (3.6) let us show that if $u, v \in W_2^2(R^n)$ and $v = 0$ in $R^n \setminus B(0, r_0)$ then

$$\|f'(u)v\|_{L_q(R^n)} \leq c(r_0, \|u\|_{W_2^2(R^n)}) \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} v \right\|_{L_2(R^n)} \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$q = \min \left\{ \frac{2n}{n+3}, \frac{2(n-1)(n-4)}{n(n-1)-16} \right\} \quad \text{for } n \geq 5$$

and $1 < q < 2$ for $n < 5$. We will prove (3.7) in the case $n \geq 5$ (in the case $n < 5$ the proof is simpler). Let $x_i = \sum_{j=1}^i y_j$, $i = \overline{1, n}$ and $\tilde{u}(y) = u(x)$, $\tilde{v}(y) = v(x)$. Since $\tilde{v}(y) = 0$ for $|y_1| \geq r_0$ we have

$$\sup_{y_1 \in R} \|\tilde{v}(y_1, \cdot)\|_{L_2(R^{n-1})} \leq c_2(\tilde{r}_0) \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \tilde{v} \right\|_{L_2(R^n)} \quad \|\tilde{v}\|_{L_2(R^n)} \leq c_2(\tilde{r}_0) \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \tilde{v} \right\|_{L_2(R^n)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Let

$$\beta = \max \left\{ 1, \frac{4n}{(n-4)(n+3)} \right\}.$$

Since $W_2^2(R^n) \subset L_{2\beta}(R_{y_1}; L_{2(n-1)/(n-4-1/\beta)}(R^{n-1}))$ using (1.3) and Holder inequality we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R^n} |f'(u)v|^q dx &= \int_{-r_0}^{r_0} dy_1 \int_{\{y': y' \in R^{n-1}, |y'_1| \leq \tilde{r}_0\}} |f'(\tilde{u})\tilde{v}|^q dy' \\ &\leq c_3(\tilde{r}_0) \int_{-r_0}^{r_0} (1 + \|\tilde{u}\|^p_{L_{2q/(2-q)}(R^{n-1})}) \|\tilde{v}\|^q_{L_2(R^{n-1})} dy_1 \\ &\leq c_4(r_0) \int_{-r_0}^{r_0} (1 + \|\tilde{u}\|^{2\beta}_{L_{2(n-1)/(n-3-2/\beta)}(R^{n-1})}) \|\tilde{v}\|^q_{L_2(R^{n-1})} dy_1 \\ &\leq \check{c}(r_0, \|u\|_{W_2^2(R^n)}) \sup_{y_1 \in R} \|\tilde{v}(y_1, \cdot)\|^q_{L_2(R^{n-1})} \end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.8)₁ yields (3.7).

