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#### Abstract

We introduce a new three-parameter lifetime model called the Lindley Weibull distribution, which accommodates unimodal and bathtub, and a broad variety of monotone failure rates. We provide a comprehensive account of some of its mathematical properties including ordinary and incomplete moments, quantile and generating functions and order statistics. The new density function can be expressed as a linear combination of exponentiated Weibull densities. The maximum likelihood method is used to estimate the model parameters. We present simulation results to assess the performance of the maximum likelihood estimation. We prove empirically the importance and flexibility of the new distribution in modeling two data sets.
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## 1-INTRODUCTION

We propose a new generalization of the Weibull (W) distribution named the Lindley Weibull (LiW) model. The W distribution has been widely used in reliability analysis and in applications of several different fields; see, for example Lai et al. (2003). Although its common use, a negative point of the distribution is the limited shape of its hazard rate function (hrf) that can only be monotonically increasing or decreasing or constant.

Generally, practical problems require a wider range of possibilities in the medium risk, for example, when the lifetime data present a bathtub shaped hazard function such as human mortality and machine life cycles. Researchers in the last years developed various extensions and modified forms of the W distribution to obtain more flexible distributions. A state-of-the-art survey on the class of such distributions can be found in Lai et al. (2001) and Nadarajah (2009).

Some extensions of the W distribution are available in the literature such as the exponentiated W (expW) (Mudholkar et al. 1993, 1995, 1996), additive W (Xie and Lai 1995), Marshall-Olkin extended W (Ghi-

[^0]tany et al. 2005), modified W (Lai et al. 2003, Sarhan and Zaindin 2009), extended W (Xie et al. 2002), beta-W (Lee et al. 2007), beta modified W (Silva et al. 2010), Kumaraswamy W (Cordeiro et al. 2010), transmuted W (Aryal and Tsokos 2011), Kumaraswamy inverse W (Shahbaz et al. 2012), exponentiated generalized W (Cordeiro et al. 2013), McDonald modified W (Merovci and Elbatal 2013), beta inverse W (Hanook et al. 2013), transmuted additive W (Elbatal and Aryal 2013), McDonald W (Cordeiro et al. 2014a), Kumaraswamy modified W (Cordeiro et al. 2014b), transmuted complementary W geometric (Afify et al. 2014), transmuted exponentiated generalized W (Yousof et al. 2015), Marshall-Olkin additive W (Afify et al. 2018, Kumaraswamy transmuted exponentiated additive W (Nofal et al. 2016), generalized transmuted W (Nofal et al. 2017), Topp-Leone generated W (Aryal et al. 2017) and Kumaraswamy complementary W geometric (Afify et al. 2017) distributions. Among these models, the exp-W is certainly the most popular one.

Recently, Cakmakyapan and Ozel (2017) proposed a new class of distributions called the Lindley generator (Li-G) with one extra parameter. For an arbitrary baseline cumulative distribution function (cdf) $G(x ; \xi)$, the Li-G family with one extra positive shape parameter $\theta$ has cdf and probability density function (pdf) (for $x>0$ ) given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x ; \theta, \xi)=1-[1-G(x ; \xi)]^{\theta}\left[1-\frac{\theta}{\theta+1} \log \bar{G}(x ; \xi)\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x ; \theta, \xi)=\frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta+1} g(x ; \xi)[1-G(x ; \xi)]^{\theta-1}[1-\log \bar{G}(x ; \xi)] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, where $g(x ; \xi)=d G(x ; \xi) / d x, \bar{G}(x ; \xi)=1-G(x ; \xi)$ and $\theta$ is a positive shape parameter.
The cdf and pdf of the W distribution are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x ; \alpha, \beta)=1-\exp \left[-(\alpha x)^{\beta}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x ; \alpha, \beta)=\beta \alpha^{\beta} x^{\beta-1} \exp \left[-(\alpha x)^{\beta}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, where $\alpha>0$ is a scale parameter and $\beta>0$ is a shape parameter.
The main objectives of this paper is to obtain a more flexible model by inducting just one extra shape parameter to the W model and to improve goodness-of-fit to real data. The basic motivations for the LiW distribution in practice are: (i) to make the kurtosis more flexible as compared to the baseline model; (ii) to produce skewness for symmetrical distributions; (iii) to construct heavy-tailed distributions that are not longer-tailed for modeling real data; (iv) to generate distributions with symmetric, left-skewed, right-skewed and reversed-J shaped; (v) to provide consistently better fits than other generated models under the same underlying distribution.

In fact, we prove empirically that the proposed distribution provides better fits to two real data sets than other six extended W distributions with three and four parameters (see Section 7). These examples really show that the new distribution is a good alternative for modeling survival data. Further, the LiW density can be symmetric, left-skewed, right-skewed or reversed J-shape (see Figure 1), whereas the LiW hrf can be bathtub, unimodal, reversed J-shape, monotonically increasing and decreasing shapes (see Figure 2). The skewness of the LiW distribution can range in the interval $(-0.9,6.5)$, whereas the skewness of the W distribution varies only in the interval $(-0.63,3.12)$ when the scale parameter is one and the shape parameter
takes values from 0.75 to 10 . Further, the spread for the LiW kurtosis is much larger ranging from 2.7 to 82 , whereas the spread for the W kurtosis only varies from 2.85 to 18.98 with the above parameter values.

Based on the Li-G family, we construct the LiW distribution and provide a comprehensive description of some of its mathematical properties. The paper is outlined as follows. In Section 2, we define the LiW distribution. In Section 3, we derive useful representations for the pdf and cdf of the new distribution. Some mathematical properties including the ordinary and incomplete moments and other types of moments, quantile function (qf), moment generating function (mgf), order statistics and quantile spread order are investigated in Section 4. In Section 5, we obtain the maximum likelihood estimates (MLEs) of the model parameters. In Section 6, we verify the consistency of the estimates by means of some simulations. In Section 7, we prove empirically that the LiW distribution provides better fits than other seven lifetime models, each one having the same number of parameters, by means of two applications to real data sets. Finally, in Section 8 , we provide some concluding remarks.

