A note on ADS* modules

Derya Keskin Tütüncü

Received: 17 January 2012 / Revised: 6 February 2012 / Accepted: 20 February 2012 / Published online: 3 March 2012 © The Author(s) 2012. This article is published with open access at SpringerLink.com

Abstract We study the *ADS*^{*} modules which are the dualizations of *ADS* modules studied by Alahmadi et al. (J Algebra 352:215–222, 2012). Mainly we prove that an amply supplemented module *M* is *ADS*^{*} if and only if M_1 and M_2 are mutually projective whenever $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ if and only if for any direct summand S_1 and a submodule S_2 with $M = S_1 + S_2$, the epimorphism $\alpha_i : M \longrightarrow S_i/(S_1 \cap S_2)$ with $\text{Ker}(\alpha_i) = S_j (i \neq j = 1, 2)$ can be lifted to an idempotent endomorphism β_i of *M* with $\beta_i(M) \subseteq S_i$.

Keywords Supplement submodule \cdot Amply supplemented module $\cdot \pi$ -Projective modules $\cdot ADS^*$ module

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 16D20 · 16D80

1 Introduction

Throughout every module will be a right module. All rings have identity and all modules are unital.

Fuchs [4], calls a right module M ADS if for every decomposition $M = S \oplus T$ of M and every complement T' of S we have $M = S \oplus T'$. In 2012, Alahmadi, Jain and Leroy [1] studied the class of ADS rings and modules. In this note we dualize the concept of ADS modules as ADS^{*}. A right module $MADS^*$ if for every decomposition $M = S \oplus T$ of M and every supplement T' of S we have $M = S \oplus T'$. Note that for

D. Keskin Tütüncü (🖂)

Department of Mathematics, Hacettepe University, 06800 Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey e-mail: keskin@hacettepe.edu.tr

Communicated by S.K. Jain.

the submodules A and B of M, A is called a *supplement* of B in M if M = A + Band $A \cap B$ is small in A. We will write $X \ll M$ if X is a small submodule of M. Any module M is called *amply supplemented* if B contains a supplement of A in M whenever M = A + B and M is called *supplemented* if every submodule of M has a supplement in M. Thus every amply supplemented module is supplemented.

Let *M* be any module. *M* is called π -projective if whenever M = A + B then there exists a homomorphism $f : M \longrightarrow M$ such that $f(M) \subseteq A$ and $(1 - f)(M) \subseteq B$. If any module *M* is π -projective, then it is ADS^* by [6, 41.14(2)]. Since every ring *R* is π -projective, R_R and $_RR$ are ADS^* . If any module *M* is both supplemented and π -projective then *M* is called *quasi-discrete*. Now we have that

quasi-discrete $\Rightarrow \pi$ -projective $\Rightarrow ADS^*$.

Note that the \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is ADS^* but it is not π -projective and so not quasidiscrete. On the other hand, if M is ADS^* and lifting, then it is quasi-discrete by [6, 41.15(b)]. Note that any module M is called *lifting* if it is amply supplemented and every supplement submodule of M is a direct summand. We should note that there is an amply supplemented ADS^* module which is not π -projective (equivalently not quasi-discrete). For example; let R be a local artinian ring with radical W such that $W^2 = 0$, Q = R/W is commutative, $dim(_QW) = 2$ and $dim(W_Q) = 1$ (see, [3]). Consider the indecomposable injective right R-module $U = [(R \oplus R)/D]_R$ with $D = \{(ur, -vr) \mid r \in R\}$ in [3, Proposition 2(r)], where W = Ru + Rv. Note that Uis 2-generated, ADS^* and amply supplemented. On the other and, U cannot be lifting since it is not cyclic. Hence U is not quasi-discrete and so not π -projective.

In this note we provide equivalent conditions for a module to be ADS^* . An amply supplemented module M is ADS^* if and only if any decomposition of M into two modules, the summands are mutually projective (Theorem 2.1). We give an example showing that "amply supplemented" condition in Theorem 2.1 is necessary (Example 2.2). We call a module M completely ADS^* if each of its subfactors is ADS^* . Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ be an indecomposable decomposition of M. Suppose that M is amply supplemented completely ADS^* . Then

- (i) For every $i \neq j \in I$, M_i is M_j -projective.
- (ii) If $i \neq j \in I$ are such that $Hom(M_i, M_j) \neq 0$, then M_i is simple (Theorem 2.7). We state that "amply supplemented" condition in Theorem 2.7 is necessary. Finally, we prove that a right semiartinian ring *R* is a right *V*-ring if and only if every right *R*-module is *ADS*^{*} if and only if every 2-generated right *R*-module is *ADS*^{*} (Theorem 2.8).