It is easy to verify that

$$\left| \frac{(1 + \sum_{j=1}^n y_j^2)^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{j=1}^n y_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n (k - iy_j)^2} \right| \leq c_4(n) \left| \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \right| + k^{\varepsilon-1} c_5(n), \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \in (1, 2).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_0^t \int_{R^n} \frac{i(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n)}{\sum_{j=1}^n (k - iy_j)^2} \mathcal{F}(hwe^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) \overline{\mathcal{F}}(we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) dy d\tau \right| \\
 & \leq \int_0^t \int_{R^n} \left(c_4(n) \left| \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \right| + c_5(n) k^{\varepsilon-1} \right) \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^n y_j^2 \right)^{-\varepsilon/2} |\mathcal{F}(hwe^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j})| \\
 & \quad \times |\overline{\mathcal{F}}(we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j})| dy d\tau \\
 & \leq c_4(n) \int_0^t \|hwe^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}\|_{W_2^{-\varepsilon}(R^n)} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) \right\|_{L_2(R^n)} d\tau \\
 & \quad + c_5(n) k^{\varepsilon-1} \int_0^t \|hwe^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}\|_{W_2^{-\varepsilon}(R^n)} \|we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}\|_{L_2(R^n)} d\tau \\
 & \leq c_6(n) \int_0^t \|hwe^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}\|_{L_\alpha(R^n)} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) \right\|_{L_2(R^n)} d\tau \\
 & \quad + c_7(n) k^{\varepsilon-1} \int_0^t \|hwe^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}\|_{L_\alpha(R^n)} \|we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}\|_{L_2(R^n)} d\tau, \tag{3.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{2n}{n+2\varepsilon}$ when $n \geq 5$, and $1 < \alpha < 2$ when $n < 5$. From the definition of q follows that $1 < \frac{n(2-q)}{2q} < 2$, when $n \geq 5$. So taking $\varepsilon = \frac{n(2-q)}{2q}$ we have $\alpha = q$ when $n \geq 5$. Hence taking into account (3.7) in (3.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_0^t \int_{R^n} \frac{i(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n)}{\sum_{j=1}^n (k - iy_j)^2} \mathcal{F}(hwe^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) \overline{\mathcal{F}}(we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) dy d\tau \right| \\
 & \leq c_8(\|\omega(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{T}_{t_0}}) \int_0^t \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) \right\|_{L_2(R^n)}^2 d\tau \\
 & \quad + c_9(\|\omega(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{T}_{t_0}}) k^{\varepsilon-1} \int_0^t \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) \right\|_{L_2(R^n)} \|we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}\|_{L_2(R^n)} d\tau. \tag{3.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since by (3.4)₂ we have $w = 0$ in $(0, t) \times R^n \setminus B(0, r_0)$, from (3.8)₂ it follows that

$$\int_0^t \int_{R^n} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) \right]^2 dx d\tau \geq \hat{c} \int_0^t \int_{B(0, r_0)} [we^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}]^2 dx d\tau, \quad \forall t > 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Thus taking into account (3.10) and (3.11) in (3.6) for large enough k we find

$$\int_0^t \|w(\tau)e^{k\sum_{j=1}^n x_j}\|_{L_2(B(0,r_0))}^2 d\tau \leq c_{10}(\|\omega(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}), \quad \forall t > 0, \tag{3.12}$$

which yields

$$\int_0^t \|w(\tau)\|_{L_2(B(0,r_0))}^2 d\tau \leq c_{11}(\|\omega(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}), \quad \forall t > 0, \tag{3.13}$$

Since $w(t, x)$ is the solution of the equation

$$w_{tt} + \alpha w_t + \Delta^2 w + \lambda w + hw = 0, \quad (t, x) \in R \times R^n,$$

taking into account (2.5), (2.9) and (3.13) we obtain

$$\int_0^t (\|w_t\|_{-2}^2 + \|w\|_0^2) d\tau \leq c_{12}(\|\omega(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}), \quad \forall t > 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Now let $T > 0$ and define $\widehat{w} = t(T - t)w$. Then from (3.4) we have

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{w}_{tt} + \Delta^2 \widehat{w} + \lambda \widehat{w} + h\widehat{w} = 2(T - 2t)w_t - 2w, & (t, x) \in R \times R^n, \\ \alpha \widehat{w} = 0, & (t, x) \in R \times R^n. \end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

As above, multiplying both sides of (3.15)₁ by $e^{k\sum_{j=1}^n x_j}$ and applying the Fourier transform, similar to (3.5) we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \mathcal{F}(\widehat{w}e^{k\sum_{j=1}^n x_j})(y) + \left[\sum_{j=1}^n (k - iy_j)^2 \right]^2 \mathcal{F}(\widehat{w}e^{k\sum_{j=1}^n x_j})(y) + \lambda \mathcal{F}(\widehat{w}e^{k\sum_{j=1}^n x_j})(y) \\ & + \mathcal{F}(h\widehat{w}e^{k\sum_{j=1}^n x_j})(y) \\ & = 2(T - 2t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{F}(we^{k\sum_{j=1}^n x_j})(y) - 2\mathcal{F}(we^{k\sum_{j=1}^n x_j})(y) \end{aligned}$$

from which by multiplying by

$$\frac{i(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n)}{\sum_{j=1}^n (k - iy_j)^2} \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{w}e^{k\sum_{j=1}^n x_j})(y),$$