## 2 - THE LIW DISTRIBUTION

In this section, we define the LiW model and provide some plots of its pdf and hrf. The LiW cdf is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=F(x ; \theta, \alpha, \beta)=1-\exp \left[-\theta(\alpha x)^{\beta}\right]\left[1+\frac{\theta}{\theta+1}(\alpha x)^{\beta}\right] . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pdf corresponding to (5) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=f(x ; \theta, \alpha, \beta)=\frac{\beta \theta^{2}}{\theta+1}\left[\alpha^{\beta} x^{\beta-1}+\alpha^{2 \beta} x^{2 \beta-1}\right] \exp \left[-\theta(\alpha x)^{\beta}\right] . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The LiW model is very attractive to define special models with different types of hazard rates. Figure 1 displays some plots of the LiW density for different values of $\alpha, \beta$ and $\theta$. These plots reveal that the LiW density can be symmetric, left-skewed, right-skewed or reversed J-shape. The hrf plots of the LiW distribution given in Figure 2 can be bathtub, unimodal, reversed $J$-shape, increasing and decreasing shapes.

## 3 - LINEAR REPRESENTATION

In this section, we obtain a very useful linear representation for the LiW density. An expansion for (6) can be derived using the very popular exponentiated Weibull (exp-W) distribution, whose applications have been widespread in several areas. A random variable $Z$ has the exp- W density with the baseline W given in (3) and power parameter $d>0$, say $Z \sim \exp -\mathrm{W}(d)$, if its cdf and $\operatorname{pdf}($ for $z>0$ ) are given by

$$
H_{d}(z)=\left\{1-\exp \left[-(\alpha z)^{\beta}\right]\right\}^{d}
$$

and

$$
h_{d}(z)=d \beta \alpha^{\beta} z^{\beta-1} \exp \left[-(\alpha z)^{\beta}\right]\left\{1-\exp \left[-(\alpha z)^{\beta}\right]\right\}^{d-1},
$$

respectively.
Using the generalized binomial expansion, the Li-G cdf in (1) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=1-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k}\binom{\theta}{k} G(x)^{k}+\frac{\theta}{\theta+1} \log \bar{G}(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k}\binom{\theta}{k} G(x)^{k} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1 - Plots of the LiW pdf for selected parameter values.

Consider the logarithmic power series given by

$$
\log (1-b)=-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{b^{j+1}}{j+1},|b|<1
$$

We can write

$$
\log \bar{G}(x)=-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(x)^{j+1}}{j+1}
$$

and then equation (7) becomes

$$
F(x)=1+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k+1}\binom{\theta}{k} G(x)^{k}+\frac{\theta}{\theta+1} \sum_{k, j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{j+1}\binom{\theta}{k} G(x)^{k+j+1} .
$$

Equivalently, we obtain

$$
F(x)=1+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{k} H_{k}(x)+\sum_{k, j=0}^{\infty} w_{k, j} H_{k+j+1}(x),
$$

where $w_{k}=(-1)^{k+1}\binom{\theta}{k}$ and $w_{k, j}=\frac{\theta(-1)^{k+1}}{(j+1)(\theta+1)}\binom{\theta}{k}$.
By differentiating the last equation, the LiW pdf reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{k+1} h_{k+1}(x)+\sum_{k, j=0}^{\infty} w_{k, j} h_{k+j+1}(x), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2 - Plots of the LiW hrf for selected parameter values.
where $h_{d}(x)$ denotes the exp-W density with power parameters $d$. Equation (8) reveals that the LiW density can be expressed as a linear combination of exp-W densities. Thus, several mathematical properties of the new model can be obtained from those properties of the exp-W distribution. This is the main result of this section. The hrf of the exp-W model allows for constant, monotonically increasing, monotonically decreasing, unimodal and bathtub shaped hazard rates. So, these forms also hold for the hrf of the new distribution (as shown in Figure 2).

## 4 - SOME PROPERTIES

The formulas derived in this section are simple and manageable, and with the use of modern computer resources and their numerical capabilities, the LiW model may prove to be a useful addition to those distributions applied for modeling data in economics, medicine, reliability, engineering, among other areas.

## 4.1 - ORDINARY AND INCOMPLETE MOMENTS

The several types of moments of a random variable are important especially in applied work. Some of the most important features and characteristics of a distribution can be studied through moments, e.g., tendency, dispersion, skewness and kurtosis, mean deviations, Bonferroni and Lorenz curves, etc.

First, we provide explicit formulas for the $r$ th ordinary and incomplete moments of the exp-G random variable $Z$ (defined in the last section) given by

$$
E\left(Z^{r}\right)=d \alpha^{-r} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{r}{\beta}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i}^{(d)}
$$

and

$$
m_{r, Z}(y)=\int_{0}^{y} z^{r} h_{d}(z) d z=d \alpha^{-r} \gamma\left(1+\frac{r}{\beta},(\alpha z)^{\beta}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i}^{(d)},
$$

respectively, where $b_{i}^{(d)}=(-1)^{i}(i+1)^{-r / \beta-1}\binom{d-1}{i}, \Gamma(p)=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{p-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{dx}$ is the complete gamma function and $\gamma(p, z)=\int_{0}^{z} x^{p-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{dx}$ is the lower incomplete gamma function.

Second, the $s$ th ordinary moment of $X$, say $\mu_{s}^{\prime}$, follows from (8) and the above results as

$$
\mu_{s}^{\prime}=K_{1}\left[\sum_{k, i=0}^{\infty}(k+1) w_{k+1} b_{i}^{(k+1)}+\sum_{k, j, i=0}^{\infty}(k+j+1) w_{k, j} b_{i}^{(k+j+1)}\right],
$$

where $K_{1}=\alpha^{-s} \Gamma\left(1+\frac{s}{\beta}\right)$.
The mean, variance, skewness and kurtosis of the LiW distribution are computed numerically for $\alpha=1$ and some selected values of $\beta$ and $\theta$ using the R software. The numerical values displayed in Table I indicate that the skewness of the new distribution can range in the interval $(-0.9,6.5)$. The spread for its kurtosis is much larger ranging from 2.7 to 82 .

