European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology 206 (2016) 120–124

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ejogrb

Age related normogram for antral follicle count in general population and comparison with previous studies

Gurkan Bozdag[a,*], Pinar Calis[a], Dila Zengin[a], Atakan Tanacan[a], Sevilay Karahan[b]

[a] Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
[b] Department of Biostatistics, Faculty of Medicine, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 3 February 2016
Received in revised form 9 September 2016
Accepted 13 September 2016

Keywords:
Antral follicle count
Nomogram
Ultrasonography
General population

ABSTRACT

Objective: To construct antral follicle count (AFC) nomogram of general population for every age and to compare our data with previous studies to assess whether available AFC nomograms present any geographical inconsistency.
Study design: A prospective cross-sectional study was conducted to document AFC nomogram among women in 20–50 years with regular menstrual bleeding. Patients admitted with hirsutism, menstrual irregularity, diagnosis of current/history of endometrioma and hormonal drug use within the last 6 months were excluded. For the final analysis, a total of 381 eligible women were recruited in which all scanning was performed in the early follicular phase. The 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles were compared with previous nomogram.
Results: The mean decrease of AFC in each year was 0.41. Among the age groups, there were no statistical significance between 20–24, 25–29 and 30–34, whereas decline in AFC was obvious after 35 years and beyond. The figures comparing our data and previous studies depicted similar steady decline at 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles.
Conclusion: The current age related nomogram presented a steady decline in AFC that became significant after 35 years in otherwise healthy women with regular menstrual bleeding. Those percentiles might be used as a reference guide to point out the current status of ovarian reserve for a given woman. Additionally, producing nomogram might enforce using percentiles instead of constant thresholds to define various medical conditions such as polycystic ovarian morphology or diminished ovarian reserve. However, longitudinal data with larger sample size are still needed for the validation of those percentiles.
© 2016 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Ovarian reserve tests have crucial role in the management of assisted reproduction technologies regarding with the prediction of poor [1] or excessive ovarian response [2], tailoring the controlled ovarian hyperstimulation protocol [3,4] and gonadotropin dosing [5,6] to retrieve the optimal number of oocyte. They have been also utilized in the definition of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), even though the ideal thresholds and the type of marker that should be taken into account are not clear [7,8]. Additionally, expected age of natural menopause can be individually predicted by ovarian reserve tests, in spite of a wide confidence interval [9,10]. Eventually, ovarian reserve "screening" for general population has been recently argued under the ethical dilemmas in order to permit reproductive life planning for all women [11].

Among the ovarian reserve tests, either anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) or antral follicle count (AFC) are established surrogates in reflecting the primordial follicle pool within the ovaries [12]. Whereas AMH presents less individual intra- and inter-cycle variation than AFC [13], the latter might be less expensive as a direct quantitative marker of ovarian reserve [14] and excludes the current confusion related with (pre)analytic variations with AMH [15]. Although it is still not clear whether the decline in AFC has a biphasic [16] or a linear pattern [17,18], a negative correlation with chronologic age has been recently established. Nevertheless, the two largest cross-sectional studies that investigate general population from Italy [17] and infertile cohort from Canada [19] reported normal and interquartile values for AFC, age by age, throughout the reproductive period. Those efforts might be highly important for assigning age-based individual thresholds rather than extrapolating a certain cut-off values that are expected to fit to all women. However both of those

* Corresponding author at: Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Hacettepe University, Ankara 06100, Turkey. Fax: +90 312 3052315.
E-mail address: gbozdag@hacettepe.edu.tr (G. Bozdag).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2016.09.013
0301-2115/© 2016 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

studies are single center based studies and there is paucity of data whether they are valid for worldwide use.

In the current study, our primary objective was to present the AFC nomogram of general population for every age. Secondly, by comparing our data with previous studies, we aimed to assess whether available AFC nomograms present any geographical inconsistency [17,19].

Material and methods

Study population

This cross-sectional study was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine, Hacettepe University between November—2013 and March—2014. In the outpatient clinic, among all patients that had been requested for examination with ultrasonography (n = 2085), women that are suitable for the inclusion criteria were recruited for the study. In that context, all patients had been questioned for the following inclusion criterion: (1) female age 20–50, (2) regular menstrual bleeding between 21 to 35 days, (3) being during the menstrual period of D1 to D12 and (4) optimal visualization of both ovaries. The exclusion criteria were (1) admission to outpatient clinic due to hirsutism or menstrual irregularity, (2) any hormonal drug or oral contraceptive pill use within the last 6 months, (3) history of endometrioma cystectomy or detection of current endometrioma at the time of ultrasonography, (4) being unsuitable for transvaginal probe application due to virginity and (5) pregnancy. The status of fertility was not a criterion while deciding to include or exclude. Approval from institutional review board was obtained.