integrating real parts over $(0, T) \times R^n$ and taking into account that $\widehat{w}(0, \cdot) = \widehat{w}(T, \cdot) = 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^T \int_{R^n} \frac{2k(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n)^2}{|\sum_{j=1}^n (k - iy_j)^2|^2} |\mathcal{F}(\widehat{w}_t e^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j})|^2 dy dt \\
 & + \int_0^T \int_{R^n} [2k(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n)^2 - \lambda] |\mathcal{F}(\widehat{w} e^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j})|^2 dy dt \\
 & + \operatorname{Re} \int_0^T \int_{R^n} \frac{i(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n)}{\sum_{j=1}^n (k - iy_j)^2} \mathcal{F}(h\widehat{w} e^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{w} e^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) dy dt \\
 & = 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^T \int_{R^n} \frac{i(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n)}{\sum_{j=1}^n (k - iy_j)^2} \mathcal{F}(w e^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{w} e^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) dy dt \\
 & + 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^T \int_{R^n} \frac{i(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n)}{\sum_{j=1}^n (k - iy_j)^2} (2t - T) \mathcal{F}(w e^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{w}_t e^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) dy dt
 \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^T \int_{R^n} [2k(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n)^2 - \lambda - 1] |\mathcal{F}(\widehat{w} e^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j})|^2 dy dt \\
 & + \operatorname{Re} \int_0^T \int_{R^n} \frac{i(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n)}{\sum_{j=1}^n (k - iy_j)^2} \mathcal{F}(h\widehat{w} e^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{w} e^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}) dy dt \\
 & \leq (T^2 + 1) \int_0^T \int_{R^n} |\mathcal{F}(w e^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j})|^2 dy dt. \tag{3.16}
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) in (3.16) for large enough k we find that

$$\int_0^T \|\widehat{w} e^{k \sum_{j=1}^n x_j}\|_{L_2(B(0,r_0))}^2 dt \leq (T^2 + 1) c_{13} (\|\omega(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}), \quad \forall T > 0,$$

from which follows

$$\int_0^T \|\widehat{w}\|_{L_2(B(0,r_0))}^2 dt \leq (T^2 + 1) c_{14} (\|\omega(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}), \quad \forall T > 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Since $\widehat{w}(t, x)$ is the solution of the equation

$$\widehat{w}_{tt} + \alpha \widehat{w}_t + \Delta^2 \widehat{w} + \lambda \widehat{w} + h \widehat{w} = 2(T - 2t)w_t - 2w, \quad (t, x) \in R \times R^n,$$

taking into account (2.5), (2.9), (3.14) and (3.17) we obtain

$$\int_0^T (\|\widehat{w}_t\|_{-2}^2 + \|\widehat{w}\|_0^2) dt \leq (T^2 + 1)c_{15}(\|\omega(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}), \quad \forall T > 0$$

consequently

$$\int_{T/3}^{2T/3} \|S(t + t_0)\varphi - S(t)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-1}}^2 dt \leq \frac{1}{T^2}c_{16}(\|\omega(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}), \quad \forall T > 0, \forall \varphi \in \omega(\theta). \quad (3.18)$$

Since

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} S(t)\varphi \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-1}} \leq c_{17}(\|\omega(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}), \quad \forall t > 0, \forall \varphi \in \omega(\theta),$$

using integration by parts on (3.18) we obtain

$$\left\| S\left(\frac{2}{3}T + t_0\right)\varphi - S\left(\frac{2}{3}T\right)\varphi \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-1}}^2 \leq \frac{1}{T^{1/2}}c_{18}(\|\omega(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}), \quad \forall T > 0, \forall \varphi \in \omega(\theta). \quad (3.19)$$

Let $\varphi_n = S(-n)\varphi$. Then from (3.19) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(t + t_0)\varphi - S(t)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-1}}^2 &= \|S(t + t_0 + n)\varphi_n - S(t + n)\varphi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-1}}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(t + n)^{1/2}}c_{19}(\|\omega(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality means that $\varphi \in Z$ and consequently $\omega(\theta) \subset Z$, which together with (3.2) gives (3.1). \square

Now Theorems 1, 2 and [12, Theorem 3.1] give the existence of a global attractor.

