

Received 18 September 2019 Accepted 16 October 2019

Edited by A. J. Lough, University of Toronto, Canada

Keywords: crystal structure; 2-oxoquinoline; alkyne; weak intermolecular interactions; π stacking; Hirshfeld surface.

CCDC reference: 1959642

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





Crystal structure, Hirshfeld surface analysis and DFT studies of ethyl 2-{4-[(2-ethoxy-2-oxoethyl)-(phenyl)carbamoyl]-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-1yl}acetate

Yassir Filali Baba,^a* Tuncer Hökelek,^b Manpreet Kaur,^c Jerry Jasinski,^c Nada Kheira Sebbar^{d,e} and Youssef Kandri Rodi^a

^aLaboratoire de Chimie Organique Appliquée, Université Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdallah, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Route d'immouzzer, BP 2202, Fez, Morocco, ^bDepartment of Physics, Hacettepe University, 06800 Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey, ^cDepartment of Chemistry, Keene State College, 229 Main Street, Keene, NH 03435-2001, USA, ^dLaboratoire de Chimie bioorganique appliquée, Faculté des sciences, Université Ibn Zohr, Agadir, Morocco, and ^eLaboratoire de Chimie Organique Hétérocyclique URAC 21, Pôle de Compétence Pharmacochimie, Av. Ibn Battouta, BP 1014, Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohammed V, Rabat, Morocco. *Correspondence e-mail: yassir.filali.baba2018@gmail.com

The title compound, C₂₄H₂₄N₂O₆, consists of ethyl 2-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-oxoquinolin-1-yl)acetate and 4-[(2-ethoxy-2-oxoethyl)(phenyl)carbomoyl] units, where the oxoquinoline unit is almost planar and the acetate substituent is nearly perpendicular to its mean plane. In the crystal, C-H_{Oxan}···O_{Ethx} and $C-H_{Phvl} \cdots O_{Carbx}$ (Oxqn = oxoquinolin, Ethx = ethoxy, Phyl = phenyl and Carbx = carboxylate) weak hydrogen bonds link the molecules into a threedimensional network sturucture. A π - π interaction between the constituent rings of the oxoquinoline unit, with a centroid–centroid distance of 3.675 (1) Å may further stabilize the structure. Both terminal ethyl groups are disordered over two sets of sites. The ratios of the refined occupanies are 0.821 (8):0.179 (8) and 0.651 (18):0.349 (18). The Hirshfeld surface analysis of the crystal structure indicates that the most important contributions for the crystal packing are from $H \cdots H$ (53.9%), $H \cdots O/O \cdots H$ (28.5%) and $H \cdots C/C \cdots H$ (11.8%) interactions. Weak intermolecular hydrogen-bond interactions and van der Waals interactions are the dominant interactions in the crystal packing. Density functional theory (DFT) geometric optimized structures at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level are compared with the experimentally determined molecular structure in the solid state. The HOMO-LUMO molecular orbital behaviour was elucidated to determine the energy gap.

1. Chemical context

In recent years, research has been focused on existing molecules and their modifications in order to reduce their side effects and to explore their other pharmacological properties. Quinolone derivatives have constituted an important class of heterocyclic compounds which, even when part of a complex molecule, possesses a wide spectrum of biological activities, such as anticancer (Elderfield & Le Von, 1960), antifungal (Musiol *et al.*, 2010), antitubercular (Fan *et al.*, 2018*a*; Xu *et al.*, 2017), antimalarial (Fan *et al.*, 2018*b*; Hu *et al.*, 2017), anti-HIV (Sekgota *et al.*, 2017; Luo *et al.*, 2010), anti-HCV (Manfroni *et al.*, 2014; Cheng *et al.*, 2016) and antimicrobial (Musiol *et al.*, 2006). They have been developed for the treatment of many diseases, like malaria (Lutz *et al.*, 1946) and HIV (Ahmed *et al.*, 2010). As a continuation of our research work devoted to the development of N-substituted quinoline derivatives and

research communications

Table 1		
Hydroge	en-bond geometry	y (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C7-H7\cdots O2^{i}$	0.95	2.46	3.404 (2)	171
$C12A - H12D \cdots O5^{ii}$	0.99	2.73	3.477 (14)	132
$C17A - H17C \cdots O1^{iii}$	0.99	2.73	3.377 (17)	124
$C22-H22\cdots O3^{iv}$	0.95	2.42	3.342 (3)	164

Symmetry codes: (i) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + 1, z$; (ii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y, -z + 1$; (iii) $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) $-x+1, y-\frac{1}{2}, -z+\frac{1}{2}$



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, showing the atomnumbering scheme and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. For the sake of clarity, the minor component of disorder is not shown.

the assessments of their potential pharmacological activities (Filali Baba et al., 2016a, 2017, 2019; Bouzian et al., 2018, 2019a), we report herein the synthesis and molecular and crystal structure of the title compound, along with the Hirshfeld surface (HS) analysis and density functional theory (DFT) computational calculations carried out at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level of an N-substituted quinoline derivative by an alkylation reaction of ethyl bromoacetate with 2-oxo-Nphenyl-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4-carboxamide under phasetransfer catalysis conditions using tetra-n-butylammonium bromide (TBAB) as a catalyst and potassium carbonate as a base.



Figure 3

A view of the three-dimensional Hirshfeld surface for the title compound, plotted over d_{norm} in the range -0.2380 to 1.5740 a.u.



2. Structural commentary

The title molecule is composed of ethyl 2-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-oxoquinolin-1-yl)acetate and 4-[(2-ethoxy-2-oxoethyl)-(phenyl)carbomoyl] units (Fig. 1). The mean planes of the constituent rings, i.e. A (atoms N1/C1-C4/C9) and B (C4-C9), of the oxoquinoline unit are oriented at a dihedral angle of 1.04 (6)°. Thus, they are almost coplanar, with a maximum



Figure 2

A partial packing diagram viewed along the b axis. Weak $C-H_{Oxqn} \cdots O_{Ethx}$ and $C-H_{Phyl} \cdots O_{Carbx}$ (Oxqn = oxoquinolin, Ethx = ethoxy, Phyl = phenyl and Carbx = carboxylate) intermolecular hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines. The disorder is not shown.