Third, the $s$ th incomplete moment of $X$, say $\varphi_{s}(z)=\int_{0}^{z} x^{s} f(x) d x$, can be expressed from (8) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{s}(z)=K_{2}(z)\left[\sum_{k, i=0}^{\infty}(k+1) w_{k+1} b_{i}^{(k+1)}+\sum_{k, j, i=0}^{\infty}(k+j+1) w_{k, j} b_{i}^{(k+j+1)}\right], \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{2}(z)=\alpha^{-s} \gamma\left(1+\frac{s}{\beta},(\alpha z)^{\beta}\right)$.
The first incomplete moment $\varphi_{1}(t)$ given by (9) (with $s=1$ ) has some important applications. For example, the mean deviations about the mean $\left[\theta_{1}=E\left(\left|X-\mu_{1}^{\prime}\right|\right)\right]$ and about the median $\left[\theta_{2}=E(|X-M|)\right]$ of $X$ are given by $\theta_{1}=2 \mu_{1}^{\prime} F\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}\right)-2 \varphi_{1}\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\theta_{2}=\mu_{1}^{\prime}-2 \varphi_{1}(M)$, respectively, where $\mu_{1}^{\prime}=E(X)$, $M=\operatorname{Median}(X)=Q(0.5)$ is the median (see Section 4.2) and $F\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is easily calculated from (5).

The Bonferroni and Lorenz curves are defined (for a given probability $\pi$ ) by $B(\pi)=\varphi_{1}(q) /\left(\pi \mu_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $L(\pi)=\varphi_{1}(q) / \mu_{1}^{\prime}$, respectively, where $q=Q(\pi)$ is the qf of $X$ at $\pi$.

Fourth, the $n$th moment of the residual life of $X$, say $m_{n}(z)=E\left[(X-z)^{n} \mid X>z\right], n=1,2, \ldots$ and $z>0$, uniquely determines $F(x)$, and it is given by

$$
m_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{1-F(z)} \int_{z}^{\infty}(x-z)^{n} d F(x) .
$$

Using equation (8), we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{n}(z)= & \frac{1}{1-F(z)} \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{n-r}(n+1)_{r} z^{n-r}}{r!} K_{2}(z) \\
& \times\left[\sum_{k, i=0}^{\infty}(k+1) w_{k+1} b_{i}^{(k+1)}+\sum_{k, j, i=0}^{\infty}(k+j+1) w_{k, j} b_{i}^{(k+j+1)}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE I
Mean, variance, skewness and kurtosis of the LiW distribution for $\alpha=1$ and different values of $\beta$ and $\theta$.

| $\beta$ | $\theta$ | Mean | Variance | Skewness | Kurtosis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.75 | 0.75 | 3.0785 | 12.3301 | 2.4522 | 12.6252 |
|  | 1.5 | 1.6000 | 9.7659 | 5.3718 | 56.6381 |
|  | 3.5 | 0.2358 | 0.2465 | 5.9361 | 69.1826 |
|  | 5 | 0.1067 | 0.0526 | 6.1391 | 74.213 |
|  | 10 | 0.0236 | 0.0027 | 6.4156 | 81.6319 |
| 1.5 | 0.75 | 1.5102 | 0.7978 | 0.7857 | 3.6215 |
|  | 1.5 | 0.8726 | 0.3017 | 0.8783 | 3.7978 |
|  | 3.5 | 0.4496 | 0.0883 | 0.9856 | 4.0844 |
|  | 5 | 0.3430 | 0.0525 | 1.0168 | 4.1850 |
|  | 10 | 0.2063 | 0.0194 | 1.0521 | 4.3112 |
| 2.5 | 0.75 | 1.2230 | 0.2126 | 0.1393 | 2.7452 |
|  | 1.5 | 0.8751 | 0.1230 | 0.2156 | 2.7272 |
|  | 3.5 | 0.5853 | 0.0600 | 0.2990 | 2.7767 |
|  | 5 | 0.4972 | 0.0441 | 0.3216 | 2.8015 |
|  | 10 | 0.3661 | 0.0243 | 0.3459 | 2.8351 |
| 5 | 0.75 | 1.0836 | 0.0486 | -0.4485 | 3.1601 |
|  | 1.5 | 0.9144 | 0.0390 | -0.3759 | 2.9957 |
|  | 3.5 | 0.7464 | 0.0281 | -0.3016 | 2.9034 |
|  | 5 | 0.6876 | 0.0242 | -0.2829 | 2.8902 |
|  | 10 | 0.5898 | 0.0181 | -0.2636 | 2.8817 |
| 10 | 0.75 | 1.0350 | 0.0123 | -0.8301 | 4.1053 |
|  | 1.5 | 0.9501 | 0.0117 | -0.7547 | 3.8264 |
|  | 3.5 | 0.8579 | 0.0103 | -0.6815 | 3.6441 |
|  | 5 | 0.8234 | 0.0096 | -0.6639 | 3.6105 |
|  | 10 | 0.7626 | 0.0083 | -0.6461 | 3.5816 |

where $(\rho)_{s}=\Gamma(\rho) / \Gamma(\rho-s)$ is the the falling factorial.
Fifth, the $n$th moment of the reversed residual life of $X$, denoted by $M_{n}(z)=E\left[(z-X)^{n} \mid X \leq z\right]$ for $z>0$ and $n=1,2, \ldots$, uniquely determines $F(x)$, and it is defined by

$$
M_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{F(z)} \int_{0}^{z}(z-x)^{n} d F(x) .
$$

Then, $M_{n}(z)$ reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{n}(z)= & \frac{1}{F(z)} \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{r}(n+1)_{r} z^{n-r}}{r!} K_{2}(z) \\
& \times\left[\sum_{k, i=0}^{\infty}(k+1) w_{k+1} b_{i}^{(k+1)}+\sum_{k, j, i=0}^{\infty}(k+j+1) w_{k, j} b_{i}^{(k+j+1)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The mean residual life (MRL) and mean inactivity time (MIT) of $X$ follow simply by setting $n=1$ in $m_{n}(z)$ and $M_{n}(z)$, respectively. The MRL of $X$ at age $z$ represents the expected additional life length for a unit which is alive at age $z$, whereas the MIT of $X$ at age $z$ represents the waiting time elapsed since the failure of an item on condition that this failure had occurred in $(0, z)$.

