Floating Aortic Thrombus: A Rare Cause of Acute Ischemic Stroke Necessitating Modification of Access Route for Thrombectomy
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We report 2 patients with acute occlusion of middle cerebral artery successfully treated by mechanical thrombectomy performed via transbrachial access. Both patients had floating aortic arch thrombi precluding safe transfemoral access due to risk of further iatrogenic embolization. Moreover both patients were diagnosed with hypercoagulopathy secondary to lung malignancy (paraneoplastic etiology, Trousseau’s syndrome) as the cause of both aortic thrombi and acute ischemic stroke. Mechanical thrombectomy in the setting of a floating aortic thrombus has been mentioned only once as part of general management of floating aortic thrombi in the whole body. To the best of our knowledge, it has never been described previously in the literature in the context of cerebral mechanical thrombectomy technique. However, the diagnosis of this entity bears clinical importance for it can modify the treatment approach. A modified transbrachial approach allowed us to treat both patients without neurologic complications and resulted in modified Rankin scores of 1 on follow-up. We advise that the cross-sectional imaging of acute stroke patients should include an evaluation of the aortic arch and should be scrutinized in detail especially in patients with possible hypercoagulable state. Flat panel computed tomography technology allowed us to obtain such an imaging study in the angiography suite.
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Introduction

Endovascular technique of thrombectomy, the current standard of treatment in large vessel occlusion in the setting of acute ischemic stroke (AIS), is well defined, however modifications of the technique, including modification of the endovascular access site may be necessary in unusual presentations of AIS. Herein we present 2 cases of successful mechanical thrombectomy procedures in which conventional transfemoral access was deemed to be risky because of mural aortic arch thrombi, which were considered as the cause of AIS. Both patients had a prothrombotic state secondary to an underlying lung carcinoma, and were treated safely via a right transbrachial access (TBA). Endovascular treatment (EVT) of AIS secondary to an aortic thrombus has been mentioned, without description of the EVT technique used, in only 2 cases previously.2 Although transbrachial or transradial access for EVT of AIS has been reported recently,3–5 the choice of the alternative access was based on operator preference and in many cases due to expected delays in recanalization had transfemoral access been used. Our patients are the first, to our knowledge, in whom an
alternative access was mandated because of the risk of further embolization from aortic thrombus.

CASE 1

A 57-year-old man presented to the emergency department of our hospital with loss of consciousness, right-sided hemiplegia and aphasia with a symptom onset-to-door time of 2 hours. His admission NIHSS score was 17. His clinical history was remarkable for coronary artery disease and metastatic lung cancer. Head and neck computed tomography angiography (CTA) demonstrated left Middle cerebral artery (MCA) M1 segment occlusion as well as a 2.5 × 1 cm thrombus protruding into the aortic arch from origin of left subclavian artery (LSCA) causing LSCA occlusion and extending to the origin of the left common carotid artery (CCA) (Fig 1). Other CTA findings included a spiculated mass lesion in apical zone of the left lung and accompanying fibrotic changes next to mediastinum consistent with the history of radiotherapy. He did not receive intravenous rt-PA prior to the procedure since left frontal and right cerebellar hyperdense metastatic lesions were noted on initial noncontrast head CT. Due to a potential hazard of dislodging the aortic thrombus during catheterization and causing further embolic events, we opted to gain intraarterial access via the right brachial artery instead of the common femoral artery for thrombectomy. Under general anesthesia, systemic anticoagulation and ultrasonographic guidance the right brachial artery was punctured at the level of midlower arm via 21 gauge micropuncture needle. After placement of a short 6F sheath, instead of using a Simmons-2 type of catheter as previously described for transradial/TBA we opted to use a Simmons-1 catheter based on the morphology of the aortic arch on CTA. The catheter was directly advanced to the right subclavian artery and the vertebral artery was directly selected so that the curve of the catheter was located at the origin of the vertebral artery. A vertebral angiogram was obtained to rule out a vertebrobasilar clot secondary to the left subclavian thrombus, which demonstrated a left subclavian steal. Then the guidewire was advanced as far as the curve of the catheter and the catheter was simply pushed forward for it to assume the regular Simmons-1 shape. The catheter directly engaged the left CCA origin (Fig 2). The short 6F sheath was exchanged with a 6 Fr 45 cm long Pinnacle Destination guiding sheath (Terumo Medical, Somerset, NJ) which was navigated to the origin of the left CCA with its tip pointing upward and providing support for a 5 Fr Sofia catheter (MicroVention, Tustin, CA) that was navigated over a Rebar 27 microcatheter (ev3, Irvine, CA) and Avigo microwire (ev3, Irvine, CA) to engage the MCA thrombus. After performing a direct aspiration first pass technique (ADAPT) twice, control angiograms showed thrombolysis in cerebral infarction 2B recanalization of the left MCA territory with a small residual filling defect in a temporo-parietal branch (Fig 3). The symptom to recanalization time was 4 hours. A flat-panel CT of the head revealed no evidence of an intracranial hemorrhage. A flat-panel CT of the arcus aorta obtained during breathhold and with injection of 100% contrast (iohexol 300, Omnipaque; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI) (42 cc at a rate of 2 cc/s) showed no change in the size or configuration of the hanging thrombus at aortic arch (Fig 4).
gram showed occlusion of the right brachial artery with good collateralization of the arm and hand (Fig 5). There was no clinical evidence of right hand ischemia. Local hemostasis at the arterial puncture site was achieved with manual compression. During and after the procedure the mean blood pressure as measured from the right arm was approximately 90 mm/Hg; mean blood pressure measured from the left arm was approximately 20 mm/Hg lower. The patient had no symptoms attributable to the left subclavian steal hence no treatment was offered. The patient was kept on systemic anticoagulation during his hospital stay. On postoperative day 4, CTA of the head and neck showed that the aortic component of the thrombus had resolved and the LSCA remained occluded. On postoperative day 5, the day of discharge, the NIHSS score of patient was 4. Pathological analysis of the retrieved thrombi revealed blood elements and fibrin. At 2 months, his modified Rankin score 1 and he only had minimal aphasia permitting almost complete communication.