Ultrasonography

All ultrasound examinations were performed by one of the two physicians (P.C. or D.Z.) using the 5–9 MHz endocavitary probe with Voluson 730 (GE Healthcare, Istanbul, Turkey). The operator started to count the follicles from outer margin of the ovary through out to the opposite site while sweeping. Every round–oval structure within those margins between 2 to10 mm were considered an antral follicle, as recommended [14]. The sum of both counts produced the final AFC.

The interobserver reliability was analyzed with another cluster of 30 ovaries prospectively. The first observer examined ovaries with ultrasonography and noted antral follicle count in each side. Second observer was blind to the first observer's findings and performed another examination with the same setting to count number of antral follicles per ovary.

Statistical analysis

The LMS method was preferred to produce the smoothed centile curves of antral follicle count by age (LMS program, version 3.1.1, Medical Research Council, London). This method summarizes percentiles at each age based on age-specific Box-Cox power transformations that are used to normalize data. L (Lambda; skewness), M (Mu; median), S (Sigma; coefficient of variation) values depend on age. The final percentile curves are produced by three smooth curves representing L, M and S. For each set of percentile curves, the initial smoothing methods were applied to 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 90th percentiles.

For the comparison of AFC across the age groups, statistical analyses were performed by SPSS v21.0 (IBM SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Descriptive statistics were given by mean ± standard deviation (SD) and median (minimum–maximum). Age groups were compared by Kruskal Wallis test and significance was set to a p value of 0.05. The relationship between female age and AFC was determined by linear regression analysis. Interobserver reliability was assessed by intraclass correlation coefficient.

The study was approved by Ethical Board of Hacettepe University.

Results

Of the 2805 women that had been examined with ultrasonography during the study period, 381 were appropriate for the final evaluation according to inclusion and exclusion criterion. The mean female age was 34.6 ± 7.6 years. Of the 381 women, the mean ± SD and median (minimum–maximum) AFC that had been stratified according to the female age were given in Table 1. In Table 2, the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 90th percentiles of AFC were given for each age. The intraclass correlation coefficient of the two operators of ultrasonography was 0.957 (95% CI: 0.910–0.979).

The steady decline in AFC was also depicted in Fig. 1. The mean decrease of AFC in each year was 0.41. Among the age groups, there were no statistical significance between 20–24, 25–29 and 30–34, whereas decline in AFC was obvious after 35 years (Fig. 2).

Table 1
The descriptive values for antral follicle count that are stratified according to the female age.

Female age (y)	n	Mean ± SD	Median (minimum–maximum)
20–24	32	14.8 ± 5.2	15.5 (6–26)
25–29	79	14.6 ± 5.9	13 (5–30)
30–34	87	11.8 ± 5.1	11 (3–29)
35–39	74	10.1 ± 4.7	9.5 (3–29)
40–44	73	7.6 ± 4.8	7 (2–26)
45–49	36	6.0 ± 4.0	4.5 (2–19)
Total	381	10.9 ± 5.8	10 (2–30)

SD: Standard deviation.

Table 2
The percentiles of antral follicle count according to each age.

Female age (y)	n	10th	25th	50th	75th	90th
20	4	10.5	13.2	17.0	21.7	26.8
21	9	10.0	12.6	16.3	20.9	26.0
22	3	9.5	12.1	15.6	20.2	25.3
23	9	9.0	11.5	15.0	19.5	24.5
24	7	8.6	11.0	14.4	18.8	23.8
25	18	8.2	10.5	13.8	18.1	23.1
26	17	7.8	10.1	13.3	17.5	22.4
27	20	7.4	9.6	12.8	16.9	21.8
28	15	7.1	9.2	12.3	16.3	21.2
29	9	6.7	8.8	11.8	15.8	20.6
30	17	6.4	8.4	11.3	15.3	20.0
31	19	6.1	8.0	10.8	14.7	19.5
32	21	5.8	7.6	10.4	14.2	19.0
33	18	5.5	7.3	10.0	13.8	18.5
34	12	5.2	7.0	9.6	13.3	18.0
35	14	5.0	6.7	9.2	12.9	17.5
36	15	4.7	6.4	8.8	12.5	17.1
37	17	4.5	6.1	8.5	12.0	16.7
38	18	4.3	5.8	8.2	11.7	16.3
39	10	4.1	5.5	7.8	11.3	15.9
40	17	3.9	5.3	7.5	10.9	15.5
41	11	3.7	5.0	7.2	10.6	15.2
42	20	3.5	4.8	6.9	10.2	14.8
43	18	3.3	4.6	6.7	9.9	14.5
44	7	3.2	4.4	6.4	9.6	14.2
45	12	3.0	4.2	6.1	9.3	14.0
46	6	2.9	4.0	5.9	9.0	13.7
47	7	2.7	3.8	5.7	8.7	13.5
48	5	2.6	3.6	5.4	8.5	13.2
49	6	2.5	3.5	5.2	8.2	13.0
50	2	2.3	3.3	5.0	8.0	12.8