## 4.2 - QUANTILE AND GENERATING FUNCTIONS

The qf of $X$, say $Q(u)$, is obtained by inverting the following equation

$$
F(x)=1-[1-G(x)]^{\theta}\left[1-\frac{\theta}{\theta+1} \log \bar{G}(x)\right] .
$$

Let $z=1-G(x)$ and $q=\frac{\theta}{\theta+1}$. We have

$$
F(x)=1-z^{\theta}+q z^{\theta} \log (z)
$$

and then

$$
Q(u)=G^{-1}\left\{1-\left[\frac{\theta(u-1)}{q W\left[(\theta+1)(u-1) \mathrm{e}^{-\theta-1}\right]}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}}\right\}
$$

where $W(z)$ denotes the negative branch of the Lambert W-function (also known as product log function in the Wolfram Language) which is the inverse function of $z=t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{z}}$. We can invert to obtain $t=F(z)$ as

$$
t=F(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{i+1} i^{i-2} z^{i}}{(i-1)!} .
$$

We have checked the above power series expansion for $F(z)=\operatorname{Product} \log [z]$ using the Mathematica software that gives $F(z)$ as the principal solution for $t$ in $z=t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{t}}$.

The pdf of $Z, h_{d}(z)$, can be expanded using the power series

$$
(1-b)^{q}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\binom{q}{i}(-b)^{i},|b|<1 .
$$

Then, the pdf of $Z$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{d}(z)=d \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{i+1}\binom{d-1}{i} f_{\alpha(i+1)^{1 / \beta}}(z), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{\alpha(i+1)^{1 / \beta}}(z)$ denotes a two-parameter W density with scale parameter $\alpha(i+1)^{1 / \beta}$ and shape parameter $\beta$. Equation (10) can be used to derive the mgf of the LiW distribution from that of a two-parameter W distribution.

Let ${ }_{p} \Psi_{q}(\cdot)$ be the complex parameter Wright generalized hypergeometric function with $p$ numerator and $q$ denominator parameters (Kilbas et al. 2006) defined by the power series

$$
{ }_{p} \Psi_{q}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(\alpha_{1}, A_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\alpha_{p}, A_{p}\right)  \tag{11}\\
\left(\beta_{1}, B_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\beta_{q}, B_{q}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{p} \Gamma\left(\alpha_{j}+A_{j} n\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q} \Gamma\left(\beta_{j}+B_{j} n\right)} \frac{z^{n}}{n!} .
$$

Then, following similar algebraic developments of Nadarajah et al. (2013), we can write the mgf of $Z$, say $M_{Z}(t ; \alpha, \beta, d)$, as

$$
M_{Z}(t ; \alpha, \beta, d)=d \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{i+1}\binom{d-1}{i} A_{i}(t ; \alpha, \beta),
$$

where

$$
A_{i}(t ; \alpha, \beta)={ }_{1} \Psi_{0}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(1,-\beta^{-1}\right) & \\
- &
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hence, the mgf of the Li-W model follows from (8) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{X}(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left[v_{1, i}+v_{2, i}\right] A_{i}(t ; \alpha, \beta) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
v_{1, i}=\frac{(-1)^{i}}{i+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+1) w_{k+1}\binom{k}{i}
$$

and

$$
v_{2, i}=\frac{(-1)^{i}}{i+1} \sum_{k, j=0}^{\infty}(k+j+1) w_{k, j}\binom{k+j}{i} .
$$

Equation (12) can be easily evaluated by scripts of the Maple, Matlab and Mathematica plataforms.

## 4.3 - ORDER STATISTICS AND QUANTILE SPREAD ORDER

Order statistics make their appearance in many areas of statistical theory and practice. They enter in the problems of estimation and hypothesis tests in a variety of ways. We now discuss some properties of the
order statistics for the LiW distribution. Let $X_{i: n}$ denote the $i$ th order statistic from a random sample $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ from the LiW distribution. Then, the $\operatorname{pdf} f_{i: n}(x)$ of the $i$ th order statistic of $X_{i: n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{i: n}(x)= & \frac{n!}{(i-1)!(n-i)!} f(x) F(x)^{i-1}[1-F(x)]^{n-i} \\
= & \frac{n!}{(i-1)!(n-i)!} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k+n-i} \frac{(-1)^{k} \theta^{j+2}(\alpha x)^{(j+1) \beta-1}\left[1+(\alpha x)^{\beta}\right]}{(\theta+1)^{j+1} \exp \left[n \theta(\alpha x)^{\beta}\right]} \\
& \times\binom{ i-1}{k}\binom{k+n-i}{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The quantile spread (QS) of the random variable $X \sim \operatorname{LiW}(\theta, \alpha, \beta)$ having $\operatorname{cdf}(5)$ is given by

$$
Q S_{X}(p)=\left[F^{-1}(p)\right]-\left[F^{-1}(1-p)\right], \quad \forall p \in(0.5,1),
$$

which implies

$$
Q S_{X}(p)=\left[S^{-1}(1-p)\right]-\left[S^{-1}(p)\right]
$$

where $F^{-1}(p)=S^{-1}(1-p)$ and $S(x)=1-F(x)$ is the survival function. The QS of a distribution describes how the probability mass is placed symmetrically about its median and hence can be used to formalize concepts such as peakedness and tail weight traditionally associated with kurtosis. So, it allows us to separate concepts of kurtosis and peakedness for asymmetric models. Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be two random variables following the LiW model with quantile spreads $Q S_{X_{1}}$ and $Q S_{X_{2}}$, respectively. Then $X_{1}$ is called smaller than $X_{2}$ in quantile spread order, denoted as $X_{1} \leq_{Q S} X_{2}$, if $Q S_{X_{1}}(p) \leq Q S_{X_{2}}(p), \forall p \in(0.5,1)$. The following properties of the QS order can be obtained:

- The order $\leq_{Q S}$ is location-free

$$
X_{1} \leq_{Q S} X_{2} \text { if }\left(X_{1}+c\right) \leq_{Q S} X_{2} \text { for any real } c .
$$

- The order $\leq_{Q S}$ is dilative

$$
X_{1} \leq_{Q S} a X_{1} \text { whenever } a \geq 1 \text { and } X_{2} \leq_{Q S} a X_{2}, \forall a \geq 1
$$

- Let $F_{X_{1}}$ and $F_{X_{2}}$ be symmetric, then

$$
X_{1} \leq_{Q S} X_{2} \text { if, and only if } F_{X_{1}}^{-1}(p) \leq F_{X_{2}}^{-1}(p), \forall p \in(0.5,1)
$$