CASE 2

A 60-year-old man presented to emergency department of our hospital with acute onset left hemiplegia with a symptom onset-to-door time of 1.5 hours. His admission NIHSS score was 16. His clinical history was remarkable for metastatic lung cancer and recent systemic chemotherapy. Head and neck CTA demonstrated right MCA M1 segment occlusion as well as central spiculated mass and accompanying atelectatic areas in right lung and there were 4 different foci of mural thrombi in the aortic arch (Fig 6a-c). He did not receive intravenous rt-PA because
of a left cerebellar well circumscribed hypodense area of approximately 2 cm which was suspicious of metastasis on initial noncontrast head CT. Due to a potential hazard of dislodging the thrombi during catheterization via transfemoral approach and causing further embolic events, we opted to gain intraarterial access via right arm for thrombectomy. Under general anesthesia, systemic anticoagulation and ultrasonographic guidance the right brachial artery was punctured at the level of midlower arm via 21 gauge micropuncture needle. After infusion of 0.4 mg nimodipine and placement of 6 Fr 45 cm long Pinnacle Destination guiding sheath (Terumo Medical, Somerset, NJ), a 6F Simmons Select catheter (Penumbra) was navigated up to the right cervical ICA. A 5 Fr Sofia catheter (MicroVention, Tustin, CA) was navigated through the sheath over a Rebar 27 microcatheter (ev3, Irvine, CA) and Avigo microwire (ev3, Irvine, CA) to engage the MCA thrombus and it was pulled back during aspiration (Fig 7a and b). After performing this procedure once, control angiograms showed thrombolysis in cerebral infarction 2B recanalization of right MCA territory with a residual filling defect in a distal branch (Fig 8a and b). The symptom to recanalization time was 3 hours. A flat-panel CT of the head revealed no evidence of an intracranial hemorrhage. A brachial angiogram showed moderate focal spasm at the entry site (Fig 9). Local hemostasis at the arterial puncture site was achieved with manual compression. At discharge on day 5, the NIHSS score of patient was 5. Pathological analysis of the retrieved thrombi disclosed only blood elements and fibrin. At 1 month, the patient was modified Rankin score 1.

**Discussion**

Focal aortic thrombus (so called protruding or floating aortic thrombus) is an underestimated source of distal emboli. AIS secondary to such a thrombus has been rarely documented in the literature and it was reported to account for up to 2.5 % of all AIS cases in a recent study. Since floating aortic thrombus is a rarely encountered and reported entity, standard of care for either the thrombus itself or the secondary AIS has not been well defined. Surgical thrombectomy and systemic anticoagulation for thrombus removal have been reported as therapeutic options depending on the clinical status. In our first case, part of the floating thrombus in the aortic arch resolved with systemic anticoagulation within 1 week. Given that no other underlying source of thromboembolism was demonstrated in spite of a detailed work-up, the most plausible explanation of the AIS in our both cases was in situ thrombosis in aorta as part of a paraneoplastic hypercoagulable state (Trousseau’s syndrome). Floating aortic thrombus is regarded as a separate clinical entity from atherosclerotic disease since development of a
thrombus in aortic arch requires additional underlying pathologic states such as hypercoagulability syndromes, collagen tissue diseases or malignancy\(^2,9\) which is also the case in our patients. In the literature we were able to find 2 cases of mechanical thrombectomy in one of the largest series focusing on the treatment of aortic floating thrombus\(^2\) in general, including those in the descending and abdominal aorta. The authors of this series mention about some form of EVT in one of their patients but provide no information as to either the procedure or the neurologic outcome since the study is not related to cerebral consequences of aortic thrombus. Apart from this we were unable to find a description of EVT in AIS due to an aortic thrombus.\(^2\)