For the undefined notions we refer to [2,5,6].

2 Results

🖉 Springer

Theorem 2.1 For an amply supplemented module *M* the following are equivalent:

(i) M is ADS^* .

- (ii) For any direct summand S_1 and a submodule S_2 with $M = S_1 + S_2$, the epimorphism $\alpha_i : M \longrightarrow S_i/(S_1 \cap S_2)$ with $Ker(\alpha_i) = S_j (i \neq j = 1, 2)$ can be lifted to an idempotent endomorphism β_i of M with $\beta_i(M) \subseteq S_i$.
- (iii) If $M = A \oplus B$, then A and B are mutually projective.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let S_1 be a direct summand of M and S_2 any submodule of M with $M = S_1 + S_2$. Let T be a supplement of S_1 in M contained in S_2 . By (i), $M = S_1 \oplus T$. Let $\alpha_1 : M \longrightarrow S_1/(S_1 \cap S_2)$ be the epimorphism with Ker $(\alpha_1) = S_2$ and $\beta_1 : M \longrightarrow S_1$ be the projection map while $\pi_1 : S_1 \longrightarrow S_1/(S_1 \cap S_2)$ is the natural epimorphism. Clearly, $\pi_1\beta_1 = \alpha_1$. Therefore β_1 lifts α_1 . Similarly, the projection map $\beta_2 : M \longrightarrow T$ lifts the epimorphism $\alpha_2 : M \longrightarrow S_2/(S_1 \cap S_2)$ with Ker $(\alpha_2) = S_1$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $M = A \oplus B = A + C$ and $A \cap C \ll C$. We want to show that $M = A \oplus C$. By hypothesis, there exists an idempotent endomorphism $f : M \longrightarrow C$ such that $\pi_2 f = \alpha_2$ where $\pi_2 : C \longrightarrow C/(A \cap C)$ is the natural epimorphism and $\alpha_2 : M \longrightarrow C/(A \cap C)$ is the epimorphism with $\text{Ker}(\alpha_2) = A$. Note that $M = f(M) \oplus \text{Ker}(f) = C + \text{Ker}(f)$. Clearly, $\text{Ker}(f) \subseteq A$. Since $A \cap C \ll C$, $[(A \cap C) + \text{Ker}(f)]/\text{Ker}(f) \ll M/\text{Ker}(f)$. Ker $(f) + (A \cap C) = A \cap (\text{Ker}(f) + C) = A$ implies that $A/\text{Ker}(f) \ll M/\text{Ker}(f)$. But A is coclosed in M, hence A = Ker(f). Therefore $M = f(M) \oplus A$. Since C is a supplement of A in M, f(M) = C. Thus $M = A \oplus C$.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii): Suppose that $M = A \oplus B$ is ADS^* . We will prove that A is B-projective. Let C be a submodule of M with M = C + B. Since M is amply supplemented, there exists a submodule C' of M with M = C' + B, $C' \cap B \ll C' \subseteq C$. Since M is ADS^* , $M = C' \oplus B$. Hence A is B-projective by [6, 41.14 (3) \Leftrightarrow (4)].

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $M = A \oplus B = B + C$ and $B \cap C \ll C$. Since A is B-projective, there exists a submodule C' of M contained in C such that $M = B \oplus C'$. But $B \cap C \ll C$. Then C = C'. Hence M is ADS^* .

Note that there exists an ADS^* module which is not amply supplemented with a decomposition $M = A \oplus B$ such that A is not B-projective:

Example 2.2 Let *R* be a right *V*-domain which is not a division ring and *S* be any simple right *R*-module which is not *R*-projective. Put $M = R \oplus S$. Since *R* is a right *V*-ring, every right *R*-module has a zero radical so *M* is (completely) *ADS*^{*}. Note that *M* is not amply supplemented since *R* is not a division ring.

Proposition 2.3 Let A and B two submodules of an amply supplemented ADS^* module M such that A is a direct summand of M and B is coclosed in M and M = A + B. Then $A \cap B$ is coclosed in M.

Proof Let *C* be a supplement of *A* contained in *B*. By hypothesis, $M = A \oplus C$. Then $B = C \oplus (A \cap B)$. By [2, 3.7(6)], $A \cap B$ is coclosed in *M*.

Lemma 2.4 Let $M = B \oplus C$ be a decomposition of M with projections $\beta : M \longrightarrow B$, $\gamma : M \longrightarrow C$. Then $M = B \oplus C_1$ if and only if there exists $\theta : M \longrightarrow M$ such that $C_1 = (\gamma - \beta \theta \gamma)(M)$.