Fig. 1. The correlation between antral follicle count (AFC) and percentiles of age.



Fig. 2. The box-plot of antral follicle count (AFC) per age groups. The Kruskal–Wallis test indicated statistically significance across the groups (a = $p < 0.05$ vs. age group 45–49; b = $p < 0.05$ vs. age group 40–44; c = $p < 0.05$ vs. age group 35–39).

In Fig. 3, the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles were given with the data from previous studies [17,19] and current study.

Discussion

We present the largest nomogram for AFC that has been done on general population. Comparison of our data and others suggests that AFC nomogram from different reports and countries presents similarity regarding the decline by age as depicted in Fig. 3. In all three studies, only 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles were common regarding the values of AFC. Whilst 3rd and 97th percentiles were given for the lower and upper limits as a function of age by Almog et al. [19], 5th and 95th figures were represented by La Marca et al. [17]. Nevertheless, it is worthy to notice similar type of descendent of follicle count among the three reports for all available centiles to compare. As a quantitative measurement, the decline of AFC by year was also similar with the previous studies. The respective figures for the loss of follicles per year were 0.4 [19] and 0.35 [17] and were closely matched with 0.41 that was generated from our study population.

According to the available literature, there is conflict of data whether the decline in AFC presents biphasic [16,19] or a linear pattern [17,18]. That discrepancy might be related with the features of study population. Of them, while some reports investigated AFC among infertile patients [18–21] solely, others recruited women who are proven to be fertile [16,22,23]. In the site of general population, there are three studies [17,18,24] investigating the course of AFC in which two of them [18,24] were excluded from being compared with our data due to smaller sample size. In addition to data obtained from La Marca et al. [17] for comparison, we also retrieved the study done by Almog et al. [19] due to the fact that they represent the largest number of women (n = 1880), even though only infertile women were analyzed in that report.

The documentation of AFC nomogram might present several benefits in theory. Initially, marking the current AFC of a given woman across the nomogram might predict a woman's total fertility potential not only for assisted reproduction technologies



Fig. 3. The 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles of the antral follicle count (AFC) generated from Almog et al. [19], La Marca et al. [17] and our data.

[25] but also for the chance of natural conception and pregnancy outcome. A prospective study documented decreased fecundability with the next 6 months in women with low ovarian reserve even after controlling for the confounder of female age [26]. Furthermore, several studies have reported an increased chance of conceiving a genetically abnormal pregnancy or having a miscarriage for women with diminished ovarian reserve [27,28]. Secondarily, the definition of polycystic ovary morphology might be adapted to the nomogram instead of enforcing certain thresholds to all age group of women as addressed previously [29]. Of note, within studies evaluating the prevalence of PCOS among unselected group of women, any androgen level exceeding respective 95th percentile of healthy, nonhirsute, eumenorrheic women has been referred to define biochemical hyperandrogenism [30,31]. From that point of view, for the definition of polycystic ovary morphology as one of the criterion, AFC higher than 95th percentile for the given age might be preferred instead of enforcing one threshold that is expected to fit all aged women. Since PCOS has been considered as a systematic disorder with its long-term health complications, it is not rational to exclude the patient from the diagnosis when they get older due to a decrease in AFC. Nevertheless, it is essential to present AFC nomogram in nonhirsute and eumenorrheic women as clearly indicated in the current study. Lastly, the definition of 5th percentile for AFC might have clinical importance for the definition of expected poor ovarian responders in assisted reproduction cycles. Since AFC <7 is one of the Bologna criteria [32], it is interesting to note that a woman in early thirties might have one of the criterion in advance, even though their AFC represents a 'normal' value as located in 25th percentile of the nomogram according to data of La Marca and ours. According to United Kingdom National data from Human Fertilization and Embryology Authority [32], an 18–34 year old woman having 2 oocytes will have a live birth probability of 15% whereas it will be 22.5% for a woman of 38–39 years having 6 oocytes. From that point of view, superior pregnancy rate might be observed in old women with enhanced ovarian reserve according to a given age than a younger woman having diminished ovarian reserve with regard to the nomogram. Therefore, in the view of Bologna criteria, applying certain cutoff points for ovarian reserve markers to define poor ovarian response independent from the age of women might avoid constructing a homogenous group of women with regard to live birth rate, as addressed previously [33].