Figure 4

A view of the three-dimensional Hirshfeld surface of the title compound, plotted over the electrostatic potential energy in the range -0.0500 to 0.0500 a.u. using the STO-3G basis set at the Hartree–Fock level of theory. Weak hydrogen-bond donor and acceptor intermolecular interactions are shown as blue and red regions around the atoms corresponding to positive and negative potentials, respectively.

deviation of 0.017 (3) Å for atom C7. Atoms O1 and C10 deviate only by 0.007 (2) and 0.022 (2) Å from that plane and so are essential coplanar. The acetate substituent is nearly perpendicular to that plane, with a torsion angle of C1-N1-C10-C11 = -104.8 (2)°. The mean plane of the phenyl ring, *C* (C19–C24), is oriented with respect to the oxoquinoline unit at a dihedral angle of 68.17 (6)°. The carboxyl groups, O5/O6/C11 and O3/O4/C16, are twisted out of coplanarity with the best least-squares plane of the oxoquinoline unit and phenyl ring *C* by dihedral angles of 79.7 (2) and 62.9 (2)°, respectively.

3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, weak $C-H_{Oxqn}\cdots O_{Ethx}$ and $C-H_{Phyl}\cdots O_{Carbx}$ (Oxqn = oxoquinolin, Ethx = ethoxy, Phyl = phenyl and Carbx = carboxylate) hydrogen bonds (Table 1) link the molecules into a three-dimensional network structure (Fig. 2). A $\pi-\pi$ contact between the constituent rings, *i.e.* A (N1/C1– C4/C9) and B (C4–C9), of the oxoquinoline unit, with $Cg1\cdots Cg2^{i} = 3.675$ (1) Å [symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1,-z + 1; Cg1 and Cg2 are the centroids of rings A and B], may further stabilize the structure. The Hirshfeld surface analysis of the crystal structure indicates that the most important contributions for crystal packing are from H···H (53.9%), H···O/O···H (28.5%) and H···C/C···H (11.8%) interactions. Weak intermolecular hydrogen-bond interactions and van der Waals interactions are the dominant interactions in the crystal packing.

4. Hirshfeld surface analysis

In order to visualize the intermolecular interactions in the title compound, a Hirshfeld surface (HS) analysis (Hirshfeld, 1977; Spackman & Jayatilaka, 2009) was carried out by using *CrystalExplorer17.5* (Turner *et al.*, 2017). In the HS plotted over d_{norm} (Fig. 3), the white surface indicates contacts with distances equal to the sum of the van der Waals radii, and the



Figure 5 A view of the Hirshfeld surface for the title compound, plotted over the shape-index.

red and blue colours indicate distances shorter (in close contact) or longer (distinct contact) than the van der Waals radii, respectively (Venkatesan *et al.*, 2016). The bright-red spots appearing near O2 and H atoms H7 and H22 indicate their roles as the respective donors and/or acceptors; they also appear as blue and red regions corresponding to positive and negative potentials on the HS mapped over the electrostatic potential (Spackman *et al.*, 2008; Jayatilaka *et al.*, 2005), as



Figure 6

The full two-dimensional fingerprint plots for the title compound, showing (a) all interactions, and delineated into (b) $H \cdots H$, (c) $H \cdots O/O \cdots H$, (d) $H \cdots C/C \cdots H$, (e) $C \cdots C$ and (f) $O \cdots C/C \cdots O$ interactions. The d_i and d_e values are the closest internal and external distances (in Å from given points on the Hirshfeld surface contacts.

Table 2	
Comparison of the selected (X-ray and DFT) geometric data (Å, $^{\circ}$).	

Bonds/angles	X-ray	B3LYP/6-311G(d,p)
O1-C1	1.226 (2)	1.23817
O2-C14	1.225 (2)	1.23404
O3-C16	1.199 (2)	1.20354
O4-C16	1.324 (2)	1.36931
O4-C17	1.487 (4)	1.48849
O5-C11	1.193 (3)	1.22578
O6-C11	1.317 (3)	1.38125
O6-C12	1.476 (4)	1.47909
N1-C1	1.381 (2)	1.41268
N1-C9	1.390 (3)	1.40270
N1-C10	1.457 (2)	1.45920
N2-C14	1.349 (2)	1.38292
N2-C15	1.455 (2)	1.46732
N2-C19	1.437 (2)	1.43915
C16-O4-C17	115.0 (3)	117.00006
C11-O6-C12	115.0 (2)	117.92667
C1-N1-C9	123.54 (14)	123.13299
C1-N1-C10	116.70 (15)	115.18860
C9-N1-C10	119.72 (15)	120.66132
C14-N2-C15	116.96 (15)	115.85567
C14-N2-C19	124.11 (13)	125.08748
C19-N2-C15	117.61 (14)	119.01375
O1-C1-N1	121.49 (17)	120.57635
O1-C1-C2	123.02 (17)	123.38727
N1-C1-C2	115.48 (16)	116.01507

shown in Fig. 4. The blue regions indicate a positive electrostatic potential (hydrogen-bond donors), while the red regions indicate a negative electrostatic potential (hydrogen-bond acceptors). The shape-index of the HS is a tool to visualize the π - π stacking by the presence of adjacent red and blue triangles; if there are no adjacent red and/or blue triangles, then there are no π - π interactions. Fig. 5 clearly suggests that there are π - π interactions in (I). The overall two-dimensional

Table 3Calculated energies.	
Molecular Energy (a.u.) (eV)	Compound (I)
Total Energy TE (eV)	-40528.2845
$E_{\rm HOMO}~({\rm eV})$	-6.1141
$E_{\rm LUMO}~(\rm eV)$	-1.9050
Gap ΔE (eV)	4.2091
Dipole moment μ (Debye)	7.7590
Ionization potential I (eV)	6.1141
Electron affinity A	1.9050
Electro negativity χ	4.0095
Hardness η	2.1046
Electrophilicity index ω	3.8194
Softness σ	0.4752
Fraction of electron transferred ΔN	0.7105

fingerprint plot (Fig. 6a) and those delineated into $H \cdots H$, $H \cdots O/O \cdots H$, $H \cdots C/C \cdots H$, $C \cdots C$ and $O \cdots C/C \cdots O$ contacts (McKinnon et al., 2007) are illustrated in Figs. 6(b)-(f), respectively, together with their relative contributions to the Hirshfeld surface. The most important interaction is $H \cdot \cdot \cdot H$, contributing 53.9% to the overall crystal packing, which is reflected in Fig. 6(b) as widely scattered points of high density due to the large hydrogen content of the molecule, with the tip at $d_e = d_i = 1.05$ Å, due to the short interatomic H...H contacts. The pair of characteristic wings resulting in the fingerprint plot delineated into $H \cdots O/O \cdots H$ contacts (Fig. 6c) has a 28.5% contribution to the HS and is viewed as a pair of spikes with the tips at $d_e + d_i = 2.30$ Å. In the absence of weak $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions, the pair of characteristic wings resulting in the fingerprint plot delineated into $H \cdots C/C \cdots H$ contacts (Fig. 6d), with a 11.8% contribution to the HS and are viewed as a pair of spikes with the tip at $d_e + d_i = 2.83$ Å. The



Figure 7 The Hirshfeld surface representations with the function d_{norm} plotted onto the surface for (a) $\text{H} \cdots \text{H}$, (b) $\text{H} \cdots \text{O}/\text{O} \cdots \text{H}$, (c) $\text{H} \cdots \text{C}/\text{C} \cdots \text{H}$ and (d) $\text{C} \cdots \text{C}$ interactions.