- The order $\leq_{Q S}$ implies ordering of the mean absolute deviation around the median, say MAD,

$$
\operatorname{MAD}\left(X_{1}\right)=E\left[\left|X_{1}-\operatorname{Median}\left(X_{1}\right)\right|\right]
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{MAD}\left(X_{2}\right)=E\left[\left|X_{2}-\operatorname{Median}\left(X_{2}\right)\right|\right],
$$

where

$$
X_{1} \leq_{Q S} X_{2} \text { implies } M A D\left(X_{1}\right) \leq_{Q S} M A D\left(X_{2}\right)
$$

Finally

$$
X_{1} \leq_{Q S} X_{2} \text { if, and only if }-X_{1} \leq_{Q S}-X_{2}
$$

## 5 - MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION

The MLEs enjoy desirable properties and can be used for confidence intervals and test statistics. The normal approximation for these estimators in large sample theory is easily handled either analytically or numerically. Here, we determine the MLEs of the parameters of the LiW model from complete samples only. Further works could be addressed using different methods to estimate the LiW parameters such as moments, least squares, weighted least squares, bootstrap, Jackknife, Cramér-von-Mises, Anderson-Darling, Bayesian analysis, among others, and compare the estimators based on these methods.

Let $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ be a random sample from the LiW distribution with parameters $\theta, \alpha$ and $\beta$. Let $\varphi=(\theta, \alpha, \beta)^{T}$ be the parameter vector. Then, the log-likelihood function for $\varphi$, say $\ell=\ell(\varphi)$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell=n \log \beta+2 n \log \theta-n \log (\theta+1)+\sum_{i=0}^{n} \log \left[\frac{x_{i}^{\beta-1}}{\alpha^{-\beta}}+\frac{x_{i}^{2 \beta-1}}{\alpha^{-2 \beta}}\right]-\theta \sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\alpha x_{i}\right)^{\beta} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (13) can be maximized either directly by using the R (optim function), SAS (PROC NLMIXED) or Ox program (sub-routine MaxBFGS) or by solving the nonlinear likelihood equations obtained by differentiating (13).

The score vector components, say $U(\varphi)=\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \varphi}=\left(U_{\theta}, U_{\alpha}, U_{\beta}\right)^{T}$, are available from the corresponding author.

Setting the nonlinear system of equations $U_{\theta}=U_{\alpha}=U_{\beta}=0$ and solving them simultaneously yields the $\operatorname{MLE} \widehat{\varphi}=(\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta})^{T}$ of $\varphi=(\theta, \alpha, \beta)^{T}$. These equations cannot be solved analytically but Newton-Raphson type algorithms can be used to solve them numerically.

For interval estimation of the model parameters, we require the observed information matrix $J(\varphi)$ which comes as output using the above maximization procedures. Under standard regularity conditions when $n \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of $\widehat{\varphi}$ can be approximated by a multivariate normal $N_{3}\left(0, J(\widehat{\varphi})^{-1}\right)$ distribution to construct approximate confidence intervals for the parameters. Here, $J(\widehat{\varphi})$ is the total observed information matrix evaluated at $\widehat{\varphi}$. The method of the re-sampling bootstrap can be adopted for correcting the biases of the MLEs of the model parameters. Interval estimates may also be obtained using the bootstrap percentile method. Likelihood ratio tests can be performed for the proposed family of distributions in the usual way.

## 6 - SIMULATION STUDY

We perform a Monte Carlo simulation study to verify the finite sample behavior of the MLEs of $\theta, \alpha$ and $\beta$. All simulation results are obtained from 1,000 Monte Carlo replications carried out using the statistical software R . In each replication, a random sample of size $n$ is drawn from $X \sim \operatorname{LiW}(\theta, \alpha, \beta)$ and the conjugate gradient method has been used for maximizing the total log-likelihood function. The LiW random number generation is performed using the inversion method via the qf $Q(u)$ given in Section 4.2. Five different combinations of true parameter values in the first column of Tables II and III are adopted for the data generating process. Tables II and III list the mean values and mean square errors (MSEs) of the MLEs of the model parameters by taking sample sizes $n=30,50,200$ and 1,000 . The figures in both tables indicate that the MSEs decrease when the sample size increases as expected under first-order asymptotic theory.

## 7 - APPLICATIONS

We provide two applications of the LiW model to prove empirically its potentiality by comparing to the fits of other competitive models, namely: the Weibull Lindley (WLi) (Asgharzadeh et al. 2018), Weibull Fréchet (WFr) (Afify et al. 2016b), transmuted complementary Weibull geometric (TCWG) (Afify et al. 2014), Kumaraswamy Weibull (KwW) (Cordeiro et al. 2010), beta Weibull (BW) (Lee et al. 2007), gamma Weibull (GW) (Provost et al. 2011) and W distributions, whose pdfs (for $x>0$ ) are given in Appendix A.

In order to compare the fits of the LiW model with other competing distributions, we consider the Anderson-Darling $\left(A^{*}\right)$ and Cramé r-von Mises $\left(W^{*}\right)$ statistics. The two statistics are widely used to compare non-nested models and to determine how closely a specific cdf fits the empirical distribution of a given data set. These statistics are given by

$$
A^{*}=\left(\frac{9}{4 n^{2}}+\frac{3}{4 n}+1\right)\left\{n+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}(2 j-1) \log \left[z_{i}\left(1-z_{n-j+1}\right)\right]\right\}
$$

and

$$
W^{*}=\left(\frac{1}{2 n}+1\right)\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(z_{i}-\frac{2 j-1}{2 n}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{12 n}\right\},
$$

respectively, where $z_{i}=F\left(y_{j}\right)$ and the $y_{j}$ 's values are the ordered observations.

## Data Set I: Exceedances of Wheaton River Flood

The data represent the exceedances of flood peaks (in $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) of the Wheaton River near Carcross in Yukon Territory, Canada. The data consist of 72 exceedances for the years 1958-1984, rounded to one decimal place (see Choulakian and Stephens 2001).

## Data Set II: Failure Times of 84 Aircraft Windshield

The second data set consists of 84 failure times for a particular windshield device. These failures do not result in damage to the aircraft but do result in replacement of the windshield (Murthy et al. 2004).

Tables IV and V list the values of the statistics $A^{*}$ and $W^{*}$ for eight fitted models to these two data sets. The MLEs and their corresponding standard errors (in parentheses) of the model parameters are also given in these tables. The figures in both tables reveal that the LiW distribution yields the lowest values of these statistics among the fitted models and then provides the best fit to both data sets. Hence, we prove empirically that the proposed distribution provides better fits in two applications than other six extended Weibull distributions with three and four parameters. There are too many models to fit and this fact really shows that the LiW distribution can be a good alternative for modeling survival data.