Theorem 2. *Assume conditions (2.3)–(2.6) hold. Then problem (2.1), (2.2) has a global attractor $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$, which is invariant and compact.*

4. Regularity and finite dimensionality

To prove the regularity of the global attractor \mathcal{A} we will need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $|t_2 - t_1| < \delta$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$ then $\|S(t_2)\theta - S(t_1)\theta\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let $t_2 > t_1$ and $\Delta t = t_2 - t_1$. From (2.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(t_2)\theta - S(t_1)\theta\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} &= \|S(\Delta t)S(t_1)\theta - S(t_1)\theta\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \\ &\leq \|e^{\Delta t A}S(t_1)\theta - S(t_1)\theta\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} + \int_0^{\Delta t} \|e^{(\Delta t - \tau)A}F(S(\tau)S(t_1)\theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} d\tau \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Taking into account (2.5) and (2.9) we get

$$I_2 \leq c\Delta t. \tag{4.2}$$

On the other hand, since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} e^{tA}\varphi = \varphi$ for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0$ and \mathcal{A} is compact in \mathcal{H}_0 , for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if $0 < t < \delta_1$, then for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\|e^{tA}\varphi - \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

holds. Since \mathcal{A} is invariant, from the last inequality we obtain that if $\Delta t < \delta_1$ then

$$I_1 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{4.3}$$

Choose $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \frac{\varepsilon}{2c}\}$ from (4.1)–(4.3) we get that if $|t_2 - t_1| < \delta$ then

$$\|S(t_2)\theta - S(t_1)\theta\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} < \varepsilon$$

for every $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$. \square

Lemma 5. Assume K is a compact subset of H^2 and $f(\cdot)$ satisfies condition (2.5). Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|v_2 - v_1\|_2 < \delta$ implies

$$\|[f'(v_2) - f'(v_1)]u\|_{-2+s} \leq \varepsilon\|u\|_s \tag{4.4}$$

for every $v_1, v_2 \in K$ and $u \in H^s$ ($s = 0, 2$).

Proof. Let us perform the proof in the more interesting case $n > 4$. Denote

$$p^* = \frac{2n}{n-4} \quad \text{and} \quad \check{c} = \sup_{\substack{v \in H^2 \\ v \neq 0}} \frac{\|v\|_{L_{p^*}(R^n)}}{\|v\|_2}.$$

Since K is compact in H^2 for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if $\text{mes } E < \delta_1$ then

$$\|f'(v)\|_{L_{n/2}(E)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{8\check{c}} \tag{4.5}$$

for every $v \in K$. Let $\alpha = \frac{\check{c}}{\delta_1^{1/p^*}} \sup_{v \in K} \|v\|_2$. Define the following subsets of R^n :

$$Q_i = \{x: x \in R^n, |v_i(x)| \leq \alpha\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then

$$\text{mes}(R^n \setminus Q_i) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \|v_i\|_{L_{p^*}(R^n)}\right)^{p^*} \leq \delta_1, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{4.6}$$

Since $f'(\cdot)$ is uniformly continuous in $[-\alpha, \alpha]$, there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that if $s_1, s_2 \in [-\alpha, \alpha]$ and $|s_1 - s_2| < \delta_2$ then

$$|f'(s_2) - f'(s_1)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \tag{4.7}$$

Let $Q_3 = \{x: x \in R^n, |v_2(x) - v_1(x)| < \delta_2\}$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{\check{c}} \min\{\delta_1^{1+1/p^*}, \delta_2^{1+1/p^*}\}$. Then from $\|v_2 - v_1\|_2 < \delta$ we obtain

$$\text{mes}(R^n \setminus Q_3) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\delta_2} \|v_2 - v_1\|_{L_{p^*}(R^n)}\right)^{p^*} < (\check{c}\delta)^{\frac{p^*}{1+p^*}} \leq \delta_1. \tag{4.8}$$