C···C contacts (Fig. 6e) have an arrow-shaped distribution of points with the tip at $d_e = d_i = 1.81$ Å. Finally, the pair of the scattered points of wings from the fingerprint plot are delineated into O···C/C···O (Fig. 6f) contacts, with a 1.1% contribution to the HS, and has a nearly symmetrical distribution of points with the edges at $d_e + d_i = 3.15$ Å.

The Hirshfeld surface representations with the function d_{norm} plotted onto the surface are shown for the H···H, H···O/O···H, H···C/C···H and C···C interactions in Figs. 7(*a*)–(*d*), respectively.

The Hirshfeld surface analysis confirms the importance of weak H-atom contacts in establishing the packing structure. The large number of $H \cdots H$, $H \cdots O/O \cdots H$ and $H \cdots C/C \cdots H$ interactions suggest that van der Waals interactions and weak hydrogen-bond intermolecular interactions play major roles in the crystal packing (Hathwar *et al.*, 2015).

5. DFT calculations

The geometry optimized structure of the title compound in the gas phase was generated theoretically via density functional theory (DFT) computational calculations using a standard B3LYP functional and a 6-311G(d,p) basis set (Becke, 1993), as implemented in GAUSSIAN09 (Frisch et al., 2009). The theoretical and experimental results were in good agreement (Table 2). A DFT molecular orbital calculation indicated that the highest-occupied molecular orbital (HOMO), acting as an electron donor, and the lowest-unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO), acting as an electron acceptor, are very important parameters for quantum chemistry. When the energy gap is small, the molecule is highly polarizable and has high chemical reactivity. Therefore, these DFT calculations provide important information on the reactivity and site selectivity of the molecular framework. E_{HOMO} and E_{LUMO} clarify the inevitable charge exchange collaboration inside the studied material, as well as electronegativity (χ) , hardness (η) , potential (μ) , electrophilicity (ω) and softness (σ) , which are listed in Table 3. The significance of η and σ is to evaluate both reactivity and stability. The electron transition from a HOMO to a LUMO energy level is shown in Fig. 8. The HOMO and LUMO are localized in the plane extending from the whole ethyl 2-{4-[(2-ethoxy-2-oxoethyl)(phenyl)carbamoyl]-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-1-yl}acetate ring. The energy band gap $[\Delta E = E_{LUMO} - E_{HOMO}]$ of the molecule was about 4.2091 eV, and the frontier molecular orbital (FMO) energies, i.e. E_{HOMO} and E_{LUMO} , were -6.1141 and -1.9050 eV, respectively.

6. Database survey

A non-alkylated analogue, namely quinoline and its derivatives, has been reported (Filali Baba *et al.*, 2016*b*, 2017; Bouzian *et al.*, 2019*a*), as well as three similar structures (see Castañeda *et al.*, 2014; Kafka *et al.*, 2012; Bouzian *et al.*, 2018, 2019*a*; Divya Bharathi *et al.*, 2015).



Figure 8 The calculated energy band gap for the title compound.

7. Synthesis and crystallization

To a solution of 2-oxo-*N*-phenyl-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4carboxamide (1.89 mmol) in dimethylformamide (DMF, 10 ml) were added ethyl bromoacetate (4.16 mmol), K₂CO₃ (5.67 mmol) and tetrabutylammonium bromide (TBAB, 0.23 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. After removal of the salts by filtration, the DMF was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was dissolved in dichloromethane. The organic phase was dried with Na₂SO₄ and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The pure compound was obtained by column chromatography using as eluate hexane/ethyl acetate (3:1 ν/ν). The isolated solid was recrystallized from hexanediethyl acetate (1:1 ν/ν) to afford colourless crystals (yield 75%; m.p. 427 K).

8. Refinement

The experimental details, including the crystal data, data collection and refinement, are summarized in Table 4. H atoms were positioned geometrically, with C-H = 0.93, 0.97 and 0.96 Å for aromatic CH, CH_2 and CH_3 H atoms, respectively, and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with $U_{iso}(H) = kU_{eq}(C)$, where k = 1.5 for CH₃ H atoms and k = 1.2 for other H atoms. The terminal ethyl groups are disordered with an occupancy ratio of 0.821 (8):0.179 (8) for C12 and C13, and 0.651 (18):0.349 (18) for C17 and C18.

Funding information

Funding for this research was provided by: NSF-MRI (grant No. CHE-1039027 to purchase the X-ray diffractometer,

acknowledged by JPJ); Hacettepe University Scientific Research Project Unit (grant No. 013 D04 602 004, to TH).