The figures in these tables are calculated using the MATHCAD program. In this program, we provide any initial values (in several cases from fits of special models) and then the program calculates the MLEs. After that, we update the initial estimates to obtain new values for the MLEs. This process continues up to obtain the final MLEs, which make the first derivatives of the log-likelihood function equal to zero.

More information is provided by a visual comparison of the histogram of the data with the fitted density functions. The plots of the fitted LiW, WLi, KwW, GW, BW, TCWG, WFr and W densities are displayed in Figures 3 and 4 for the two data sets, respectively.

TABLE II
The mean values and MSEs based on 1,000 simulations of the LiW . distribution.

| Parameters |  |  | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Mean Values |  |  | MSEs |  |  | Mean Values |  |  | MSEs |  |  |
| $\theta$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\theta$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\theta$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\theta$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\theta$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ |
| 0.5 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 0.50991 | 0.19792 | 2.62564 | 0.00501 | 0.00030 | 0.17232 | 0.50649 | 0.19867 | 2.57862 | 0.00300 | 0.00020 | 0.10098 |
| 0.5 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 0.51217 | 0.19906 | 4.18515 | 0.00493 | 0.00013 | 0.51064 | 0.50734 | 0.19860 | 4.14959 | 0.00286 | 0.00008 | 0.26106 |
| 0.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.51175 | 2.47809 | 2.62782 | 0.00521 | 0.05289 | 0.19608 | 0.50506 | 2.48636 | 2.56986 | 0.00279 | 0.02888 | 0.09129 |
| 0.5 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 0.50879 | 2.48038 | 4.19961 | 0.00467 | 0.01829 | 0.43254 | 0.50495 | 2.48680 | 4.12371 | 0.00303 | 0.01091 | 0.23466 |
| 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.51061 | 0.24238 | 0.31474 | 0.00497 | 0.05728 | 0.00262 | 0.50846 | 0.21737 | 0.30899 | 0.00270 | 0.02213 | 0.00129 |
| 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.64044 | 0.20130 | 0.31577 | 0.05970 | 0.00087 | 0.00258 | 1.62416 | 0.20055 | 0.30922 | 0.03668 | 0.00036 | 0.00127 |
| 1.6 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 1.64080 | 0.19998 | 1.79482 | 0.06436 | 0.00002 | 0.08717 | 1.63232 | 0.20010 | 1.74988 | 0.03550 | 0.00001 | 0.04742 |
| 1.6 | 0.2 | 5.0 | 1.62814 | 0.20005 | 5.22257 | 0.05475 | 0.00000 | 0.72139 | 1.61006 | 0.20004 | 5.14097 | 0.03047 | 0.00000 | 0.41215 |
| 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 1.64172 | 1.51804 | 0.31409 | 0.05912 | 0.04400 | 0.00249 | 1.62863 | 1.50330 | 0.30960 | 0.03558 | 0.02118 | 0.00127 |
| 1.6 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 1.62901 | 1.50058 | 5.22638 | 0.05627 | 0.00014 | 0.71332 | 1.60478 | 1.49987 | 5.15953 | 0.02978 | 0.00007 | 0.38982 |
| 1.6 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 1.62918 | 4.06111 | 0.31276 | 0.05541 | 0.32855 | 0.00265 | 1.61808 | 3.99504 | 0.31052 | 0.03133 | 0.14399 | 0.00146 |
| 1.6 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 1.64360 | 4.00722 | 1.77624 | 0.05722 | 0.00951 | 0.08558 | 1.61299 | 3.99800 | 1.75432 | 0.03555 | 0.00426 | 0.04493 |
| 1.6 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 1.63797 | 4.00106 | 5.25027 | 0.06354 | 0.00108 | 0.71757 | 1.62001 | 4.00063 | 5.13799 | 0.03489 | 0.00061 | 0.41305 |
| 3.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3.08367 | 0.22157 | 0.31638 | 0.23409 | 0.00405 | 0.00245 | 3.04353 | 0.21084 | 0.30855 | 0.14662 | 0.00169 | 0.00130 |
| 3.0 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 3.06657 | 0.20248 | 1.78674 | 0.23794 | 0.00009 | 0.08300 | 3.04115 | 0.20141 | 1.74705 | 0.14390 | 0.00004 | 0.04079 |
| 3.0 | 0.2 | 5.0 | 3.05929 | 0.20089 | 5.27383 | 0.22984 | 0.00001 | 0.70851 | 3.04622 | 0.20041 | 5.12599 | 0.13519 | 0.00001 | 0.36311 |
| 3.0 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 3.05429 | 1.64512 | 0.31480 | 0.23332 | 0.21787 | 0.00255 | 3.04415 | 1.57312 | 0.30713 | 0.13708 | 0.10655 | 0.00130 |
| 3.0 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 3.06052 | 1.51710 | 1.78322 | 0.26068 | 0.00480 | 0.08165 | 3.03280 | 1.50993 | 1.74986 | 0.13679 | 0.00268 | 0.04491 |
| 3.0 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 3.10828 | 1.50621 | 5.25946 | 0.23379 | 0.00060 | 0.74276 | 3.02710 | 1.50318 | 5.14911 | 0.13649 | 0.00031 | 0.39503 |
| 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 3.07690 | 4.39372 | 0.31468 | 0.22985 | 1.66922 | 0.00262 | 3.05295 | 4.20288 | 0.30719 | 0.13699 | 0.84081 | 0.00134 |
| 3.0 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 3.08967 | 4.05920 | 1.79784 | 0.24145 | 0.03831 | 0.08628 | 3.03756 | 4.02491 | 1.74494 | 0.13823 | 0.02043 | 0.04438 |
| 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 3.09394 | 4.01259 | 5.21241 | 0.24109 | 0.00396 | 0.64305 | 3.03010 | 4.00985 | 5.15383 | 0.13879 | 0.00216 | 0.37027 |

TABLE III
The mean values and MSEs based on 1,000 simulations of the LiW distribution.