Thus if $\|v_2 - v_1\|_2 < \delta$, then from (4.5)–(4.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{R^n} |f'(v_2) - f'(v_1)| |u| |\varphi| dx \\ & \leq \int_{\bigcap_{i=1}^3 Q_i} |f'(v_2) - f'(v_1)| |u| |\varphi| dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{R^n \setminus Q_i} |f'(v_2) - f'(v_1)| |u| |\varphi| dx \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|u\|_0 \|\varphi\|_0 \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \|u\|_{L_{2n/n-2s}(R^n)} \left[\|f'(v)\|_{L_{n/2}(R^n \setminus Q_i)} + \|f'(v_2)\|_{L_{n/2}(R^n \setminus Q_i)} \right] \|\varphi\|_{L_{2n/n+2s-4}(R^n)} \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|u\|_s \|\varphi\|_{2-s} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\varepsilon}{4\check{c}} \check{c} \|u\|_s \|\varphi\|_{2-s} = \varepsilon \|u\|_s \|\varphi\|_{2-s} \end{aligned}$$

for every $u \in H^s$ and $\varphi \in H^{2-s}$ ($s = 0, 2$). The last inequality yields (4.4). \square

Now we can prove the regularity of the global attractor.

Theorem 3. *The global attractor \mathcal{A} is a bounded subset of the space \mathcal{H}_1 .*

Proof. Let $\theta_0 = (u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{A}$ and choose $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\omega}{M})$. According to Lemma 5, there exists $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(\varepsilon)$ such that if $\|\theta_2 - \theta_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} < \varepsilon_1$ then

$$\|(\dot{F}(\theta_2) - \dot{F}(\theta_1))\eta\|_{\mathcal{H}_s} < \varepsilon \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{H}_s}, \quad \forall \theta_2, \theta_1 \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \forall \eta \in \mathcal{H}_s, \quad s = 0, -1, \tag{4.9}$$

where $F^\bullet(\theta)\eta = (0, -f'(\theta^1)\eta^1)$ for $\theta = (\theta^1, \theta^2)$, $\eta = (\eta^1, \eta^2)$. On the other hand, since $S(t)\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ ($t \in R$), similar to proof of Theorem 2 it is easy to show that $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \text{dist}(S(t)\theta, \mathcal{Z}) = 0$ for every $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus there exists $T_0 = T_0(\varepsilon_1, \theta_0) < 0$ such that

$$\text{dist}(S(t)\theta_0, \mathcal{Z}) < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}, \quad \forall t \leq T_0. \tag{4.10}$$

For $\frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}$ choose $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon_1)$ as in Lemma 4 and denote $T_m = T_0 - m\delta$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$). Then according to (4.10) there exists a sequence $\{a_m\}$ such that $a_m \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\|S(T_m)\theta_0 - a_m\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}$ for every m . Introduce the function $w(t) = \{a_m, t \in (T_m, T_{m-1}], m = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Then from Lemma 4 we have

$$\|S(t)\theta_0 - w(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} < \varepsilon_1, \quad \forall t \leq T_0. \tag{4.11}$$

Let $s < t \leq T_0$. According to (2.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S(t)\theta_0 &= S(t-s)S(s)\theta_0 \\ &= e^{(t-s)A} S(s)\theta_0 + \int_0^{t-s} e^{(t-s-\tau)A} F(S(\tau)S(s)\theta_0) d\tau \\ &= e^{(t-s)A} S(s)\theta_0 + \int_0^{t-s} e^{\sigma A} F(S(t-\sigma)\theta_0) d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