References

- Ahmed, N., Brahmbhatt, K. G., Sabde, S., Mitra, D., Singh, I. P. & Bhutani, K. K. (2010). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **18**, 2872–2879.
- Becke, A. D. (1993). J. Chem. Phys. 98, 5648-5652.
- Bouzian, Y., Hlimi, F., Sebbar, N. K., El Hafi, M., Hni, B., Essassi, E. M. & Mague, J. T. (2018). *IUCrData*, 3, x181438.
- Bouzian, Y., Karrouchi, K., Anouar, E. H., Bouhfid, R., Arshad, S. & Essassi, E. M. (2019*a*). *Acta Cryst.* E**75**, 912–916.
- Castañeda, R., Antal, S. A., Draguta, S., Timofeeva, T. V. & Khrustalev, V. N. (2014). *Acta Cryst.* E70, o924–o925.
- Cheng, Y., Shen, J., Peng, R. Z., Wang, G. F., Zuo, J. P. & Long, Y. Q. (2016). Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 26, 2900–2906.
- Divya Bharathi, M., Ahila, G., Mohana, J., Chakkaravarthi, G. & Anbalagan, G. (2015). Acta Cryst. E71, o261–o262.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.
- Elderfield, R. C. & Le Von, E. F. (1960). J. Org. Chem. 25, 1576-1583.
- Fan, Y. L., Cheng, X. W., Wu, J. B., Liu, M., Zhang, F. Z., Xu, Z. & Feng, L. S. (2018b). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 146, 1–14.
- Fan, Y. L., Wu, J. B., Cheng, X. W., Zhang, F. Z. & Feng, L. S. (2018a). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 146, 554–563.
- Filali Baba, Y., Elmsellem, H., KandriRodi, Y., Steli, H., AD, C., Ouzidan, Y., Ouazzani Chahdi, F., Sebbar, N. K., Essassi, E. M. & Hammouti, B. (2016a). *Pharma Chem.* 8, 159–169.
- Filali Baba, Y., Kandri Rodi, Y., Ouzidan, Y., Mague, J. T., Ouazzani Chahdi, F. & Essassi, E. M. (2017). *IUCrData*, **2**, x171038.
- Filali Baba, Y., Mague, J. T., Kandri Rodi, Y., Ouzidan, Y., Essassi, E. M. & Zouihri, H. (2016b). IUCrData, 1, x160997.
- Filali Baba, Y., Sert, Y., Kandri Rodi, Y., Hayani, S., Mague, J. T., Prim, D., Marrot, J., Ouazzani Chahdi, F., Sebbar, N. K. & Essassi, E. M. (2019). J. Mol. Struct. 1188, 255–268.
- Frisch, M. J., Trucks, G. W., Schlegel, H. B., Scuseria, G. E., Robb, M. A., Cheeseman, J. R., et al. (2009). GAUSSIAN09. Gaussian Inc., Wallingford, CT, USA.
- Hathwar, V. R., Sist, M., Jørgensen, M. R. V., Mamakhel, A. H., Wang, X., Hoffmann, C. M., Sugimoto, K., Overgaard, J. & Iversen, B. B. (2015). *IUCrJ*, 2, 563–574.
- Hirshfeld, H. L. (1977). Theor. Chim. Acta, 44, 129-138.
- Hu, Y. Q., Gao, C., Zhang, S., Xu, L., Xu, Z., Feng, L. S., Wu, X. & Zhao, F. (2017). *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 139, 22–47.
- Jayatilaka, D., Grimwood, D. J., Lee, A., Lemay, A., Russel, A. J., Taylor, C., Wolff, S. K., Cassam-Chenai, P. & Whitton, A. (2005). TONTO – A System for Computational Chemistry. Available at: http://hirshfeldsurface.net/.
- Kafka, S., Pevec, A., Proisl, K., Kimmel, R. & Kosmrlj, J. (2012). *Acta Cryst.* E68, o3199–o3200.
- Luo, Z. G., Tan, J. J., Zeng, Y., Wang, C. X. & Hu, L. M. (2010). *Mini Rev. Med. Chem.* 10, 1046–1057.
- Lutz, R. E., Bailey, P. S., Clark, M. T., Codington, J. F., Deinet, A. J., Freek, J. A., Harnest, G. H., Leake, N. H., Martin, T. A., Rowlett, R. J., Salsbury, J. M., Shearer, N. H., Smith, J. D. & Wilson, J. W. (1946). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 68, 1813–1831.
- Manfroni, G., Cannalire, R., Barreca, M. L., Kaushik-Basu, N., Leyssen, P., Winquist, J., Iraci, N., Manvar, D., Paeshuyse, J., Guhamazumder, R., Basu, A., Sabatini, S., Tabarrini, O., Danielson, U. H., Neyts, J. & Cecchetti, V. (2014). J. Med. Chem. 57, 1952–1963.

Table	4	
Experi	mental	details

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{24}H_{24}N_2O_6$
M _r	436.45
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, I2/a
Temperature (K)	173
a, b, c (Å)	16.9368 (5), 15.4130 (4),
	18.4562 (6)
β (°)	109.254 (4)
$V(\dot{A}^3)$	4548.4 (3)
Z	8
Radiation type	Cu Kα
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	0.76
Crystal size (mm)	$0.32 \times 0.22 \times 0.14$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Rigaku Oxford Diffraction Eos Gemini
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (<i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Rigaku OD, 2015)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.710, 1.000
No. of measured, independent and	8786, 4337, 3273
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	
R _{int}	0.018
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\text{max}} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.613
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.045, 0.144, 1.05
No. of reflections	4337
No. of parameters	327
No. of restraints	92
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({ m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3})$	0.22, -0.13

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2015), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015b), SHELXL2018 (Sheldrick, 2015a) and OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009).

- McKinnon, J. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2007). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 3814–3816.
- Musiol, R., Jampilek, J., Buchta, V., Silva, L., Niedbala, H., Podeszwa, B., Palka, A., Majerz-Maniecka, K., Oleksyn, B. & Polanski, J. (2006). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 14, 3592–3598.
- Musiol, R., Serda, M., Hensel-Bielowka, S. & Polanski, J. (2010). *Curr. Med. Chem.* 17, 1960–1973.
- Rigaku OD (2015). CrysAlis PRO. Rigaku Americas, The Woodlands, TX, USA.
- Sekgota, K. C., Majumder, S., Isaacs, M., Mnkandhla, D., Hoppe, H. C., Khanye, S. D., Kriel, F. H., Coates, J. & Kaye, P. T. (2017). *Bioorg. Chem.* **75**, 310–316.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Spackman, M. A. & Jayatilaka, D. (2009). CrystEngComm, 11, 19-32.
- Spackman, M. A., McKinnon, J. J. & Jayatilaka, D. (2008). *CrystEngComm*, **10**, 377–388.
- Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Spackman, P. R., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2017). *CrystalExplorer17*. The University of Western Australia.
- Venkatesan, P., Thamotharan, S., Ilangovan, A., Liang, H. & Sundius, T. (2016). Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 153, 625– 636.
- Xu, Z., Song, X. F., Hu, Y. Q., Qiang, M. & Lv, Z. S. (2017). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 138, 66–71.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2019). E75, 1753-1758 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989019014154]

Crystal structure, Hirshfeld surface analysis and DFT studies of ethyl 2-{4-[(2-ethoxy-2-oxoethyl)(phenyl)carbamoyl]-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-1-yl}acetate

Yassir Filali Baba, Sonia Hayani, Tuncer Hökelek, Manpreet Kaur, Jerry Jasinski, Nada Kheira Sebbar and Youssef Kandri Rodi

Computing details

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2015); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2015); data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2015); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015b); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2018* (Sheldrick, 2015a); molecular graphics: OLEX2 (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: OLEX2 (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009).