Although the current study consists the first attempt in order to compare various nomograms of AFC to observe their compliance, there are some drawbacks that we have to mention. Firstly, we were able to compare our data with limited number of nomogram due to presence of a few studies done on general population with large sample size. Nevertheless, because of its largest sample size, we also included the data from Almog et al. [19] even though their study population consisted infertile women only. Secondly, the study populations represented some heterogeneity regarding the inclusion criteria. Whereas menstrual irregularity was not a condition to exclude in study by Almog et al. [19], La Marca et al. [17] preferred not to include as us. Of note, women with polycystic ovary appearance was not recruited by Almog et al. [19] but that was not a criterion in the remaining. Therefore, the mild differences regarding the percentiles of AFC might be the reflection of disparity in selection criterion.

In conclusion, the current age related nomogram presented a similar decline in AFC with previous reports in otherwise healthy women with regular menstrual bleeding. Those percentiles might be used as a reference guide to point out the current status of ovarian reserve for a given woman. Additionally, producing nomogram might enforce using percentiles instead of constant thresholds to define various medical conditions such as polycystic ovarian morphology or diminished ovarian reserve. However, longitudinal data with larger sample size are still needed for the validation of those percentiles.

Acknowledgment

None.

References

[1] Broer SL, van Disseldorp J, Broeze KA, et al. Added value of ovarian reserve testing on patient characteristics in the prediction of ovarian response and ongoing pregnancy: an individual patient data approach. Hum Reprod Update 2013;19:26–36.
[2] Broer SL, Dolleman M, van Disseldorp J, et al. Prediction of an excessive response in in vitro fertilization from patient characteristics and ovarian reserve tests and comparison in subgroups: an individual patient data meta-analysis. Fertil Steril 2013;100:420–9 e7.
[3] Nelson SM, Yates RW, Lyall H, et al. Anti-Mullerian hormone-based approach to controlled ovarian stimulation for assisted conception. Hum Reprod 2009;24:867–75.
[4] Yates AP, Rustamov O, Roberts SA, et al. Anti-Mullerian hormone-tailored stimulation protocols improve outcomes whilst reducing adverse effects and costs of IVF. Hum Reprod 2011;26:2353–62.
[5] La Marca A, Grisendi V, Giulini S, et al. Individualization of the FSH starting dose in IVF/ICSI cycles using the antral follicle count. J Ovarian Res 2013;6:11.
[6] La Marca A, Papaleo E, Grisendi V, Argento C, Giulini S, Volpe A. Development of a nomogram based on markers of ovarian reserve for the individualisation of the follicle-stimulating hormone starting dose in in vitro fertilisation cycles. BJOG 2012;119:1171–9.
[7] Dewailly D, Lujan ME, Carmina E, et al. Definition and significance of polycystic ovarian morphology: a task force report from the Androgen Excess and Polycystic Ovary Syndrome Society. Hum Reprod Update 2014;20:334–52.
[8] Iliodromiti S, Kelsey TW, Anderson RA, Nelson SM. Can anti-Mullerian hormone predict the diagnosis of polycystic ovary syndrome? A systematic review and meta-analysis of extracted data. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2013;98:3332–40.
[9] Broer SL, Eijkemans MJ, Scheffer GJ, et al. Anti-Mullerian hormone predicts menopause: a long-term follow-up study in normoovulatory women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2011;96:2532–9.
[10] Wellons MF, Bates GW, Schreiner PJ, Siscovick DS, Sternfeld B, Lewis CE. Antral follicle count predicts natural menopause in a population-based sample: the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults Women's Study. Menopause 2013;20:825–30.
[11] Tremellen K, Savulescu J. Ovarian reserve screening: a scientific and ethical analysis. Hum Reprod 2014;29:2606–14.
[12] Hansen KR, Hodnett GM, Knowlton N, Craig LB. Correlation of ovarian reserve tests with histologically determined primordial follicle number. Fertil Steril 2011;95:170–5.
[13] van Disseldorp J, Lambalk CB, Kwee J, et al. Comparison of inter- and intra-cycle variability of anti-Mullerian hormone and antral follicle counts. Hum Reprod 2010;25:221–7.
[14] Broekmans FJ, de Ziegler D, Howles CM, Gougeon A, Trew G, Olivennes F. The antral follicle count: practical recommendations for better standardization. Fertil Steril 2010;94:1044–51.
[15] Rustamov O, Smith A, Roberts SA, et al. The measurement of anti-Mullerian hormone: a critical appraisal. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2014;99:723–32.
[16] Scheffer GJ, Broekmans FJ, Dorland M, Habbema JD, Looman CW, te Velde ER. Antral follicle counts by transvaginal ultrasonography are related to age in women with proven natural fertility. Fertil Steril 1999;72:845–51.
[17] La Marca A, Spada E, Sighinolfi G, et al. Age-specific nomogram for the decline in antral follicle count throughout the reproductive period. Fertil Steril 2011;95:684–8.
[18] Rosen MP, Sternfeld B, Schuh-Huerta SM, Reijo Pera RA, McCulloch CE, Cedars MI. Antral follicle count: absence of significant midlife decline. Fertil Steril 2010;94:2182–5.
[19] Almog B, Shehata F, Shalom-Paz E, Tan SL, Tulandi T. Age-related normogram for antral follicle count: McGill reference guide. Fertil Steril 2011;95:663–6.
[20] Haadsma ML, Bukman A, Groen H, et al. The number of small antral follicles (2–6 mm) determines the outcome of endocrine ovarian reserve tests in a subfertile population. Hum Reprod 2007;22:1925–31.
[21] Kupesic S, Kurjak A, Bjelos D, Vujisic S. Three-dimensional ultrasonographic ovarian measurements and in vitro fertilization outcome are related to age. Fertil Steril 2003;79:190–7.
[22] Broekmans FJ, Faddy MJ, Scheffer G, te Velde ER. Antral follicle counts are related to age at natural fertility loss and age at menopause. Menopause 2004;11:607–14.
[23] Ng EH, Yeung WS, Fong DY, Ho PC. Effects of age on hormonal and ultrasound markers of ovarian reserve in Chinese women with proven fertility. Hum Reprod 2003;18:2169–74.