With regard to access site, mechanical thrombectomy via transradial (TRA) and/or TBA in AIS patients has been recently reported in literature for both the anterior and posterior circulations.\(^10,11\) In some cases transradial/transbrachial route is chosen as initial access based on cross-sectional imaging findings\(^11\) or history of femoral artery surgery\(^5\); meanwhile in some cases operators shifted to arm access after unsuccessful catheterization via transfemoral route.\(^5,12\) Typically, TRA and TBA are preferred when the transfemoral approach fails in anterior circulation AIS which happens in about 0.5% of patients.\(^13\)

Reviewing the literature, we encountered three series of TRA with a total of 54 cases\(^3,4,10\) and only 9 cases of TBA for the treatment of AIS.\(^5,12,14\) In all of these reported AIS cases treated through a TBA or TSA, the reason for switching to an alternate route was either operator preference (TRA as the default access path)\(^4\) or hardship in accessing the target artery via a transfemoral route.\(^5,10,12\) The reason for choosing TBA in our

**Figure 7.** Cutoff in proximal main truncus of right MCA consistent with thrombosis is shown on anteroposterior right internal carotid artery angiogram (a). Engagement of the clot via aspiration catheter navigated over a microcatheter is seen (b).

**Figure 8.** Postprocedural angiograms demonstrate TICI 2B revascularization of the right MCA territory. Abbreviations: TICI, thrombolysis in cerebral infarction.
cases was not the inability to reach left CCA but the potential danger of embolization from the aortic thrombus with transfemoral access. Consequently, our 2 patients represent the only cases in our practice who needed an alternative access for thrombectomy for AIS treatment. They are also the first cases in the literature in whom switching to an alternative access was mandated by aortic pathology, stressing on the fact that neurointerventionalists need to be familiar with alternative access routes because an urgent need for such access may come up during AIS treatment.

Most of the previous reports on thrombectomy with TBA/TRA employed large-bore (0.070-0.088 inch) guide catheters which were advanced over a 5 Fr Simmons-2 catheter. Various catheter maneuvers were performed to shape the long catheter. We used a 100 cm long Simmons-1 catheter which is easier to shape, without a need to maneuver the catheter in the aorta. We chose this catheter in our case because we wanted to refrain from catheter manipulations in the aorta and endanger embolization of the floating aortic thrombus. It is possible to shape this catheter within the subclavian artery and the maneuver is much simpler than that for a Simmons-2 catheter. In addition, unlike the previous reports, we used a sheath with a tip shape which are, in general used to prevent prolapse of stents and balloons into the aorta during renal interventions. As we expected, in our cases it enabled us to have better support without falling back into the aorta and providing support for navigation of an aspiration catheter intracranially.

There are both advantages and disadvantages of a brachial approach which has been described in 3 reports of a total of 9 cases to date. The disadvantage, as compared to the TRA is that the brachial artery is an “end artery” functionally so its occlusion may result in upper extremity ischemia. As unpleasant and undesirable as this may be, there exists a surgical treatment for this condition which can be performed directly after intracranial thrombectomy. We also believe that achieving hemostasis is harder in TBA as compared to TRA. That being said, the brachial artery is larger than the radial artery, with a lower likelihood of occlusion, especially when intracarotid nimodipine is injected and the procedure is performed under anticoagulation. Another advantage is that due to the shorter distance from the origins of the supraaortic major trunks, it allows the operator to use a variety of shorter sheaths. Among patients with failed access for intracranial interventions, 2 other methods exist; transcervical (direct carotid puncture) and the recently described transvenous/transseptal access. However serious consequences such as neck hematoma and subsequent compromise of airway may be associated with direct carotid puncture. Transvenous/transseptal access is logistically harder to perform in an emergency condition and would be of no use in our patients as it would still entail some catheter manipulation in the aorta.

In conclusion, transbrachial approach can be a feasible alternative route in AIS patients with anterior system occlusion especially when there are factors precluding transfemoral access as well as safe and prompt catheterization of target vessels. Floating aortic thrombus should be kept in mind as a source of AIS in those patients with a tendency for thrombosis.
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