Ethyl 2-{4-[(2-ethoxy-2-oxoethyl)(phenyl)carbamoyl]-2-oxo-1,2-\ dihydroquinolin-1-yl}acetate

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{24}H_{24}N_2O_6\\ M_r = 436.45\\ \text{Monoclinic, }I2/a\\ a = 16.9368 \ (5) \ \text{\AA}\\ b = 15.4130 \ (4) \ \text{\AA}\\ c = 18.4562 \ (6) \ \text{\AA}\\ \beta = 109.254 \ (4)^\circ\\ V = 4548.4 \ (3) \ \text{\AA}^3\\ Z = 8 \end{array}$

Data collection

Rigaku Oxford Diffraction Eos Gemini diffractometer Detector resolution: 16.0416 pixels mm⁻¹ ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysAlis PRO; Rigaku OD, 2015) $T_{\min} = 0.710, T_{\max} = 1.000$ 8786 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.045$ $wR(F^2) = 0.144$ S = 1.054337 reflections 327 parameters 92 restraints F(000) = 1840 $D_x = 1.275 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 1.54184 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 3291 reflections $\theta = 4.0-71.1^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.76 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 173 KPrism, colourless $0.32 \times 0.22 \times 0.14 \text{ mm}$

4337 independent reflections 3273 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.018$ $\theta_{max} = 71.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 3.8^{\circ}$ $h = -20 \rightarrow 20$ $k = -16 \rightarrow 18$ $l = -22 \rightarrow 14$

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.073P)^2 + 1.2218P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.22$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.13$ e Å⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
01	0.63298 (9)	0.28034 (12)	0.61795 (9)	0.0789 (4)	
O2	0.63302 (9)	0.47704 (9)	0.36302 (9)	0.0744 (4)	
O3	0.53817 (8)	0.38810 (10)	0.18865 (9)	0.0758 (4)	
O4	0.64912 (9)	0.42678 (11)	0.15548 (9)	0.0788 (4)	
O5	0.40565 (12)	0.20343 (12)	0.53243 (11)	0.0931 (5)	
O6	0.37031 (11)	0.24950 (13)	0.63200 (10)	0.0916 (5)	
N1	0.51128 (9)	0.34488 (10)	0.54667 (8)	0.0550 (4)	
N2	0.63939 (9)	0.33627 (9)	0.33178 (9)	0.0531 (3)	
C1	0.59207 (11)	0.31706 (13)	0.55847 (11)	0.0580 (4)	
C2	0.62452 (11)	0.33490 (12)	0.49639 (11)	0.0583 (4)	
H2	0.679690	0.316531	0.501696	0.070*	
C3	0.58017 (10)	0.37609 (11)	0.43198 (11)	0.0517 (4)	
C4	0.49587 (11)	0.40413 (11)	0.42129 (10)	0.0510 (4)	
C5	0.44640 (13)	0.44579 (14)	0.35437 (12)	0.0660 (5)	
Н5	0.468954	0.457597	0.314607	0.079*	
C6	0.36578 (14)	0.46981 (16)	0.34545 (14)	0.0793 (6)	
H6	0.332464	0.498023	0.299848	0.095*	
C7	0.33343 (13)	0.45228 (17)	0.40416 (14)	0.0794 (6)	
H7	0.277547	0.468683	0.398124	0.095*	
C8	0.38016 (13)	0.41215 (14)	0.46997 (13)	0.0670 (5)	
H8	0.356741	0.401356	0.509326	0.080*	
C9	0.46250 (11)	0.38660 (11)	0.48024 (10)	0.0523 (4)	
C10	0.47616 (13)	0.32563 (14)	0.60712 (11)	0.0636 (5)	
H10A	0.448353	0.378149	0.618038	0.076*	
H10B	0.521855	0.309673	0.654605	0.076*	
C11	0.41363 (13)	0.25228 (15)	0.58447 (12)	0.0689 (5)	
C12	0.3138 (3)	0.1742 (3)	0.6220 (3)	0.1086 (14)	0.821 (8)
H12A	0.279258	0.167850	0.567335	0.130*	0.821 (8)
H12B	0.346565	0.120368	0.638828	0.130*	0.821 (8)
C12A	0.2830 (5)	0.2218 (16)	0.6170 (13)	0.112 (4)	0.179 (8)
H12C	0.247895	0.267217	0.629105	0.134*	0.179 (8)
H12D	0.256077	0.199531	0.564315	0.134*	0.179 (8)
C13	0.2606 (4)	0.1904 (5)	0.6689 (4)	0.145 (2)	0.821 (8)
H13A	0.222057	0.141575	0.663721	0.218*	0.821 (8)
H13B	0.295592	0.196529	0.722769	0.218*	0.821 (8)
H13C	0.228586	0.243799	0.651596	0.218*	0.821 (8)
C13A	0.3071 (18)	0.1534 (14)	0.6746 (15)	0.131 (6)	0.179 (8)
H13D	0.256994	0.122845	0.676250	0.197*	0.179 (8)
H13E	0.344312	0.112324	0.661214	0.197*	0.179 (8)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