from which for $0 < h < t - s$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{S(t)\theta_0 - S(t-h)\theta_0}{h} \\ &= \frac{1}{h}(e^{(t-s)A} - e^{(t-h-s)A})S(s)\theta_0 + \frac{1}{h} \int_0^{t-s} e^{\sigma A} [F(S(t-\sigma)\theta_0) - F(S(t-h-\sigma)\theta_0)] d\sigma \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h} \int_{t-s-h}^{t-s} e^{\sigma A} F(S(t-h-\sigma)\theta_0) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $D_h S(t)\theta_0 = \frac{S(t)\theta_0 - S(t-h)\theta_0}{h}$, $\Delta S(t)\theta_0 = S(t)\theta_0 - S(t-h)\theta_0$ and $\tilde{w}(t, \tau) = \tau w(t) + (1 - \tau)w(t-h)$ from the last equality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_h S(t)\theta_0 &= \frac{1}{h} (e^{(t-s)A} - e^{(t-h-s)A}) S(s)\theta_0 + \frac{1}{h} \int_{t-s-h}^{t-s} e^{\sigma A} F(S(t-h-\sigma)\theta_0) d\sigma \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{h} \int_0^{t-s} e^{\sigma A} \int_0^1 \dot{F}(S(t-\sigma-h)\theta_0 + \tau \Delta S(t-\sigma)\theta_0) \Delta S(t-\sigma)\theta_0 d\tau d\sigma \\
 &= \frac{1}{h} (e^{(t-s)A} - e^{(t-h-s)A}) S(s)\theta_0 + \frac{1}{h} \int_{t-s-h}^{t-s} e^{\sigma A} F(S(t-h-\sigma)\theta_0) d\sigma \\
 &\quad + \int_0^{t-s} e^{\sigma A} \int_0^1 [\dot{F}(S(t-\sigma-h)\theta_0 + \tau \Delta S(t-\sigma)\theta_0) \\
 &\quad - \dot{F}(\tilde{w}(t-\sigma, \tau))] D_h S(t-\sigma)\theta_0 d\tau d\sigma \\
 &\quad + \int_0^{t-s} e^{\sigma A} \int_0^1 \dot{F}(\tilde{w}(t-\sigma, \tau)) D_h S(t-\sigma)\theta_0 d\tau d\sigma \\
 &= K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Let us continue the proof in the case $n > 8$ (suitable embeddings are used when $n \leq 8$). Using (2.9) and condition (2.5) we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \|K_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \|K_2\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} = 0.$$

On the other hand, since $w(\cdot) \in L_\infty(-\infty, T_0; \mathcal{H}_1)$ and $D_h S(t)\theta_0$ is uniformly bounded in \mathcal{H}_{-1} with respect to h and t , we have

$$\|K_4\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-\mu}} \leq c_1,$$

where $\mu = \frac{n-8}{n-4}$ and c_1 does not depend on t, s, h and θ_0 .

Using (4.9) and (4.11) we obtain

$$\|K_3\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-\mu}} \leq \varepsilon M \int_s^t e^{-\omega(t-\sigma)} \|D_h S(\sigma)\theta_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-\mu}} d\sigma.$$

So from (4.12) we get

$$\|D_h S(t)\theta_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-\mu}} \leq c_1 + \varepsilon M \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\omega(t-\sigma)} \|D_h S(\sigma)\theta_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-\mu}} d\sigma$$

which yields

$$\|D_h S(t)\theta_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-\mu}} \leq c_2, \quad \text{for } \forall t \leq T_0, \forall h \in (0, +\infty).$$

Taking into account the last inequality we can get a more regular estimate for K_4 , and repeating the above procedure a finite number of times we have

$$\|D_h S(t)\theta_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \leq c_3, \quad \text{for } \forall t \leq T_0, \forall h \in (0, +\infty)$$

which means

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dt} S(t)\theta_0 \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \leq c_3, \quad \text{for } \forall t \leq T_0.$$