Proof It is Lemma 3.6 in [1].

With the same notations as in Lemma 2.4 we give an interesting property of an ADS^* module.

Theorem 2.5 A module M is ADS^{*} if and only if for any decomposition $M = B \oplus C$ the supplements of B in M are all of the form $(\gamma - \beta \theta \gamma)(M)$ for some $\theta : M \longrightarrow M$.

Lemma 2.6 Let M be an ADS* module. Then any direct summand of M is ADS*.

Proof Let $M = A \oplus B$ be ADS^* . Let $A = A_1 \oplus A_2 = A_1 + K$ and $A_1 \cap K \ll K$. Then $M = A_1 \oplus (A_2 \oplus B) = A_1 + (K+B)$. $A_1 \cap (K+B) \subseteq K \cap (A_1+B) = K \cap A_1 \ll K+B$ implies that $M = A_1 \oplus (K+B)$. Hence $A = A_1 \oplus (A \cap (K+B)) = A_1 \oplus K$. Thus A is ADS^* .

Theorem 2.7 Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ be an indecomposable decomposition of M. Suppose that M is amply supplemented completely ADS^* . Then

- (i) For every $i \neq j \in I$, M_i is M_j -projective.
- (ii) If $i \neq j \in I$ are such that $Hom(M_i, M_j) \neq 0$, then M_i is simple.
- *Proof* (i) Assume M is ADS^* (not completely). By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.6 it is satisfied.
 - (ii) Assume that i = 1, j = 2 and let $\sigma : M_1 \longrightarrow M_2$ be nonzero. Since $M_1 \oplus \sigma(M_1) \oplus \cdots$ is $ADS^*, \sigma(M_1) \cong M_1/\text{Ker}(\sigma)$ is M_1 -projective. Thus $\text{Ker}(\sigma)$ is a direct summand of M_1 . But M_1 is indecomposable, hence $\text{Ker}(\sigma) = 0$. Let $m_1 \in M_1$ be nonzero. Note that $M_1/m_1R \cong \sigma(M_1)/\sigma(m_1R)$. Thus

$$(M_1/m_1R) \oplus M_1 \cong [\sigma(M_1)/\sigma(m_1R)] \oplus M_1 \subseteq [M_2/\sigma(m_1R)] \oplus M_1$$
$$\cong (M_2 \oplus M_1)/\sigma(m_1R) \subseteq M/\sigma(m_1R).$$

Since *M* is completely ADS^* , M_1/m_1R is M_1 -projective. Hence m_1R is a direct summand of M_1 and so $m_1R = M_1$. This means that M_1 is simple. \Box

Note that Theorem 2.7 is not correct if M is not amply supplemented: If we consider Example 2.2, then $Hom(R_R, S) \neq 0$ but R is not simple. Therefore Theorem 2.7(i) and (ii) are not satisfied.

Finally, we investigate that when a semiartinian ring is a right V-ring in terms of ADS^* modules:

Theorem 2.8 Let R be a right semiartinian ring. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) *R* is a right V-ring.
- (ii) Every right R-module is ADS^{*}.
- (iii) Every 2-generated right R-module is ADS^{*}.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) are clear.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Let *S* be a simple right *R*-module, and *E* be the injective hull of *S*. Assume $S \neq E$. Then by semiartinian assumption, we can find a cyclic submodule *T* of *E* containing *S* as a maximal submodule. Put $X = T \oplus (T/S)$ and $A = \{(t, t+S) \mid t \in T\}$. Clearly, *A* is a supplement of $T \oplus 0$ in *X* but $A \cap (T \oplus 0) \neq 0$, contradicting the *ADS*^{*} assumption. So *R* is a right *V*-ring.

Acknolwedgments The author sincerely thank the referee for his/her numerous valuable comments in the report, which have largely improved the presentation of the paper.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

- 1. Alahmadi, A., Jain, S.K., Leroy, A.: ADS modules. J. Algebra 352, 215–222 (2012)
- 2. Clark, J., Lomp, C., Vanaja, N., Wisbauer, R.: Lifting Modules: Supplements and Projectivity in Module Theory. Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Basel–Boston–Berlin (2006)
- 3. Dlab, V., Ringel, C.M.: A class of balanced non-uniserial rings. Math. Ann. 195, 279–291 (1972)
- 4. Fuchs, L.: Infinite Abelian Groups, vol. I, Pure and Applied Mathematical Series, Monography Textbook, vol. 36. Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, London (1970)
- Mohamed, S.H., Müller, B.J.: Continuous and Discrete Modules, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 147. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990)
- 6. Wisbauer, R.: Foundations of Module and Ring Theory. Gordon and Breach, Philadelphia (1991)