H13F	0.336264	0.178906	0.724946	0.197*	0.179 (8)
C14	0.61890 (11)	0.40053 (12)	0.37191 (11)	0.0545 (4)	
C15	0.67713 (11)	0.36176 (13)	0.27471 (11)	0.0575 (4)	
H15A	0.707109	0.311554	0.262692	0.069*	
H15B	0.718470	0.408417	0.295976	0.069*	
C16	0.61226 (12)	0.39333 (12)	0.20217 (11)	0.0582 (4)	
C17	0.5912 (4)	0.4604 (7)	0.0815 (3)	0.094 (2)	0.651 (18)
H17A	0.541264	0.422697	0.062247	0.113*	0.651 (18)
H17B	0.572714	0.519989	0.087923	0.113*	0.651 (18)
C17A	0.5987 (10)	0.4317 (12)	0.0724 (4)	0.111 (4)	0.349 (18)
H17C	0.603984	0.376991	0.046247	0.133*	0.349 (18)
H17D	0.538974	0.440902	0.066041	0.133*	0.349 (18)
C18	0.6387 (5)	0.4598 (10)	0.0289 (4)	0.130 (3)	0.651 (18)
H18A	0.603636	0.481446	-0.021369	0.195*	0.651 (18)
H18B	0.687974	0.497156	0.048961	0.195*	0.651 (18)
H18C	0.656703	0.400418	0.023432	0.195*	0.651 (18)
C18A	0.6304 (11)	0.5040 (12)	0.0395 (11)	0.125 (5)	0.349 (18)
H18D	0.598396	0.508837	-0.015243	0.188*	0.349 (18)
H18E	0.624789	0.557798	0.065709	0.188*	0.349 (18)
H18F	0.689542	0.494139	0.045993	0.188*	0.349 (18)
C19	0.61117 (11)	0.24813 (11)	0.33022 (10)	0.0519 (4)	
C20	0.52672 (12)	0.22939 (14)	0.30965 (11)	0.0617 (5)	
H20	0.486416	0.274673	0.297629	0.074*	
C21	0.50194 (15)	0.14319 (16)	0.30689 (13)	0.0759 (6)	
H21	0.444329	0.129315	0.294403	0.091*	
C22	0.56040 (18)	0.07794 (15)	0.32215 (14)	0.0821 (7)	
H22	0.543027	0.019086	0.319532	0.099*	
C23	0.64356 (17)	0.09746 (15)	0.34110 (15)	0.0804 (6)	
H23	0.683586	0.051977	0.351179	0.096*	
C24	0.66976 (13)	0.18267 (13)	0.34571 (12)	0.0646 (5)	
H24	0.727594	0.196038	0.359409	0.078*	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
01	0.0656 (8)	0.1041 (12)	0.0636 (9)	0.0104 (8)	0.0167 (7)	0.0190 (8)
O2	0.0863 (9)	0.0559 (8)	0.0981 (11)	-0.0102 (7)	0.0532 (9)	-0.0039 (7)
O3	0.0554 (7)	0.0897 (10)	0.0856 (10)	0.0103 (7)	0.0275 (7)	0.0135 (8)
O4	0.0712 (8)	0.1044 (12)	0.0674 (9)	0.0048 (8)	0.0319 (7)	0.0265 (8)
05	0.1114 (13)	0.0924 (12)	0.0826 (11)	-0.0233 (10)	0.0414 (10)	-0.0174 (9)
O6	0.0886 (11)	0.1127 (14)	0.0891 (11)	-0.0207 (9)	0.0504 (9)	-0.0027 (9)
N1	0.0547 (8)	0.0648 (9)	0.0493 (8)	0.0017 (6)	0.0225 (6)	0.0000 (6)
N2	0.0529 (7)	0.0578 (8)	0.0575 (8)	-0.0016 (6)	0.0303 (7)	-0.0010 (6)
C1	0.0527 (9)	0.0646 (11)	0.0553 (10)	0.0013 (8)	0.0159 (8)	0.0006 (8)
C2	0.0471 (8)	0.0679 (11)	0.0630(11)	0.0021 (8)	0.0225 (8)	-0.0019 (9)
C3	0.0526 (9)	0.0508 (9)	0.0580 (10)	-0.0032 (7)	0.0266 (8)	-0.0077 (8)
C4	0.0548 (9)	0.0502 (9)	0.0524 (9)	0.0023 (7)	0.0235 (8)	-0.0030(7)
C5	0.0723 (12)	0.0695 (12)	0.0630 (11)	0.0116 (9)	0.0316 (10)	0.0067 (9)

supporting information

C6	0.0751 (13)	0.0879 (15)	0.0748 (14)	0.0290 (11)	0.0247 (11)	0.0173 (12)
C7	0.0610 (11)	0.0917 (15)	0.0899 (16)	0.0266 (11)	0.0308 (11)	0.0116 (13)
C8	0.0616 (10)	0.0757 (12)	0.0731 (13)	0.0137 (9)	0.0351 (10)	0.0045 (10)
C9	0.0528 (9)	0.0532 (9)	0.0553 (10)	0.0041 (7)	0.0236 (8)	-0.0056 (7)
C10	0.0652 (11)	0.0798 (13)	0.0515 (10)	-0.0011 (9)	0.0270 (9)	-0.0004 (9)
C11	0.0698 (12)	0.0798 (13)	0.0614 (12)	-0.0012 (10)	0.0276 (10)	0.0072 (10)
C12	0.106 (3)	0.118 (3)	0.119 (3)	-0.036 (2)	0.061 (2)	-0.002 (3)
C12A	0.104 (6)	0.121 (6)	0.119 (6)	-0.018 (6)	0.048 (6)	-0.003 (6)
C13	0.118 (4)	0.180 (5)	0.169 (4)	-0.032 (3)	0.091 (3)	0.006 (4)
C13A	0.125 (10)	0.128 (10)	0.143 (10)	-0.021 (9)	0.047 (10)	-0.008 (10)
C14	0.0524 (9)	0.0545 (10)	0.0627 (11)	-0.0039 (7)	0.0273 (8)	-0.0025 (8)
C15	0.0525 (9)	0.0673 (11)	0.0622 (11)	-0.0001 (8)	0.0318 (8)	0.0034 (8)
C16	0.0606 (10)	0.0575 (10)	0.0649 (11)	0.0047 (8)	0.0322 (9)	0.0035 (8)
C17	0.092 (3)	0.129 (5)	0.068 (2)	0.034 (3)	0.035 (2)	0.039 (3)
C17A	0.084 (5)	0.137 (8)	0.107 (6)	0.019 (6)	0.027 (5)	0.048 (5)
C18	0.140 (4)	0.196 (8)	0.059 (3)	0.047 (5)	0.040 (3)	0.028 (4)
C18A	0.120 (8)	0.127 (8)	0.115 (8)	-0.022 (7)	0.021 (6)	0.048 (7)
C19	0.0596 (9)	0.0555 (9)	0.0475 (9)	-0.0043 (7)	0.0272 (8)	-0.0046 (7)
C20	0.0585 (10)	0.0710 (11)	0.0606 (11)	-0.0066 (9)	0.0262 (9)	-0.0038 (9)
C21	0.0758 (13)	0.0865 (15)	0.0706 (13)	-0.0277 (11)	0.0313 (11)	-0.0120 (11)
C22	0.1129 (19)	0.0618 (12)	0.0811 (15)	-0.0200 (12)	0.0448 (14)	-0.0102 (11)
C23	0.0962 (16)	0.0603 (12)	0.0931 (17)	0.0033 (11)	0.0428 (14)	-0.0023 (11)
C24	0.0671 (11)	0.0632 (11)	0.0704 (12)	0.0029 (9)	0.0318 (10)	-0.0031 (9)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—C1	1.226 (2)	C12—C13	1.462 (5)
O2—C14	1.225 (2)	C12A—H12C	0.9900
O3—C16	1.199 (2)	C12A—H12D	0.9900
O4—C16	1.324 (2)	C12A—C13A	1.456 (6)
O4—C17	1.487 (4)	C13—H13A	0.9800
O4—C17A	1.491 (5)	C13—H13B	0.9800
O5—C11	1.193 (3)	C13—H13C	0.9800
O6-C11	1.317 (2)	C13A—H13D	0.9800
O6—C12	1.476 (4)	C13A—H13E	0.9800
O6-C12A	1.475 (6)	C13A—H13F	0.9800
N1-C1	1.381 (2)	C15—H15A	0.9900
N1-C9	1.390 (2)	C15—H15B	0.9900
N1-C10	1.457 (2)	C15—C16	1.505 (3)
N2-C14	1.349 (2)	C17—H17A	0.9900
N2-C15	1.455 (2)	C17—H17B	0.9900
N2-C19	1.437 (2)	C17—C18	1.453 (5)
C1—C2	1.451 (3)	C17A—H17C	0.9900
С2—Н2	0.9500	C17A—H17D	0.9900
C2—C3	1.338 (3)	C17A—C18A	1.454 (6)
C3—C4	1.442 (2)	C18—H18A	0.9800
C3—C14	1.510(2)	C18—H18B	0.9800
C4—C5	1.399 (3)	C18—H18C	0.9800