Since the operator A is an isomorphism from \mathcal{H}_1 to \mathcal{H} , taking into account the last inequality in (2.7) we obtain

$$\|S(t)\theta_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_1} \leq c_4, \quad \text{for } \forall t \leq T_0, \tag{4.13}$$

where c_4 does not depend on θ_0 . It is known that $S(T_0)\theta_0 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ implies $S(t)S(T_0)\theta_0 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ for every $t \in R$. Then $\theta_0 = S(-T_0)S(T_0)\theta_0 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and since θ_0 is an arbitrary element of \mathcal{A} we get $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}_1$. Let $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{A}$. Then from (2.7)–(2.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{d}{dt} S(t)\eta_0 \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} &\leq c_5 (\|\eta_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_1} + \|\eta_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}^{(p+1)}) e^{-t\omega} \\ &+ M \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\omega} \left\| \dot{F}(S(\tau)\eta_0) \frac{d}{dt} S(\tau)\eta_0 \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} d\tau, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Since \mathcal{A} is compact in \mathcal{H}_0 there exists $\xi(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\|\dot{F}(\eta)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} = \|f'(\eta^1)\varphi^1\|_0 \leq \varepsilon \|\varphi^1\|_2 + \xi(\varepsilon) \|\varphi^1\|_0 \tag{4.15}$$

for every $\eta = (\eta^1, \eta^2) \in \mathcal{A}$ and every $\varphi = (\varphi^1, \varphi^2) \in \mathcal{H}_0$. Thus from (4.13)–(4.15) we have

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dt} S(t)S(T_0)\theta_0 \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \leq c_6, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \tag{4.16}$$

where c_6 does not depend on t and θ_0 . Consequently from (2.7) and (4.16) we get $\|\theta_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_1} \leq c_7$. Since θ_0 is arbitrary element of \mathcal{A} and c_7 does not depend on θ_0 , the last inequality means \mathcal{A} is bounded in \mathcal{H}_1 . \square

Now let us prove the finite dimensionality of the global attractor \mathcal{A} .

Theorem 4. *The fractal dimension of \mathcal{A} is finite.*

Proof. Again let us present the proof in the more interesting case $n > 8$. By Eq. (2.8) and Theorem 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(t)\theta_2 - S(t)\theta_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} &\leq M e^{-\omega t} \|\theta_2 - \theta_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} + M_1 e^{-\omega t} \int_0^t e^{\omega\tau} \|S(\tau)\theta_2 - S(\tau)\theta_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_{(8-n)/(n-4)}} d\tau \\ &\leq M e^{-\omega t} \|\theta_2 - \theta_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} + M_1 M e^{-\omega t} \|\theta_2 - \theta_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_{(8-n)/(n-4)}} \\ &\quad + M_2 e^{-\omega t} \int_0^t \int_0^\tau e^{\omega\sigma} \|S(\sigma)\theta_2 - S(\sigma)\theta_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-1}} d\sigma d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

for every $t \geq 0$ and every $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let $(u^1(t), u_t^1(t)) = S(t)\theta_1$, $(u^2(t), u_t^2(t)) = S(t)\theta_2$, $u(t) = u^2(t) - u^1(t)$ and $\varphi_r(\cdot)$ be as in the proof of Lemma 2. Furthermore define $\eta_r(t) = (\varphi_r u(t), \varphi_r u_t(t))$. Then from (2.1) (or (2.7)) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \eta_r(t) = \check{A} \eta_r(t) + F_1(t) + F_2(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \tag{4.18}$$

where

$$\check{A} = A + \dot{F}(0), \quad F_2(t) = \left[\int_0^1 \dot{F}(S(t)\theta_2 + \tau(S(t)\theta_1 - S(t)\theta_2)) d\tau - \dot{F}(0) \right] \eta_r(t)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(t) = &\left(0, \Delta u \Delta \varphi_r + \Delta \left(4 \sum_{i=1}^n u_{x_i}(\varphi_r)_{x_i} + u \Delta \varphi_r \right) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta(\varphi_r)_{x_i} u_{x_i} \right. \\ &\left. - 4 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n u_{x_i x_j}(\varphi_r)_{x_i x_j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f'(0) \geq 0$ (thanks to (2.6)) it is easy to show that

$$\|e^{t\check{A}}\|_{L(\mathcal{H}_s, \mathcal{H}_s)} \leq M_1 e^{-\omega t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \forall s \in [-1, 1]. \tag{4.19}$$