| Parameters |  |  | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  | 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Mean Values |  |  | MSE |  |  | Mean Values |  |  | MSE |  |  |
| $\theta$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\theta$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\theta$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\theta$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\theta$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ |
| 0.5 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 0.50246 | 0.19972 | 2.51801 | 0.00075 | 0.00005 | 0.02218 | 0.50004 | 0.19984 | 2.50568 | 0.00015 | 0.00001 | 0.00417 |
| 0.5 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 0.50037 | 0.19989 | 4.02296 | 0.00061 | 0.00002 | 0.05245 | 0.50034 | 0.19990 | 4.00866 | 0.00013 | 0.00000 | 0.01026 |
| 0.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.50087 | 2.49631 | 2.51720 | 0.00061 | 0.00707 | 0.02008 | 0.50069 | 2.50106 | 2.50053 | 0.00015 | 0.00145 | 0.00395 |
| 0.5 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 0.50291 | 2.49697 | 4.03103 | 0.00072 | 0.00304 | 0.05666 | 0.49990 | 2.50012 | 4.00303 | 0.00013 | 0.00059 | 0.01041 |
| 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.50202 | 0.20826 | 0.30125 | 0.00071 | 0.00416 | 0.00030 | 0.49999 | 0.20061 | 0.30055 | 0.00012 | 0.00069 | 0.00006 |
| 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.60415 | 0.19958 | 0.30308 | 0.00786 | 0.00008 | 0.00030 | 1.60189 | 0.19977 | 0.30090 | 0.00173 | 0.00001 | 0.00006 |
| 1.6 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 1.60751 | 0.20002 | 1.71108 | 0.00823 | 0.00000 | 0.01023 | 1.60040 | 0.20003 | 1.70097 | 0.00163 | 0.00000 | 0.00187 |
| 1.6 | 0.2 | 5.0 | 1.60839 | 0.19998 | 5.04457 | 0.00786 | 0.00000 | 0.07630 | 1.59866 | 0.20000 | 5.00736 | 0.00171 | 0.00000 | 0.01641 |
| 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 1.60704 | 1.49607 | 0.30331 | 0.00866 | 0.00439 | 0.00031 | 1.60120 | 1.50025 | 0.30041 | 0.00177 | 0.00080 | 0.00005 |
| 1.6 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 1.60249 | 1.49988 | 5.04088 | 0.00808 | 0.00002 | 0.07825 | 1.60078 | 1.50001 | 5.00577 | 0.00165 | 0.00000 | 0.01627 |
| 1.6 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 1.60858 | 4.00176 | 0.30213 | 0.00814 | 0.02899 | 0.00028 | 1.60095 | 3.99835 | 0.30055 | 0.00156 | 0.00570 | 0.00005 |
| 1.6 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 1.60470 | 4.00205 | 1.70443 | 0.00760 | 0.00098 | 0.00932 | 1.60187 | 3.99998 | 1.70279 | 0.00161 | 0.00018 | 0.00183 |
| 1.6 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 1.60656 | 3.99945 | 5.04988 | 0.00742 | 0.00011 | 0.08033 | 1.59865 | 3.99980 | 5.01257 | 0.00170 | 0.00002 | 0.01595 |
| 3.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3.01580 | 0.20290 | 0.30230 | 0.03511 | 0.00034 | 0.00028 | 3.00156 | 0.20041 | 0.30031 | 0.00620 | 0.00006 | 0.00005 |
| 3.0 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 3.01093 | 0.20043 | 1.71386 | 0.03081 | 0.00001 | 0.00867 | 3.00384 | 0.20011 | 1.70353 | 0.00659 | 0.00000 | 0.00172 |
| 3.0 | 0.2 | 5.0 | 3.00480 | 0.20014 | 5.04237 | 0.02864 | 0.00000 | 0.08716 | 3.00362 | 0.20001 | 5.00335 | 0.00615 | 0.00000 | 0.01527 |
| 3.0 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 3.00938 | 1.51712 | 0.30173 | 0.03318 | 0.01950 | 0.00030 | 3.00637 | 1.50627 | 0.30073 | 0.00606 | 0.00380 | 0.00006 |
| 3.0 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 3.01056 | 1.50259 | 1.71168 | 0.02985 | 0.00057 | 0.00916 | 3.00947 | 1.50054 | 1.70237 | 0.00652 | 0.00010 | 0.00168 |
| 3.0 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 3.00359 | 1.50077 | 5.03344 | 0.03071 | 0.00006 | 0.07981 | 3.00540 | 1.50007 | 5.00299 | 0.00622 | 0.00001 | 0.01530 |
| 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 3.01337 | 4.07077 | 0.30298 | 0.03184 | 0.12498 | 0.00026 | 3.00193 | 4.01575 | 0.30066 | 0.00617 | 0.02279 | 0.00005 |
| 3.0 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 3.00841 | 4.00542 | 1.70934 | 0.03271 | 0.00448 | 0.01025 | 3.00348 | 4.00184 | 1.70300 | 0.00628 | 0.00081 | 0.00186 |
| 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 3.01074 | 4.00164 | 5.02749 | 0.03215 | 0.00046 | 0.07799 | 3.00249 | 3.99993 | 4.99994 | 0.00587 | 0.00009 | 0.01526 |

TABLE IV
MLEs (standard errors in parentheses) and the statistics $W^{*}$ and $A^{*}$ for data set I .

| Distribution | Estimates |  |  |  | $W^{*}$ | $A^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{LiW}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$ | 2.5365 | 0.0399 | 0.8705 |  | 0.12115 | 0.70761 |
|  | (4.2430) | (0.0880) | (0.1100) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{WLi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$ | 0.0468 | 0.8027 | 0.0695 |  | 0.12278 | 0.71588 |
|  | (0.0400) | (0.1550) | (0.0270) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{W}(\alpha, \beta)$ | 0.0860 | 0.9012 |  |  | 0.12568 | 0.72891 |
|  | (0.0120) | (0.0860) |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{WFr}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, a, b)$ | 0.1294 | 0.0681 | 2.2089 | 9.2457 | 0.12887 | 0.74176 |
|  | (0.8280) | (0.0110) | (10.115) | (4.7670) |  |  |
| $\operatorname{TCWG}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ | 1.2612 | 0.6710 | 0.1458 | -0.9102 | 0.16233 | 0.91437 |
|  | (1.0410) | (0.0470) | (0.0800) | (0.3450) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{KwW}(\alpha, \beta, a, b)$ | 8.5878 | 0.1118 | 19.1940 | 56.9153 | 0.16421 | 0.92299 |
|  | (31.558) | (0.016) | (10.1530) | (79.9300) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{BW}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, a, b)$ | 1.8533 | 0.2772 | 9.3774 | 1.7812 | 0.2346 | 1.30499 |
|  | (1.7000) | (0.0650) | (2.2240) | (2.1480) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{GW}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \gamma)$ | 2.5359 | 0.3397 | 1.4145 |  | 0.18747 | 1.0470 |
|  | (2.9470) | (0.1490) | (0.7730) |  |  |  |



Figure 3 - The fitted densities for the: (a) LiW, WLi, KwW and GW models; (b) LiW, BW, TCWG, WFr and W models (data set I).