supporting information

C_{4} C_{0}	1 408 (2)	C18A H19D	0.0800
C5 H5	0.0500		0.9800
C5 C6	1.271(2)		0.9800
C5C0	1.571 (5)	C10A—H10F	0.9800
	0.9300	C19 - C20	1.364(2)
	1.395 (3)	C19—C24	1.578 (5)
C/—H/	0.9500	C20—H20	0.9500
	1.360 (3)	C20—C21	1.389 (3)
C8—H8	0.9500	C21—H21	0.9500
C8—C9	1.401 (2)	C21—C22	1.374 (4)
C10—H10A	0.9900	C22—H22	0.9500
C10—H10B	0.9900	C22—C23	1.368 (4)
C10—C11	1.511 (3)	C23—H23	0.9500
C12—H12A	0.9900	C23—C24	1.380 (3)
C12—H12B	0.9900	C24—H24	0.9500
C16—O4—C17	115.0 (3)	H13A—C13—H13C	109.5
C16—O4—C17A	117.2 (6)	H13B—C13—H13C	109.5
C11—O6—C12	115.0 (2)	C12A—C13A—H13D	109.5
C11—O6—C12A	129.0 (10)	C12A—C13A—H13E	109.5
C1—N1—C9	123.54 (14)	C12A—C13A—H13F	109.5
C1-N1-C10	116.70 (15)	H13D—C13A—H13E	109.5
C9—N1—C10	119.72 (15)	H13D—C13A—H13F	109.5
C14 - N2 - C15	116.96 (15)	H13E—C13A—H13F	109.5
C14 - N2 - C19	124 11 (13)	Ω^2 —C14—N2	122 43 (16)
C19 = N2 = C15	117 61 (14)	02 - C14 - C3	119 32 (16)
01 - C1 - N1	121 49 (17)	$N_2 - C_1 - C_3$	119.32(10) 118.21(15)
$O_1 = C_1 = C_2$	121.49(17) 123.02(17)	$N_2 = C_{14} = C_{3}$	100.3
$N_1 = C_1 = C_2$	125.02(17) 115.48(16)	N2 C15 H15R	109.3
$\Gamma = C_1 = C_2$	119.40 (10)	$N_2 = C_{15} = C_{16}$	109.5 111 42 (14)
$C_1 = C_2 = C_1$	110.3 122.02(15)	$N_2 - C_{15} - C_{10}$	102.0
$C_3 = C_2 = C_1$	122.92 (13)		108.0
$C_3 = C_2 = C_4$	110.3	С16—С15—НІ5А	109.3
$C_2 = C_3 = C_1 A$	120.27(15)	C16—C15—H15B	109.3
$C_2 = C_3 = C_{14}$	121.19 (15)	03 - 016 - 04	125.22 (19)
C4 - C3 - C14	118.37 (16)	03-016-015	124.//(1/)
$C_{3} - C_{4} - C_{3}$	122.42 (16)	04-015	110.01 (15)
C_{3}	119.62 (16)	04— $C17$ — $H17A$	110.6
C9—C4—C3	117.95 (16)		110.6
C4—C5—H5	119.6	HI/A - CI/-HI/B	108.8
C6—C5—C4	120.84 (18)	C18—C17—O4	105.6 (5)
C6—C5—H5	119.6	C18—C17—H17A	110.6
С5—С6—Н6	120.5	C18—C17—H17B	110.6
C5—C6—C7	119.1 (2)	O4—C17A—H17C	110.2
С7—С6—Н6	120.5	O4—C17A—H17D	110.2
С6—С7—Н7	119.3	H17C—C17A—H17D	108.5
C8—C7—C6	121.38 (18)	C18A—C17A—O4	107.6 (10)
С8—С7—Н7	119.3	C18A—C17A—H17C	110.2
С7—С8—Н8	119.7	C18A—C17A—H17D	110.2
C7—C8—C9	120.59 (18)	C17—C18—H18A	109.5