On the other hand, for some $k_1 > 0$ we have

$$\|F_1(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-1}} \leq \frac{c_8}{r} \|S(t)\theta_2 - S(t)\theta_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \leq \frac{c_9}{r} e^{k_1 t} \|\theta_2 - \theta_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{4.20}$$

Choose $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\omega}{M_1})$. According to Lemma 5, there exists $r_0 = r_0(\varepsilon)$ such that if $r \geq r_0$ then

$$\|F_2(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-1}} \leq \varepsilon \|\eta_r(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-1}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (4.21)$$

So from (4.18)–(4.21) we obtain that

$$\|\eta_r(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-1}} \leq M_1 \left(e^{-(\omega - M_1 \varepsilon)t} \|\eta_r(0)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-1}} + \frac{c_{10}}{r} e^{k_1 t} \|\theta_2 - \theta_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (4.22)$$

Similarly denoting $\xi_r(t) = ((1 - \varphi_r)u(t), (1 - \varphi_r)u_t(t))$ we have

$$\|\xi_r(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-1}} \leq M_1 e^{k_2 t} \left(\|\xi_r(0)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-1}} + \frac{c_{11}}{r} e^{k_1 t} \|\theta_2 - \theta_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (4.23)$$

Since $S(t)\theta_2 - S(t)\theta_1 = \eta_r(t) + \xi_r(t)$ from (4.17), (4.22) and (4.23) we obtain

$$\|S(t)\theta_2 - S(t)\theta_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \leq m_1(t) \|\theta_2 - \theta_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} + m_2(t) \left[\|\theta_2 - \theta_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-1}(B(0,r))} + \frac{1}{r} \|\theta_2 - \theta_1\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} \right],$$

$$\forall t \geq 0,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{-1}(B(0,r)) = L_2(B(0,r)) \times W_2^{-2}(B(0,r))$, $m_2(t)$ is an increasing function and $m_1(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

The last inequality together with [14, Theorem 5.3] yields finiteness of the fractal dimension of the global attractor \mathcal{A} . \square

References

- [1] R. Temam, *Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics*, Springer, New York, 1988.
- [2] J. Hale, *Asymptotic Behavior of Dissipative Systems*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.
- [3] A.V. Babin, M.I. Vishik, *Attractors of Evolution Equations*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992.
- [4] E. Feireisl, Attractors for semilinear damped wave equations on R^3 , *Nonlinear Anal.* 23 (1994) 187–195.
- [5] N.I. Karachalios, N.M. Stavrakakis, Existence of a global attractor for semilinear dissipative wave equations on R^n , *J. Differential Equations* 157 (1) (1999) 183–205.
- [6] I. Lasiecka, A.R. Ruzmaikina, Finite dimensionality and regularity of attractors for 2-D semilinear wave equation with nonlinear dissipation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 270 (2002) 16–50.
- [7] E. Zuazua, Exponential decay for the semilinear wave equation with localized damping, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 15 (2) (1990) 205–235.
- [8] E. Zuazua, Exponential decay for the semilinear wave equation with localized damping in unbounded domains, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 70 (1991) 513–529.
- [9] A. Ruiz, Unique continuation for weak solutions of the wave equation plus a potential, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 71 (5) (1992) 455–467.
- [10] E. Feireisl, Asymptotic behavior and attractors for a semilinear damped wave equation with supercritical exponent, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 125 (1995) 1051–1062.
- [11] A.Kh. Khanmamedov, Existence of a global attractor for the plate equation with the critical exponent in an unbounded domain, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 18 (2005) 827–832.
- [12] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, On the determination of minimal global attractors for the Navier–Stokes equations and other partial differential equations, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 42 (6) (1987) 25–60; English translation: *Russian Math. Surveys* 42 (6) (1987) 27–73.
- [13] V. Isakov, On uniqueness in a lateral Cauchy problem with multiple characteristics, *J. Differential Equations* 134 (1) (1997) 134–147.
- [14] I. Chueshov, I. Lasiecka, Global attractors for von Karman evolutions with a nonlinear boundary dissipation, *J. Differential Equations* 198 (1) (2004) 196–231.