[24] Ruess ML, Kline J, Santos R, Levin B, Timor-Tritsch I. Age and the ovarian follicle pool assessed with transvaginal ultrasonography. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1996;174:624–7.
[25] Polyzos NP, Nwoye M, Corona R, et al. Live birth rates in Bologna poor responders treated with ovarian stimulation for IVF/ICSI. Reprod Biomed Online 2014;28:469–74.
[26] Steiner AZ, Herring AH, Kesner JS, et al. Antimullerian hormone as a predictor of natural fecundability in women aged 30–42 years. Obstet Gynecol 2011;117:798–804.
[27] Freeman SB, Yang Q, Allran K, Taft LF, Sherman SL. Women with a reduced ovarian complement may have an increased risk for a child with Down syndrome. Am J Hum Genet 2000;66:1680–3.
[28] Grande M, Borobio V, Jimenez JM, et al. Antral follicle count as a marker of ovarian biological age to reflect the background risk of fetal aneuploidy. Hum Reprod 2014;29:1337–43.
[29] Johnstone EB, Rosen MP, Neril R, et al. The polycystic ovary post-rotterdam: a common, age-dependent finding in ovulatory women without metabolic significance. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2010;95:4965–72.
[30] Azziz R, Woods KS, Reyna R, Key TJ, Knochenhauer ES, Yildiz BO. The prevalence and features of the polycystic ovary syndrome in an unselected population. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2004;89:2745–9.
[31] Yildiz BO, Bozdag G, Yapici Z, Esinler I, Yarali H. Prevalence, phenotype and cardiometabolic risk of polycystic ovary syndrome under different diagnostic criteria. Hum Reprod 2012;27:3067–73.
[32] Ferraretti AP, La Marca A, Fauser BC, et al. ESHRE consensus on the definition of 'poor response' to ovarian stimulation for in vitro fertilization: the Bologna criteria. Hum Reprod 2011;26:1616–24.
[33] Papathanasiou A. Implementing the ESHRE 'poor responder' criteria in research studies: methodological implications. Hum Reprod 2014;29:1835–8.