С9—С8—Н8	119.7	C17—C18—H18B	109.5
N1—C9—C4	119.83 (15)	C17—C18—H18C	109.5
N1—C9—C8	121.67 (16)	H18A—C18—H18B	109.5
C8—C9—C4	118.50 (17)	H18A—C18—H18C	109.5
N1-C10-H10A	109.3	H18B—C18—H18C	109.5
N1-C10-H10B	109.3	C17A—C18A—H18D	109.5
N1-C10-C11	111.49 (16)	C17A—C18A—H18E	109.5
H10A—C10—H10B	108.0	C17A—C18A—H18F	109.5
C11—C10—H10A	109.3	H18D—C18A—H18E	109.5
C11—C10—H10B	109.3	H18D—C18A—H18F	109.5
05-011-06	125.2 (2)	H18E—C18A—H18F	109.5
05-011-010	125.32 (19)	C_{20} C_{19} N_{2}	120.64 (16)
06-011-010	109 49 (19)	C24-C19-N2	118 43 (16)
06-C12-H12A	110.3	C_{24} C_{19} C_{20}	120.85 (18)
06-C12-H12B	110.3	C19-C20-H20	120.65 (10)
H_{12A} $-C_{12}$ H_{12B}	108.6	C19 - C20 - C21	120.0 118.8(2)
C13 - C12 - C12	107.0(4)	C_{21} C_{20} H_{20}	120.6
C_{13} C_{12} H_{12A}	110.3	C_{20} C_{20} H_{20} H_{21}	110.0
C13 C12 H12R	110.3	$C_{20} = C_{21} = H_{21}$	119.9 120.3 (2)
06 C12A H12C	112.1	$C_{22} = C_{21} = C_{20}$	120.3 (2)
O_{0} C_{12A} H_{12D}	113.1	$C_{22} = C_{21} = H_{21}$	119.9
H_{12} H_{12} H_{12} H_{12}	110.5	$C_{21} = C_{22} = C_{21}$	119.9
H12C - C12A - H12D	110.3	$C_{23} = C_{22} = C_{21}$	120.2 (2)
C12A = C12A = 00	92.9 (14)	$C_{23} = C_{22} = H_{22}$	119.9
C13A = C12A = H12C	113.1	C22—C23—H23	119.7
C13A - C12A - H12D	113.1	$C_{22} = C_{23} = C_{24}$	120.5 (2)
C12—C13—H13A	109.5	C24—C23—H23	119.7
С12—С13—Н13В	109.5	C19—C24—C23	119.3 (2)
С12—С13—Н13С	109.5	С19—С24—Н24	120.4
H13A—C13—H13B	109.5	C23—C24—H24	120.4
01 - C1 - C2 - C3	-1790(2)	C10-N1-C1-C2	178 29 (16)
$V_1 = C_1 = C_2 = C_3$	177.0(2)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-179.07(16)
N1 = C10 = C11 = 05	0.5(3)	C10 N1 C9 C8	1/9.07(10)
N1 = C10 = C11 = 05	-166.24(17)	$C_{10} = 10 = 0.05 = 0.05$	-160.7(5)
$N_{1} = C_{10} = C_{11} = 00$	-80(3)	$C_{11} = 06 = C_{12} = C_{13}$	109.7(3)
$N_2 = C_{15} = C_{16} = O_5$	0.9(3)	C12 O6 C11 O5	120.3(10)
$N_2 = C_{10} = C_{10} = C_{10} = C_{10}$	171.00(10) 178.30(17)	$C_{12} = 06 = C_{11} = C_{10}$	-173.0(3)
$N_2 = C_{19} = C_{20} = C_{21}$	1/6.30(17) 177.10(18)	C12 = 00 = C11 = C10	-1/3.0(3)
$N_2 - C_{19} - C_{24} - C_{23}$	-1/7.10(18)	C12A = 06 = C11 = C10	-32.3(12)
C1 = N1 = C9 = C4	-1.4(3)	C12A = 00 = C15 = C16	146.7(12)
CI = NI = CI = CI	1/8.05 (18)	C14 N2 C15 C16	= /9.0(2)
CI = NI = CI0 = CII	-104.9(2)	C14 N2 C19 C20	54.7 (5) 129 (9 (10)
C1 = C2 = C3 = C4	-0.7(3)	C14 - N2 - C19 - C24	-128.08(19)
C1 = C2 = C3 = C14	1/4.41 (1/)	C14 - C3 - C4 - C3	0.1 (3)
12 - 13 - 14 - 13	-1/8.05(19)	$C_{14} - C_{3} - C_{4} - C_{9}$	-1/5.41(15)
12 - 13 - 14 - 19	-0.1(3)	$U_{13} - N_2 - U_{14} - U_2$	-2.0(3)
12 - 13 - 14 - 02	-109.2(2)	C15 - N2 - C14 - C3	-1/9.5/(15)
C2—C3—C14—N2	68.5 (2)	C15 - N2 - C19 - C20	-111.76 (18)
C3-C4-C5-C6	178.5 (2)	C15—N2—C19—C24	64.9 (2)

C3—C4—C9—N1	1.2 (2)	C16—O4—C17—C18	-157.1(9)
C4—C3—C14—O2	66.1 (2)	C17—O4—C16—O3	0.6 (5)
C4—C3—C14—N2	-116.24 (19)	C17—O4—C16—C15	-180.0 (5)
C4—C5—C6—C7	0.1 (4)	C17A—O4—C16—O3	-21.0 (10)
C5—C4—C9—N1	179.72 (17)	C17A—O4—C16—C15	158.4 (10)
C5—C4—C9—C8	-0 3 (3)	C19—N2—C14—O2	-168 50 (18)
C5-C6-C7-C8	0.2 (4)	C19 - N2 - C14 - C3	13.9 (3)
C6—C7—C8—C9	-0.5 (4)	C19—N2—C15—C16	88.48 (19)
C7—C8—C9—N1	-179.5 (2)	C19—C20—C21—C22	-1.9 (3)
C7—C8—C9—C4	0.6 (3)	C20—C19—C24—C23	-0.5 (3)
C9—N1—C1—O1	-179.89 (19)	C20—C21—C22—C23	0.8 (4)
C9—N1—C1—C2	0.6 (3)	C21—C22—C23—C24	0.5(4)
C9—N1—C10—C11		C22—C23—C24—C19	-0.7(3)
C9—C4—C5—C6 C10—N1—C1—O1	0.0 (3) -2.2 (3)	C24—C19—C20—C21	1.8 (3)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D···A	D—H···A	
C7—H7…O2 ⁱ	0.95	2.46	3.404 (2)	171	
C12 <i>A</i> —H12 <i>D</i> ···O5 ⁱⁱ	0.99	2.73	3.477 (14)	132	
C17 <i>A</i> —H17 <i>C</i> ···O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.99	2.73	3.377 (17)	124	
C22—H22…O3 ^{iv}	0.95	2.42	3.342 (3)	164	

Symmetry codes: (i) x-1/2, -y+1, z; (ii) -x+1/2, y, -z+1; (iii) x, -y+1/2, z-1/2; (iv) -x+1, y-1/2, -z+1/2.