Figure 4 - The fitted densities for the: (a) LiW, WLi, KwW and GW models; (b) LiW, BW, TCWG, WFr and W models (data set II).

TABLE V
MLEs (standard errors in parentheses) and the statistics $W^{*}$ and $A^{*}$ for data set II.

| Distribution | Estimates |  |  |  | $W^{*}$ | $A^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{LiW}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$ | 0.3036 | 0.9209 | 1.8846 |  | 0.05022 | 0.49507 |
|  | (0.3138) | (0.6552) | (0.2465) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{WLi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$ | 0.2311 | 3.0359 | 0.3104 |  | 0.07666 | 0.54281 |
|  | (0.0819) | (0.4246) | (0.0214) |  |  |  |
| $\operatorname{TCWG}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ | 0.0188 | 0.9598 | 1.4081 | 0.6649 | 0.07758 | 0.57770 |
|  | (0.0610) | (0.7160) | (2.7490) | (0.294) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{W}(\alpha, \beta)$ | 0.3493 | 2.3744 |  |  | 0.08296 | 0.74365 |
|  | (0.0170) | (0.2100) |  |  |  |  |
| $\operatorname{KwW}(\alpha, \beta, a, b)$ | 14.4331 | 0.2041 | 34.6599 | 81.8459 | 0.18523 | 1.50591 |
|  | (27.095) | (0.0420) | (17.5270) | (52.0140) |  |  |
| $\left.\mathrm{WFr}^{(\alpha, ~} \beta, a, b\right)$ | 630.9384 | 0.3024 | 416.0971 | 1.1664 | 0.25372 | 1.95739 |
|  | (697.942) | (0.032) | (232.359) | (0.357) |  |  |
| $\operatorname{BW}(\alpha, \beta, a, b)$ | 1.3600 | 0.2981 | 34.1802 | 11.4956 | 0.46518 | 3.21973 |
|  | (1.0020) | (0.0600) | (14.8380) | (6.7300) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{GW}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \gamma)$ | 2.3769 | 0.8481 | 3.5344 |  | 0.25533 | 1.94887 |
|  | (0.3780) | (0.0005) | (0.6650) |  |  |  |

## 8 - CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we propose a new three-parameter model, called the Lindley Weibull (LiW) distribution, which extends the Weibull (W) distribution. In fact, the LiW distribution is motivated by the wide use of the W distribution in many applied areas and also for the fact that the generalization provides more flexibility to analyze real data. The LiW density function can be expressed as a linear combination of the exponentiatedW (exp-W) densities. We derive explicit expressions for the ordinary and incomplete moments, moments of the (reversed) residual life, quantile and generating functions and order statistics. We discuss the maximum likelihood estimation of the model parameters. Two applications illustrate that the proposed model provides consistently better fit than other competitive models. We hope that the new distribution will attract wider applications in reliability, engineering and other areas of research. Estimation of the model parameters under the bayesian paradigm is currently underway and will be reported in a separate article elsewhere. However, we must make a note of the fact under the Bayesian setting, that a non informative prior approach is essentially maximum likelihood estimation under the classical approach. In the absence of an appropriate conjugate prior, the choice of prior will be a challenging in such a setting. As a future work we will consider bivariate and multivariate extension of the LiW distribution. In particular with the copula based construction method, trivariate reduction etc.
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## APPENDIX A:

The pdfs of the competitive fitted models are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { WLi: } f(x)=\frac{\exp \left[-\theta x-(\beta x)^{\alpha}\right]}{(1+\theta)}\left[\alpha \theta(\beta x)^{\alpha}+\alpha \beta(1+\theta)(\beta x)^{\alpha-1}+\theta^{2}(1+x)\right] \\
& \text { WFr: } f(x)=a b \beta \alpha^{\beta} x^{-\beta-1} \exp \left[-b\left(\frac{\alpha}{x}\right)^{\beta}\right]\left\{1-\exp \left[-\left(\frac{\alpha}{x}\right)^{\beta}\right]\right\}^{-b-1} \\
& \times \exp \left(-a\left\{\exp \left[-\left(\frac{\alpha}{x}\right)^{\beta}\right]-1\right\}^{-b}\right) \\
& \text { TCWG: } f(x)=\alpha \beta \gamma^{\beta} y^{\beta-1}\left\{\alpha(1-\lambda)-(\alpha-\alpha \lambda-\lambda-1) \exp \left[-(\gamma y)^{\beta}\right]\right\} \\
& \times \exp \left[-(\gamma y)^{\beta}\right]\left\{\alpha+(1-\alpha) \exp \left[-(\gamma y)^{\beta}\right]\right\}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

KwW: $f(x)=a b \beta \alpha^{\beta} x^{\beta-1} \exp \left[-(\alpha x)^{\beta}\right]\left\{1-\exp \left[-(\alpha x)^{\beta}\right]\right\}^{a-1}$
$\times\left\{1-\left\{1-\exp \left[-(\alpha x)^{\beta}\right]\right\}^{a}\right\}^{b-1}$;
BW: $f(x)=\frac{\beta \alpha^{\beta}}{B(a, b)} x^{\beta-1} \exp \left[-b(\alpha x)^{\beta}\right]\left\{1-\exp \left[-(\alpha x)^{\beta}\right]\right\}^{a-1}$;
GW: $f(x)=\beta \alpha^{\gamma / \beta+1} x^{\beta+\gamma-1} \exp \left(-\alpha x^{\beta}\right) / \Gamma(1+\gamma / \beta)$;
The parameters of the above densities are all positive real numbers except $|\lambda| \leq 1$.
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